### PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Determination of Insecticide Resistance in Western Flower Thrips [Frankliniella occidentalis

(Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)] Causing Problems in Carnation Cultivation

AUTHORS: Musa Kirisik, Tuba Kahraman

PAGES: 79-85

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/3403359

### RESEARCH PAPER



## Determination of Insecticide Resistance in Western Flower Thrips [*Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)] Causing Problems in Carnation Cultivation

## Musa KIRIŞIK<sup>1</sup> D Tuba KAHRAMAN<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Batı Akdeniz Agricultural Research Instiute, 07100, Antalya, Türkiye

### **Article History**

Received 06 June 2023 Accepted 12 September 2023 First Online 18 September 2023

### Corresponding Author

E-mail:musa.kirisik@tarimorman.gov.tr

### Keywords

Azadirachtin Formetanate hydrochloride Malathion Methiocarb Pyridalyl

### Abstract

The fact that Frankliniella occidentalis (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) completes its life cycle in a short time reveals the need for continuous pest control. Therefore, pest resistance may occur with the intensive use of chemicals by the growers. In this context, the current sensitivity of F. occidentalis to registered insecticides for carnation (methiocarb, formetanate hydrochloride), registered insecticides for vegetables (azadirachtin, malathion, and spinosad), and unapproved insecticides used extensively by growers needs to be determined. Moreover, it is important to test the chemicals (for example, pyridalyl) used against other pests whose spray period is the same as F. occidentalis. In this study, it was aimed to determine the sensitivity levels of F. occidentalis populations taken from the sites of intensive carnation production in Antalya province in 2018-2020 to these chemicals by the leaf dipping method. As a result of the study, resistance against spinosad (11.00-28.60 times), methiocarb (2.10-2.70 times), malathion (2.05-4.21 times), azadirachtin (3.00-7.00 times), formetanate hydrochloride (1.50-2.00 times) and pyridalyl (2.75-3.89 times) were determined. Given the high resistance to spinosad observed in the study, trials involving the combination of spinosad and formetanate hydrochloride were initiated as a strategy for managing resistance. The resistance against spinosad + formetanate hydrochloride was determined between 4.35 and 9.09 times. Our results suggest that resistance level can be reduced by using resistance management methods such as the use of mixed chemicals, although resistance was detected in all five locations against all active substances.

### 1. Introduction

Türkiye has a significant advantage in the cultivation of ornamental plants due to its convenient location in terms of both climatic and geographical conditions. Carnation, rose, and gerbera are the leading species in cut flower production. Their share in the total cut flower production areas is 63%, 15%, and 10%, respectively. The greenhouse area constitutes two-thirds of the total production area. Furthermore, most of the production in greenhouses is for export (Anonymous, 2016).

Spider mite, thrips, and cotton bollworm are among the main pests in carnation, however, the most important among them in Antalya province is the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) (Keçecioğlu and Madanlar, 2002). This pest, whose origin is North America, was first detected in Türkiye in 1993 in carnation greenhouses in Antalya and its host range is very wide (Tunç and Göçmen, 1995). The western flower thrips, and the onion thrips *Thrips tabaci* (Lindeman) (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) are economically important pests in many cultivated plants, including ornamental plants. These two

thrips species are vectors of Tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) and Impatiens Necrotic Spot Virus (INSV) virus, which are also a problem in ornamental plants and cause significant problems in production areas (Daughtrey et al., 1997; Ulmann et al., 1997). Dağlı and Tunç (2006) stated in their study that pesticides are widely used against F. occidentalis in Antalya province, that growers do not consider chemical control to be sufficient, and that this may be due to resistance developed by F. occidentalis. The pest is protected from insecticide applications because it lays its eggs into plant tissue, the adults and larvae are in the inner parts of the flowers, and the pupa is in the parts that are protected from pesticides on the soil or plant (Robb and Parella, 1995). In addition to these biological properties of F. occidentalis, it has been demonstrated in many studies that resistance to most of the different insecticide groups makes chemical control increasingly difficult (Immaraju et al. 1992; Brodsgaard, 1994; Zhao et al. 1995; Karadjova, 1998; Kontsedalov et al. 1998; Jensen, 2000; Espinosa et al., 2002; Herron and James, 2005; Bielza et al., 2007).

In this study, the current resistance status of methiocarb and formetanate hydrochloride licensed to F. occidentalis in carnation against populations obtained from Antalya carnation areas was determined. Moreover, as it is known in Antalya, greenhouse vegetable production is carried out intensively. Since vegetable production areas and ornamental plant production areas are very close to each other, pests can easily be transmitted from one production area to another. Furthermore, F. occidentalis is a pest that can be found in vegetable and ornamental plant production areas and can easily pass from one greenhouse to another. Although malathion, azadirachtin, and spinosad used in this experiment are not licensed for F. occidentalis in carnation, they were selected because they are licensed for thrips species in vegetables. The other selected active ingredient, pyridalyl, is licensed against Helicoverpa armigera and Tuta absoluta in vegetable greenhouses, and the application time coincides with the thrips control time. The determination of the resistance status of F. occidentalis against pyridalyl was also included in the experiment.

The aim of the study was to determine the current resistance status of *F. occidentalis* against malathion, methiocarb, azadirachtin, formetanate hydrochloride, pyridalyl, and spinosad and to conduct studies on resistance management if resistance is detected.

Table 1. Places and times of collection of samples.

### 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Materials

In the study, malathion, methiocarb, formetanate hydrochloride, and spinosad, which are commonly used in the control of *F. occidentalis*, as well as pyridalyl and azadirachtin that are licensed for carnation in other countries were discussed. During the bioassay (chemical tests) studies, laboratory materials such as micropipette, disposable plastic Petri dishes, disposable plastic cups, cotton, thin and soft-tipped brush, soft-tipped forceps, 100 ml measuring cylinder, 10 ml pipette, and gloves were used.

# 2.2. Collection of *Frankliniella occidentalis* populations

Samples of F. occidentalis were taken from Aksu, Kepez (Altinova), and Serik districts, where greenhouse carnation cultivation is most common in Antalya (Table 1). In the collection of samples, attention was paid to take samples from the production areas of private sector companies that produce carnations for export. The sensitive population was obtained from Assoc. Prof. Fatih Dağlı (Akdeniz University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Antalya, Türkiye). The samples taken were produced on green bean pods at the climate room of Plant Protection Department of BATEM (Batı Akdeniz Agricultural Research Institute, Antalya, Türkiye) with a temperature of 24±1°C and a day length of 16:8 h (light: dark).

### 2.3. Insecticides used in the study

The active ingredients, trade names, formulations and IRAC mode of action classification of the insecticides used in the study are given in Table 2.

### 2.4. Thrips diagnosis and production

Species identification of populations was made by PhD. Emine Topuz using morphological diagnostic criteria. Green bean pods were used to provide nutrition and egg laying environment for thrips. Plastic containers with lids covered with filter paper were used as production cages and 2-3 layers of paper towels were laid on the bottom of the containers for thrips to pass the pupal stage. Fresh bean pods, which were disinfected with bleach,

| Cooperated carnation exporter companies | Sample collection date |
|---|------------------------|
| Antalya Tarım Company Limited (Aksu)    | September 19, 2017     |
| Flash Tarım Company Limited (Kepez)     | September 19, 2017     |
| Tempo Tarım Company Limited (Kepez)     | October 24, 2017       |
| Erkut Tarım Company Limited (Kepez)     | October 24, 2017       |
| Ada Tarım Company Limited (Serik)       | October 24, 2017       |

| Active ingredient         | Trade name, formulation, *recommended dose  | **IRAC mode of action classification   |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Methiocarb                | Mesurol WP 50%<br>Bayer 1000 ml ha <sup>.1</sup> (100 L water)                                | Carbamate (1A), acetylcholinesterase inhibitor in the nervous system                       |  |  |
| Formetanate hydrochloride | Dicarzol 50 SP 500 g kg <sup>-1</sup><br>AMC –TR 100 g 100 L <sup>-1</sup> water              | Carbamate (1A), acetylcholinesterase inhibitor in the nervous system                       |  |  |
| Spinosad                  | Laser SC 480 g L <sup>-1</sup><br>Dow Agro Sciences, 200 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (100 L<br>water) | Spinosyn (5), activating effect on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the nervous system |  |  |
| Malathion                 | Malathion EC 650 g L <sup>-1</sup><br>Sefa, 100 ml 100 L <sup>-1</sup> water                  | Organophosphate (1B),<br>acetylcholinesterase inhibitor in the<br>nervous system           |  |  |
| Pyridalyl                 | Sumipleo 50 EC 500 g L <sup>-1</sup><br>Sumiagro 200 ml ha <sup>-1</sup>                      | Unknown  |  |  |
| Azadirachtin              | Nimbecidine EC 0.3 g L <sup>-1</sup><br>Agrobest 500 ml 100 L <sup>-1</sup> water             | Unknown  |  |  |

Table 2. Insecticides used in the study, their trade names and mode of action.

\* Recommended dosage according to label information, \*\*IRAC: Insecticide Resistance Action Committee.

dipped in sugar and amino acid solutions and dried, were left in the production cages together with the thrips desired to be produced, and they were replaced with new ones at 3-4 day intervals.

### 2.5. Insecticide tests

For insecticide tests, the method of Contreas et al. (2008) was adapted and the leaf dipping method was used (IRAC Test Method: 1068). To determine the lethal concentration (LC) values for F. occidentalis population, at least six different dose series were prepared to create a death distribution between 0% and 100%. In addition, only water (+Tx-100) was used in the controls. Cowpea leaf discs with a diameter of 3 cm were immersed in the prepared insecticide concentrations for 5 seconds and dried, and then placed in Petri dishes on which agar was poured. Adult female thrips collected from production containers with a mouth aspirator were transferred to the Petri dishes by temporarily stunned with carbon dioxide, and finally, the top of the stretch film was punctured with an insect needle to allow air to breathe. After the treated thrips were exposed to insecticide residues for two days in the Petri dishes, mortality counts were made.

Obtained mortality rates were subjected to probit analysis and  $LC_{50}$ ,  $LC_{90}$ , and  $LC_{99}$  values were determined. In this test, at least four replications for each different concentration and at least 20-25 female thrips adults were used for each replication. Resistivity multiples were determined by comparing sensitive population LC values with all populations.

### 2.6. Location of the project

The fieldwork in the experiment was carried out in the regions where carnation is produced intensively in Antalya, which is indicated in Table 1. Laboratory studies were carried out in the entomology laboratories of the Batı Akdeniz Agricultural Research Institute (BATEM), Antalya, Türkiye.

### 2.7. Statistical analysis

In all populations,  $LC_{50}$ ,  $LC_{90}$  and  $LC_{99}$  values, slopes and 95% confidence intervals of the populations were obtained by using the probit analysis method in the POLO computer package program (LeOraSoftware, 2008) by using the determined mortality rates.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The LC values of active substances for *F. occidentalis* populations obtained from Antalya Tarım, Tempo Tarım, Flaş Tarım, Erkut Tarım, and Ada Tarım populations as a result of the bioassay studies are given in Table 3.

The highest resistance rates were determined in the Ada Tarım population with 28.6 times to spinosad, 2.7 times to methiocarb, 4.2 times to malathion, 7.0 times to azadirachtin, 2.0 times to formetanate hydrochloride, and 3.89-times to pyridalyl. The dose level that kills 99% of the resistant population (LC99) in laboratory tests was still higher than the practically recommended dose of spinosad and methiocarb. The results show that applications of spinosad and methiocarb against this pest may fail in the locations where these populations are sampled. With additional research, the prevalence of spinosad and methiocarb resistance throughout the country should be revealed, and the effective life of these insecticides should be tried to be extended by allowing them to be applied for limited times in locations without resistance problems.

However, in this study, it was determined that there was no resistance problem in the sample locations by looking at the LC<sub>99</sub> values of azadirachtin and formetanate hydrochloride (except Ada Tarım). The reason for identifying pyridalylresistant populations is thought to be that it is used to control cotton bollworm in carnations, thus indirectly affecting the thrips populations. The

| Table 3. The resistance level of <i>Frankliniella occidentalis</i> populations | to active substances. |
|--|-----------------------|
|--|-----------------------|

|                | Active                                    | n   |          | LC                                | * Sensitivity              | LC                                 | LC                                  | Field   |
|----------------|---|-----|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Dopulation     |   |     |          | mg(a.i.)/l                        | according to               | 90<br>mg(a,i,)/l                   | mg(a.i.)/l                          | ended   |
| Fupulation     | ingredients                               |     | siope±se | (95%<br>confidence                | LC <sub>50</sub> values    | (95% confidence                    | (95%<br>confidence                  | dose    |
|                |   |     |          | limit)                            | (=resistance<br>multiples) | limit)                             | limit)                              | (a.i)/l |
|                | Spinosad                                  | 401 | 1.5±0.3  | 1.4<br>(0.6-3.1)                  | -                          | 2.6<br>(1.4-4.3)                   | 3.1<br>(1.8-6.8)                    | 96      |
|                | Methiocarb                                | 401 | 1.4 ±0.3 | 32.8<br>(19.9-41.1)               | -                          | 81.6<br>(62.4-95.4)                | 115.1<br>(96.5-136.5)               | 500     |
|                | Malathion                                 | 406 | 2.0±0.3  | 99.8<br>(81.4-115.3)              | -                          | 397<br>(366.4-465.3)               | 615<br>(591.4-665.3)                | 650     |
| Sensitive      | Azadirachtin                              | 401 | 1.6±0.2  | 0.1                               | -                          | 0.9                                | 1.2                                 | 1.5     |
|                | Formetanate                               | 401 | 1.4±0.1  | 40.3                              | -                          | 120.5                              | 180.6                               | 500     |
|                | Pyridalyl                                 | 401 | 1.5±0.2  | 15.4                              | -                          | 32.1                               | 41.8                                | 100     |
|                | Spinosad+For<br>metanate<br>bydrochloride | 399 | 1.7±0.3  | 1.2<br>(0.5-2.4)                  | -                          | 2.4<br>(1.4-3.5)                   | 2.8<br>(1.3-4.4)                    | 96+500  |
|                | Spinosad                                  | 413 | 1.4±0.2  | 32.4<br>(21.4-65.3)               | 23.10                      | 125.6<br>(110 4-295 7)             | 175.2                               | 96      |
|                | Methiocarb                                | 409 | 1.5±0.2  | 70.5                              | 2.10                       | 513.9                              | 632.4<br>(532.4-1024.8)             | 500     |
|                | Malathion                                 | 402 | 1.8±0.2  | 205.4                             | 2.05                       | 525.6<br>(500.4 605.7)             | 645.2<br>(632.2 701.6)              | 650     |
| Antalya        | Azadirachtin                              | 399 | 1.5±0.2  | 0.6                               | 6.00                       | 1.0                                | 1.1                                 | 1.5     |
| Tarım          | Formetanate                               | 408 | 1.3±0.2  | 60.4                              | 1.50                       | 323.9                              | 436.6                               | 500     |
|                | Pvridalvl                                 | 398 | 1.6±0.3  | 42.4                              | 2.75                       | (305.6-348.2)<br>75.6              | (405.4-464.8)<br>95.6               | 100     |
|                | Spinosad+For<br>metanate                  | 403 | 1.8±0.3  | (31.4-63.3)<br>12.4<br>(8.6-17.2) | 10.33                      | (60.4-95.3)<br>30.4<br>(22.3-38.4) | (90.4-112.7)<br>41.8<br>(28.3-66.3) | 96+500  |
|                | Spinosad                                  | 401 | 1.3±0.1  | 26.8                              | 19.10                      | 132.9                              | 176.1                               | 96      |
|                | Methiocarb                                | 401 | 1.4±0.2  | 86.3<br>(52.2.06.5)               | 2.60                       | 550.7                              | 701.6                               | 500     |
|                | Malathion                                 | 400 | 1.5±0.1  | 260.8                             | 2.61                       | (433.2-957.6)<br>632.9             | (040.0-1357.3)<br>698.1             | 650     |
| Flash          | Azadirachtin                              | 412 | 1.3±0.1  | 0.5                               | 5.00                       | 0.9                                | (638.8-728.5)                       | 1.5     |
| Tarım          | Formetanate                               | 200 | 4.4+0.0  | (0.2-0.9)<br>76.3                 | 4.00                       | (0.7-1.4)<br>350.7                 | (0.8-1.4)<br>455.6                  | 500     |
|                | hydrochloride                             | 399 | 1.4±0.3  | (55.6-96.9)                       | 1.90                       | (333.2-397.3)                      | (406.3-487.2)                       | 500     |
|                | Pyridalyl<br>Spiposod J For               | 411 | 1.9±0.4  | (36.5-72.8)                       | 3.03                       | (67.2-93.4)                        | (72.5-123.7)                        | 100     |
|                | metanate<br>hydrochloride                 | 415 | 1.9±0.3  | 16.7<br>(12.6-26.8)               | 13.91                      | 56.5<br>(48.3-71.4)                | 72.5<br>(50.6-95.4)                 | 96+500  |
|                | Spinosad                                  | 395 | 1.4±0.1  | 15.4<br>(8.5-24.0)                | 11.00                      | 112.4<br>(86.8-221.3)              | 148.5<br>(113.7-242.6)              | 96      |
|                | Methiocarb                                | 395 | 1.3±0.1  | 85.6<br>(52.3-100.2)              | 2.60                       | 541.3<br>(312.5-967.6)             | 687.1<br>(624.7-1101.6)             | 500     |
|                | Malathion                                 | 398 | 1.3±0.1  | 346.8<br>(308.5-424.0)            | 3.47                       | 612.4<br>(586.8-641.3)             | 648.5<br>(599.7-675.6)              | 650     |
| Tempo          | Azadirachtin                              | 395 | 1.4±0.2  | 0.5<br>(0.1-0.9)                  | 5.00                       | 1.0<br>(0.6-1.3)                   | 1.2<br>(0.5-1.5)                    | 1.5     |
| Tanin          | Formetanate<br>hydrochloride              | 396 | 1.8±0.4  | 65.6<br>(52.3-79.2)               | 1.60                       | 381.3<br>(354.3-412.1)             | 486.1<br>(422.7-500.6)              | 500     |
|                | Pyridalyl                                 | 405 | 1.8±0.4  | 49.3<br>(42.0-75.5)               | 3.20                       | 105.4 (76.2-121.5)                 | 112.4 (96.8-131.3)                  | 100     |
|                | Spinosad+For<br>metanate<br>hydrochloride | 404 | 2.0±0.5  | 12.3<br>(8.1-19.2)                | 10.25                      | 34.3<br>(25.2-51.6)                | 48.6<br>(30.8-72.2)                 | 96+500  |
|                | Spinosad                                  | 408 | 2.0±0.3  | 17.3<br>(12.0-25.5)               | 12.40                      | 102.3<br>(76.3-210.8)              | 135.6<br>(101.2-220.5)              | 96      |
| Erkut<br>Tarım | Methiocarb                                | 411 | 1.4±0.1  | 78.7 (51.0-93.7)                  | 2.40                       | 516.8<br>(432.6-1012.3)            | 650.8<br>(554.2-1142.7)             | 500     |
|                | Malathion                                 | 405 | 1.7±0.2  | 273.3<br>(212.0-298.5)            | 2.73                       | 502.3<br>(476.3-530.8)             | 635.6<br>(601.2-670.5)              | 650     |

\*:  $LC_{50}$  value of populations /  $LC_{50}$  value of the most susceptible (lowest  $LC_{50}$ ) population. a.i.: active ingredient, n: number of pests used in the test.

| Table 3. The resistance level of Frankliniella occidentalis po | opulations to active substances ( | contin.). |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|

| Population     | Active ingredients                        | n   | slope±se | LC<br>50<br>mg(a.i.)/l<br>(95%<br>confidence<br>limit) | * Sensitivity<br>differences<br>according to<br>LC <sub>50</sub> values<br>(=resistance<br>multiples) | LC<br>90<br>mg(a.i.)/l<br>(95%<br>confidence<br>limit) | LC<br><sub>99</sub><br>mg(a.i.)/l<br>(95%<br>confidence<br>limit) | Field<br>recomm<br>ended<br>dose mg<br>(a.i)/l |
|----------------|---|-----|----------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Erkut<br>Tarım | Azadirachtin                              | 402 | 2.2±0.3  | 0.3<br>(0.2-0.6)                                       | 3.00  | 0.8<br>(0.4-1.1)                                       | 1.1<br>(0.8-1.5)  | 1.5  |
|                | Formetanate<br>hydrochloride              | 400 | 1.5±0.3  | 78.7<br>(62.1-88.6)                                    | 1.90  | 366.8<br>(332.3-402.1)                                 | 474.8<br>(439.1-498.7)  | 500  |
|                | Pyridalyl                                 | 406 | 2.1±0.3  | 57.3<br>(42.0-75.5)                                    | 3.72  | 112.3<br>(86.4-132.5)                                  | 132.3<br>(96.3-150.8)   | 100  |
|                | Spinosad+For<br>metanate<br>hydrochloride | 398 | 1.6±0.2  | 14.2<br>(10.3-21.2)                                    | 11.83   | 55.1<br>(46.4-68.4)                                    | 72.3<br>(57.3-96.2)   | 96+500   |
| Ada<br>Tarım   | Spinosad                                  | 411 | 2.1±0.1  | 40.0<br>(25.4-72.8)                                    | 28.60   | 140.8<br>(88.5-261.7)                                  | 195.9<br>(147.6-320.2)  | 96   |
|                | Methiocarb                                | 406 | 2.0±0.3  | 90.5<br>(59.3-139.8)                                   | 2.70  | 620.6<br>(389.5-1212.3)                                | 745.6<br>(701.5-1443.2)   | 500  |
|                | Malathion                                 | 403 | 2.1±0.1  | 420.2<br>(375.4-472.8)                                 | 4.21  | 640.8<br>(588.5-661.7)                                 | 715.9<br>(647.6-745.2)  | 650  |
|                | Azadirachtin                              | 400 | 2.3±0.4  | 0.7<br>(0.3-0.9)                                       | 7.00  | 1.3<br>(0.9-1.4)                                       | 1.5<br>(1.0-1.8.)   | 1.5  |
|                | Formetanate<br>hydrochloride              | 403 | 2.1±0.4  | 80.5<br>(62.9-96.3)                                    | 2.00  | 389.6<br>(338.5-415.3)                                 | 506.9<br>(471.5-543.6)  | 500  |
|                | Pyridalyl                                 | 402 | 2.3±0.2  | 60.0<br>(45.4-82.8)                                    | 3.89  | 120.8<br>(98.6-144.3)                                  | 140.8<br>(121.5-161.7)  | 100  |
|                | Spinosad+For<br>metanate<br>hvdrochloride | 403 | 1.9±0.3  | 31.1<br>(20.2-40.6)                                    | 25.91   | 80.7<br>(71.3-98.6)                                    | 102.4<br>(86.5-132.5)   | 96+500   |

\*: LC50 value of populations / LC50 value of the most susceptible (lowest LC50) population.

a.i.: active ingredient, n: number of pests used in the test.

reason for malathion resistance can be interpreted as the fact that the active ingredients has been used in the control of many pests, including thrips, for many years.

As a result of the study, the highest resistance ratios were observed in spinosad active ingredient. screening for In spinosad's resistance in populations, the lowest resistance rate was determined to be 11.0 times and the highest was 28.6 times. Furthermore, the lowest resistance ratio was observed in formetanate hydrochloride active ingredient with 1.5 times and the highest with 2 times. In the last year of the study, a lower resistance rate was obtained in the spinosad+formetanate hydrochloride mixture test compared to the spinosad application alone test. As a result of this experiment, it was determined that two insecticide mixtures with different modes of action caused a decrease in the resistance of spinosad-resistant populations. It is predicted that this application can be recommended for resistance management in locations with spinosad resistance.

The results obtained from the resistance studies should also give an idea about the level of negative impact on the success of pesticide applications in the field. Considering this situation, the resistance of the populations collected from the field was compared to the susceptible population in our study. Besides, the dose values (LC<sub>99</sub>) that killed 99% of the field populations were compared with the recommended dose values of the insecticides in question in the field. Although the laboratory results do not reflect the practice exactly, the insecticide test method we used in the study shows a close resemblance to the practice. In our tests, thrips are exposed to the chemical under the most severe conditions. The pests are forced to feed for two days in the chemically treated area on a real leaf disc (without the possibility of escape). Chemicals that are ineffective under these conditions may have great difficulty succeeding under field conditions. From this point of view, if the LC<sub>99</sub> dose value of an insecticide obtained in laboratory tests against a population is higher than the field-recommended dose of this chemical, it should be considered that the pesticide applications to be made in the field may fail.

Flower thrips have the potential to develop significant resistance to insecticides used for the control of F. occidentalis. Studies conducted in America, Australia, European countries, and Israel have reported that the species in question develop varying levels of resistance to the following chemical classes: endosulfan in the cyclodiene malathion. group; acephate, chlorpyrifos, dichlorvos, dimethoate, monocrotophos, and methamidophos in the organophosphorus group; methomyl, methiocarb. carbosulfan, and formetanate in the carbamate group; acrinathrin, bifenthrin, and cypermethrin in the pyrethroid group, abamectin in the macrocyclic lactone group, spinosad in the spinosyn group (Immaraju et al., 1992; Brodsgaard, 1994; Zhao et al., 1995; Karadjova, 1998; Kontsedalov et al., 1998; Jensen, 2000; Espinosa et al., 2002; Herron and James, 2005; Bielza et al., 2007; Dağlı and Tunç, 2007).

Bielza et al. (2007) indicated that the LC<sub>50</sub> values of F. occidentalis samples collected from areas in Murcia where no spinosad application had been made before, were between 0.005-0.077 mg l<sup>-1</sup> and were sensitive. Moreover, the samples collected from Almeria were resistant to spinosad when compared with the sensitive population of Bielza. In addition, it was determined in the study that spinosad did not have cross-resistance with methiocarb, acrinathrin and formetanate. Besides, Dağlı et al. (2010) determined methiocarb resistance in populations taken from Antalya and its districts between 1.4-15.3 times according to LC<sub>50</sub> values. It was stated that applications with methiocarb may fail at all points where the populations have been taken. Dağlı et al. (2010) determined resistance to spinosad in populations taken from Antalya and its districts between 1.0-141 times according to LC50 values. It was stated that applications with spinosad may fail at all points the populations have been where taken. Furthermore, Dağlı (2018) investigated spinosad resistance in F. occidentalis population from Antalya and determined a 235-times loss of sensitivity in the population compared to LC<sub>50</sub> values. However, in this study, spinosad resistance of populations was determined as 11.0-28.6 times. The reason for the resistance multiples difference between the present study and Dağlı (2018) is thought to be the presence of vegetable fields around the carnation greenhouses and the transition of possible sensitive populations to the carnation greenhouses.

Espinosa et al. (2002) reported 0.5-23.0-times loss of sensitivity to Formetanate and 1.3-22.3 times to methiocarb in F. occidentalis populations collected from Spain. However, in this study, a 1.5-2 times loss of sensitivity to Formetanate and a 2.1-2.7 times loss of sensitivity to methiocarb was detected. In another study, Jensen (1998) reported 9.5 times resistance to Methiocarb in the F. occidentalis population. Espinosa et al. (2002) determined an approximate 10 times reduction between Formetanate and methiocarb compared to this study. The fact that there are different vegetable areas around and the populations are crossing each other is considered to be the reason for the loss of sensitivity in the populations. Another important result of our study was that applications with spinosad+formetanate hydrochloride against this pest in locations known to have spinosad resistance could reduce the resistance.

### 4. Conclusion

Economic and environmental losses can be prevented to some extent by avoiding excessive and unnecessary insecticide applications against resistant populations. Studies yet to be conducted should regularly monitor sensitivity to any chemicals that may be used alternatively to control *F*.

occidentalis field populations. Moreover, with comprehensive research on populations, cross or multiple resistance spectra for current insecticides, resistance mechanisms and the inheritance pattern of resistance (genetics) should be revealed and basic resistance management programs should be established. Both in this study and other studies on resistance screening, it has been proven that the chemical-based control method becomes ineffective after a while due to resistance. At this point, it is imperative to expand the practical use of other control methods (such as cultural, biological, and biotechnical control) that minimize the selection pressure on pests.

### Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Assoc. Prof. Fatih Dağlı from Akdeniz University, who provided us with the sensitive population, and the General Directorate of Agricultural Research and Policies provided all kinds of support for us to carry out the study (Project Number: TAGEM/BSAD/B/18/A2/P2/335). A summary of a part of this study was presented at the VII Turkish Plant Protection Congress (14-17 November 2018) with International Participation.

#### References

- Anonymous (2016). Cut flower sector report. http://www.dogaka.gov.tr/Icerik/Dosya/624\_OW7B27 CN\_Kesme-cicek-Sektor-Raporu-2015.pdf (Date of access: 02.06.2016).
- Bielza, P., Quinto, V., Contreras, J., Torne, M., Martin, A., & Espinosa, P.J. (2007). Resistance to spinosad in the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande), in greenhouses of south-eastern Spain. *Pest Management Science*, 63(7):682-687.
- Brodsgaard, H.F. (1994). Insecticide resistance in European and African strains of western flower thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) tested in a new residue-onglass test. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 87(5):1141-1146.
- Contreas, J., Espinosa, P.J., Quinto, V., Grávalos, C., Fernández, E. & Bielza, P. (2008). Stability of insecticide resistance in *Frankliniella occidentalis* to acrinathrin, formetanate and methiocarb. *Agricultural* and Forest Entomology, 10(3):273-278.
- Dağlı, F., & Tunç I. (2006). Leaf residue bioassays on Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) with different group insecticides. Journal of Agriculture Faculty of Akdeniz University, 19(1):9-14 (in Turkish).
- Dağlı, F., & Tunç I. (2007). Insecticide resistance in Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) collected from horticulture and cotton in Turkey. Australian Journal of Entomology, 46:320-324.
- Dağlı, F., İkten, C., & Bahşi Ş. (2010). Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) Antalya Populasyonlarının İnsektisidlere Duyarlılığı ve İnsektisid Direnci İle Detoksifiye Edici Enzim Aktiviteleri Arasındaki İlişkinin Araştırılması", TÜBITAK Project No:1060717 (in Turkish).
- Dağlı, F. (2018). Spinosad resistance in a population of Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande, 1895) from Antalya and its cross resistance to acrinathrin and

formetanate. *Entomology Journal of Turkey*, 42(4):241-251 (in Turkish).

- Daughtrey, M.L., Jones, R.K., Moyer, J.W., Daub, M.E., & Baker, J.R. (1997). Tospoviruses strike the greenhouse industry: INSV has become a Major pathogen on flower crops. *Plant Disease*, 81:1220-1230.
- Espinosa, P.J., Bielza, P., Contreras, J., & Lacasa, A. (2002). Insecticide resistance in field populations of *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) in Murcia (southeast Spain). *Pest Management Science*, 58(9):967-971.
- Herron, G.A. & James, T.M. (2005). Monitoring insecticide resistance in Australian *Frankliniella* occidentalis Pergande (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) detects fipronil and spinosad resistance. Australian Journal of Entomology, 44(3):299-303.
- Immaraju, J.A., Paine, T.D., Bethke, J.A., Robb, K.L. & Newman, J.P. (1992) Western flower thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) resistance to insecticides in coastal California greenhouses. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 85(1):9-14.
- Jensen, S.E. (1998). Acetylcholinesterase activity associated with methiocarb resistance in a strain of western flower thrips *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande). *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 61:191-200.
- Jensen, S.E. (2000) Insecticide resistance in the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *Integrated Pest Management Reviews*, 5(2):131-146.
- Karadjova, O. (1998) Western flower thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) resistance to insecticides

in Bulgarian greenhouse populations. In Sixth International Symposium on Thysanoptera, pp. 55-62.

- Keçecioğlu, O., & Madanlar, N. (2002). Antalya ilinde örtüalti karanfil yetiştiriciliginde Batı çiçek tripsi *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)'e uygulanan bazı ilaçların etkileri üzerine araştırmalar. *Journal of Entomology of Turkey*, 26:147-154 (in Turkish).
- Kontsedalov, S., Weintraub, P.G., Horowitz, A.R., & Ishaaya, I. (1998) Effects of insecticides on immature and adult western flower thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in Israel. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 91(5):1067-1071.
- Robb, K.L., & Parella M.P. (1995) IPM of Western Flower Thrips. In: B.L, Parker, M. Skinner and T. Lewis.(Editors), Thrips Biology and Management. Plenum Press. Newyork pp. 365-370.
- Tunç, İ., & Göçmen, H. (1995) Antalya'da bulunan iki sera zararlısı Polyphagotar sonemus latus (Banks)(Acarina, Tarsonemidae) ve Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande)(Thysanoptera, Thripidae) üzerine notlar. Journal of Entomology of Turkey, 19:101-109 (in Turkish).
- Ulmann, D.E., Sherwood, J.L., & German T.L. (1997) Thrips As Vectors of Plant Pathogens, pp 539-565. (T. LEWIS, editör), Thrips As Crop Pest. CAB International, United Kingdom.
- Zhao, G., Liu, W.E.I., Brown, J.M., & Knowles C.O. (1995) Insecticide resistance in field and laboratory strains of western flower thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 88(5):1164-1170.