

PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Some new Pascal sequence spaces

AUTHORS: Harun Polat

PAGES: 61-68

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/503520>

Some new Pascal sequence spaces

Harun Polat^{a*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Muş Alparslan University, Muş, Turkey

*Corresponding author E-mail: h.polat@alparslan.edu.tr

Article Info

Keywords: α -, β - and γ -duals and basis of sequence, Matrix mappings, Pascal sequence spaces

2010 AMS: 46A35, 46A45, 46B45

Received: 27 March 2018

Accepted: 25 June 2018

Available online: 30 June 2018

Abstract

The main purpose of the present paper is to study of some new Pascal sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 . New Pascal sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 are found as BK -spaces and it is proved that the spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 are linearly isomorphic to the spaces l_∞ , c and c_0 respectively. Afterward, α -, β - and γ -duals of these spaces p_c and p_0 are computed and their bases are constructed. Finally, matrix the classes $(p_c : l_p)$ and $(p_c : c)$ have been characterized.

1. Preliminaries, background and notation

By w , we shall denote the space all real or complex valued sequences. Any vector subspace of w is called a sequence space. We shall write l_∞ , c , and c_0 for the spaces of all bounded, convergent and null sequence are given by $l_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k| < \infty \right\}$,

$c = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k \text{ exists} \right\}$ and $c_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0 \right\}$. Also by bs , cs , l_1 and l_p we denote the spaces of all bounded, convergent, absolutely convergent and p -absolutely convergent series, respectively.

A sequence space λ with a linear topology is called an K -space provided each of the maps $p_i : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p_i(x) = x_i$ is continuous for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$; where \mathbb{C} denotes the set of complex field and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. An K -space λ is called an FK -space provided λ is a complete linear metric space. An FK -space provided whose topology is normable is called a BK -space [1].

Let X, Y be any two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real numbers a_{nk} , where $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we write $Ax = ((Ax)_n)$, the A -transform of x , if $A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k$ converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x \in X$ implies that $Ax \in Y$, then we say that A defines a matrix transformation from X into Y and denote it by $A : X \rightarrow Y$. By $(X : Y)$ we denote the class of all infinite matrices A such that $A : X \rightarrow Y$. For simplicity in notation, here and in what follows, the summation without limits runs from 0 to ∞ .

Let F denote the collection of all finite subsets on \mathbb{N} and $K, \mathbb{N} \subset F$. The matrix domain X_A of an infinite matrix A in a sequence space X is defined by

$$X_A = \{x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in X\} \quad (1.1)$$

which is a sequence space.

The approach constructing a new sequence space by means of the matrix domain of a particular limitation method was used by authors [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. They introduced the sequence spaces $(c_0)_{T^r} = t_0^r$ and $(c)_{T^r} = t_c^r$ in [2], $(c_0)_{E^r} = e_0^r$ and $(c)_{E^r} = e_c^r$ in [3], $(c_0)_C = \bar{c}_0$ and $c_C = \bar{c}$ in [4], $(l_p)_{E^r} = e_p^r$ in [5], $(l_\infty)_{R^r} = r_\infty^r$, $c_{R^r} = r_c^r$ and $(c_0)_{R^r} = r_0^r$ in [6], $(l_p)_C = X_p$ in [7] and $(l_p)_{N_q}$ in [8] where T^r , E^r , C , R^r and N_q denote the Taylor, Euler, Cesaro, Riesz and Nörlund means, respectively.

Following [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], this way, the purpose of this paper is to introduce the new Pascal sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 and derive some results related to those sequence spaces. Furthermore, we have constructed the basis and computed the α -, β - and γ -duals of the spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 . Finally, we have characterized the matrix mappings from the space p_c to l_p and from the space p_c to c .

2. The Pascal matrix of inverse formula and Pascal sequence spaces

Let P denote the Pascal means defined by the Pascal matrix [9] as is defined by

$$P = [p_{nk}] = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{n-k}, (0 \leq k \leq n) \\ 0, (k > n) \end{cases}, (n, k \in \mathbb{N})$$

and the inverse of Pascal's matrix $P_n = [p_{nk}]$ [10] is given by

$$P^{-1} = [p_{nk}]^{-1} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{n-k}, (0 \leq k \leq n) \\ 0, (k > n) \end{cases}, (n, k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.1)$$

There is some interesting properties of Pascal matrix. For example; we can form three types of matrices: symmetric, lower triangular, and upper triangular, for any integer $n > 0$. The symmetric Pascal matrix of order n is defined by

$$S_n = (s_{ij}) = \binom{i+j-2}{j-1} i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.2)$$

We can define the lower triangular Pascal matrix of order n by

$$L_n = (l_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \binom{i-1}{j-1}, (0 \leq j \leq i) \\ 0, (j > i) \end{cases}, \quad (2.3)$$

and the upper triangular Pascal matrix of order n is defined by

$$U_n = (u_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \binom{j-1}{i-1}, (0 \leq i \leq j) \\ 0, (j > i) \end{cases}. \quad (2.4)$$

We notice that $U_n = (L_n)^T$, for any positive integer n .

i. Let S_n be the symmetric Pascal matrix of order n defined by (2.1), L_n be the lower triangular Pascal matrix of order n defined by (2.3), and U_n be the upper triangular Pascal matrix of order n defined by (2.4), then $S_n = L_n U_n$ and $\det(S_n) = 1$ [11].

ii. Let A and B be $n \times n$ matrices. We say that A is similar to B if there is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP = B$ [12].

iii. Let S_n be the symmetric Pascal matrix of order n defined by (2.2), then S_n is similar to its inverse S_n^{-1} [11].

iv. Let L_n be the lower triangular Pascal matrix of order n defined by (2.3), then $L_n^{-1} = ((-1)^{i-j} l_{ij})$ [13].

We wish to introduce the Pascal sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 , as the set of all sequences such that P -transforms of them are in the spaces l_∞ , c and c_0 , respectively, that is

$$p_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} x_k \right| < \infty \right\},$$

$$p_c = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} x_k \text{ exists} \right\}$$

and

$$p_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} x_k = 0 \right\}.$$

With the notation of (1.1), we may redefine the spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 as follows:

$$p_\infty = (l_\infty)_P, p_c = (c)_P \text{ and } p_0 = (c_0)_P. \quad (2.5)$$

If λ is an normed or paranormed sequence space, then matrix domain λ_P is called an Pascal sequence space. We define the sequence $y = (y_n)$ which will be frequently used, as the P -transform of a sequence $x = (x_n)$ i.e.,

$$y_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} x_k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.6)$$

It can be shown easily that p_∞ , p_c and p_0 are linear and normed spaces by the following norm:

$$\|x\|_{p_0} = \|x\|_{p_c} = \|x\|_{p_\infty} = \|Px\|_{l_\infty}. \quad (2.7)$$

Theorem 2.1. The sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 endowed with the norm (2.7) are Banach spaces.

Proof. Let sequence $\{x^t\} = \{x_0^{(t)}, x_1^{(t)}, x_2^{(t)}, \dots\}$ at p_∞ a Cauchy sequence for every fixed $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists an $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|x^t - x^r\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ for all $t, r > n_0$. Hence, $|P(x^t - x^r)| < \varepsilon$ for all $t, r > n_0$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\{Px_k^t\} = \{(Px^0)_k, (Px^1)_k, (Px^2)_k, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the set of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Since \mathbb{C} is complete, it is convergent say $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (Px_k^t) = (Px)_k$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (Px_k^m) = (Px)_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |Px_k^t - x_k^m| = |P(x_k^t - x_k) - P(x_k^m - x_k)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

This implies that $\|x^t - x^m\| \rightarrow 0$ for $t, m \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we should that $x \in p_\infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_\infty &= \|Px\|_\infty = \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} x_k \right| = \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} (x_k - x_k^t + x_k^t) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_n |P(x_k^t - x_k)| + \sup_n |Px_k^t| \\ &\leq \|x^t - x\|_\infty + |Px_k^t| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for $t, k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $x = (x_k) \in p_\infty$. Thus, p_∞ the space is a Banach space with the norm (2.7). It can be shown that p_0 and p_c are closed subspaces of p_∞ which leads us to the consequence that the spaces p_0 and p_c are also the Banach spaces with the norm (2.7). Furthermore, since p_∞ is a Banach space with continuous coordinates, i.e., $\|P(x_k^t - x_k)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ implies $|P(x_k^t - x_k)| \rightarrow 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it is also a *BK*-space. \square

Theorem 2.2. *The sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 are linearly isomorphic to the spaces l_∞ , c and c_0 respectively, i.e. $p_\infty \cong l_\infty$, $p_c \cong c$ and $p_0 \cong c_0$.*

Proof. To prove the fact $p_0 \cong c_0$, we should show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces p_0 and c_0 . Consider the transformation T defined, with the notation (2.6), from p_0 to c_0 . The linearity of T is clear. Further, it is trivial that $x = 0$ whenever $Tx = 0$ and hence T is injective.

Let $y \in c_0$. We define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ as follows:

$$x_k = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{k-i} y_i.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Px)_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{k-i} y_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0.$$

Thus, we have that $x \in p_0$. In addition, note that

$$\|x\|_{p_0} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{k-i} y_i \right| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |y_n| = \|y\|_{c_0} < \infty.$$

Consequently, T is surjective and is norm preserving. Hence, T is a linear bijection which therefore says us that the spaces p_0 to c_0 are linearly isomorphic. In the same way, it can be shown that p_c and p_∞ are linearly isomorphic to c and l_∞ , respectively, and so we omit the detail. \square

Before giving the basis of the sequence spaces p_c and p_0 , we define the Schauder basis. A sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a normed sequence space λ is called a Schauder basis (or briefly basis) [14], if for every $x \in \lambda$ there is a unique sequence (α_n) of scalars such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - (\alpha_0 x_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n)\| = 0.$$

In the following theorem, we shall give the Schauder basis for the spaces p_c and p_0 .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a fixed natural number and $b^{(k)} = \{b_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where*

$$b_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0, & (0 \leq n < k) \\ (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{n-k}, & (n \geq k) \end{cases}.$$

Then the following assertions are true:

- i. *The sequence $\{b_n^{(k)}\}$ is a basis for the space p_0 and every $x \in p_0$ has a unique representation of the form $x = \sum_k \lambda_k b^{(k)}$ where $\lambda_k = (Px)_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- ii. *The set $\{e, b^{(0)}, b^{(1)}, \dots, b^{(k)}, \dots\}$ is a basis for the space p_c and every $x \in p_c$ has a unique representation of the form $x = le + \sum_k (\lambda_k - l) b^{(k)}$, where $l = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (Px)_k$ and $\lambda_k = (Px)_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

3. The α -, β - and γ -duals of the spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0

In this section, we state and prove the theorems determining the α -, β - and γ -duals of the sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 . For the sequence spaces X and Y define the set $S(X, Y)$ by

$$S(X, Y) = \{z = (z_k) \in w : xz = (x_k z_k) \in Y \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

The α -, β - and γ -duals of the sequence spaces λ , which are respectively denoted by λ^α , λ^β and λ^γ are defined by Garling [15], by $\lambda^\alpha = S(\lambda, l_1)$, $\lambda^\beta = S(\lambda, cs)$ and $\lambda^\gamma = S(\lambda, bs)$. We shall begin with the Lemmas due to Stieglitz and Tietz [16], which are needed in the proof of the Theorems 3.4-3.6.

Lemma 3.1. $A \in (c_0 : l_1) = (c : l_1)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{K \in F} \sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in K} a_{nk} \right| < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.2. $A \in (c_0 : c)$ if and only if

$$\sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \alpha_k, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.3. $A \in (c_0 : l_\infty)$ if and only if (3.2) holds.

Theorem 3.4. The α -dual of the sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 is the set

$$D = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{K \in F} \sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in K} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{n-k} a_n \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $a = (a_n) \in w$ and consider the matrix B whose rows are the products of the rows of the matrix P^{-1} and sequence $a = (a_n)$. Bearing in mind the relation (2.3), we immediately derive that

$$a_n x_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{n-k} a_n y_k = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} y_k = (By)_n, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore by (3.4) we observe that that $ax = (a_n x_n) \in l_1$ whenever $x \in p_\infty$, p_c and p_0 if and only if $By \in l_1$ whenever $y \in l_\infty$, c , and c_0 . Then, we derive by Lemma 3.1 that

$$\sup_{K \in F} \sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in K} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{n-k} a_n \right| < \infty$$

which yields the consequences that $\{p_\infty\}^\alpha = \{p_c\}^\alpha = \{p_0\}^\alpha = D$. □

Theorem 3.5. Consider the sets D_1 , D_2 and D_3 defined as follows:

$$D_1 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right| < \infty \right\},$$

$$D_2 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \text{ exists for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and

$$D_3 = \left\{ a = (a_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \text{ exists} \right\}.$$

Then $\{p_0\}^\beta = D_1 \cap D_2$, $\{p_c\}^\beta = D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_3$ and $\{p_\infty\}^\beta = D_2 \cap D_3$.

Proof. We give the proof only for the space p_0 . Since the proof may be given by a similar way for the spaces p_c and p_∞ , we omit it. Consider the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} y_i \right] a_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right] y_k = (Dy)_n, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$D = (d_{nk}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i, & (0 \leq k \leq n) \\ 0, & (k > n) \end{cases}, (n, k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, we deduce from Lemma 3.2 with (3.5) that $ax = (a_k x_k) \in cs$ whenever $x = (x_k) \in p_0$ if and only if $Dy \in c$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in c_0$. Therefore, using relations (3.2) and (3.3), we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{nk}$ exists for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right| < \infty$$

which shows that $\{p_0\}^\beta = D_1 \cap D_2$. □

Theorem 3.6. *The γ -dual of the sequence spaces p_∞ , p_c and p_0 are D_1 .*

Proof. We give the proof only for the space p_0 . Consider the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x_k \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{k-i} y_i \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right] y_k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right| |y_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x_k \right| &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right| |y_k| \right) \\ &\leq \|y\|_{c_0} \sup_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right| \right) \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $a = (a_k) \in \{p_0\}^\gamma$. Hence,

$$D_1 \subset \{p_0\}^\gamma. \quad (3.7)$$

Conversely, let $a = (a_k) \in \{p_0\}^\gamma$ and $x \in p_0$. Then one can easily see that

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left[\sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right] y_k \right) \in l_\infty$$

whenever $ax = (a_k x_k) \in bs$. This implies that the matrix D given at the (3.6) is in the class $(c_0 : l_\infty)$. Hence, the condition

$$\sup_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_i \right| \right) < \infty$$

is satisfied, which implies that $a = (a_k) \in D_1$. In other words,

$$\{p_0\}^\gamma \subset D_1. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, by combining inclusions (3.7) and (3.8), we establish that the γ -dual of the sequence spaces p_0 is D_1 , which completes the proof. □

4. Some matrix mappings related to Pascal sequence spaces

Lemma 4.1. [16, p. 57] The matrix mappings between BK -spaces are continuous.

Lemma 4.2. [16, p. 128] $A \in (c : l_p)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{K \in F} \sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in K} a_{nk} \right|^p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 4.3. $A \in (p_c : l_p)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied: For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\sup_{K \in F} \sum_k \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right|^p < \infty, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \text{ exists for all } k, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\sum_k \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \text{ converges for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\sup_{m \in N} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| \sum_{i=k}^m (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right| < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.5)$$

and for $p = \infty$, conditions (4.3) and (4.5) are satisfied and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right| < \infty. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Assume that conditions (4.2) - (4.6) are satisfied and take any $x \in p_c$. Then $(a_{nk}) \in (p_c)^\beta$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that Ax exists. We define the matrix $G = (g_{nk})$ with

$$g_{nk} = \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since condition (4.1) is satisfied for the matrix G , we have $G \in (c : l_p)$. Now consider the following equality obtained from the s -th partial sum of the series $\sum_k a_{nk} x_k$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^s a_{nk} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{i=k}^s (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} y_k, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.7)$$

Therefore, we derive from (4.7) as $s \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} y_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.8)$$

Whence taking l_p -norm we get

$$\|Ax\|_{l_p} = \|Gy\|_{l_p} < \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

This means that $A \in (p_c : l_p)$. Now let $p = \infty$. Assume that conditions (4.2) - (4.6) are satisfied and take any $x \in p_c$. Then $(a_{nk}) \in (p_c)^\beta$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that Ax exists. Whence taking l_∞ -norm (4.8)

$$\|Ax\|_{l_\infty} = \sup_{n \in N} \left| \sum_k g_{nk} \right| \leq \|y\|_{l_\infty} \sup_{n \in N} \sum_k |g_{nk}| < \infty.$$

Then, we have $A \in (p_c : l_\infty)$.

Conversely, assume that $A \in (p_c : l_p)$. Then, since p_c and l_p are BK -spaces, it follows from Lemma 4 that there exists a real constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|Ax\|_{l_p} = K \|x\|_{h_c} \quad (4.10)$$

for all $x \in p_c$. Since inequality (4.10) also holds for the sequence

$$x = (x_k) = \sum_{k \in F} b^{(k)} \in p_c,$$

where

$$b^{(k)} = \{b_n^{(k)}\} = \begin{cases} 0, & (0 \leq n < k) \\ (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{n-k}, & (n \geq k) \end{cases}$$

for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\|Ax\|_{l_p} = \left[\sum_n \left| \sum_{k \in F} \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K \|x\|_{p_c} = K,$$

which shows the necessity of (4.2). \square

Theorem 4.4. $A \in (p_c : c)$ if and only if conditions (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6) are satisfied,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} = \alpha_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in F} \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} = \alpha. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Assume that A satisfies conditions (4.3), (4.5), (4.6), (4.11) and (4.12). Let us take an arbitrary $x = (x_k)$ in p_c such that $x_k \rightarrow l$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then Ax exists, and it is trivial that the sequence $y = (y_k)$ associated with the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by relation (2.3) belongs to c and is such that $y_k \rightarrow l$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. At this stage, it follows from (4.11) and (4.6) that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k |\alpha_j| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_j \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right| < \infty$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This yield $\alpha_n \in l_1$. Considering (4.8), we write

$$\sum_k a_{nk} x_k = \sum_k \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} (y_k - l) + l \sum_k \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} y_k. \quad (4.13)$$

In this situation, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.13), we establish that the first term on the right-hand side tends to $\sum_k \alpha_k (y_k - l)$ by (4.6) and (4.11), and the second term tends to $l\alpha$ by (4.11). Taking these facts into account, we deduce from (4.13) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$(Ax)_n \rightarrow \sum_k \alpha_k (y_k - l) + l\alpha$$

which shows that $A \in (p_c : c)$.

Conversely, assume that $A \in (p_c : c)$. Then, since the inclusion $c \subset l_\infty$ holds the necessity of (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6) is immediately obtained from

$$\sup_n \sum_k \left| \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right| < \infty.$$

To prove the necessity of (4.11) consider the sequence $x = b^{(k)} = \{b_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in p_c . Where

$$b^{(k)} = \{b_n^{(k)}\} = \begin{cases} 0, & (0 \leq n < k) \\ (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{n-k}, & (n \geq k) \end{cases}$$

for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Ax exists and belongs to c for every $x \in p_c$, one can easily see that

$$Ab^{(k)} = \left\{ \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which yields the necessity of (4.11).

Similarly, by setting $x = e = (1, 1, \dots)$ in (4.8), we obtain

$$Ax = \left\{ \sum_k \sum_{i=k}^n (-1)^{i-k} \binom{i}{i-k} a_{ni} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

which belongs to the space c , and this shows the necessity of (4.12). This step concludes the proof. \square

References

- [1] B. Choudhary and S. Nanda, *Functional Analysis with Applications*, Wiley, New Delhi, 1989.
- [2] M. Kirişçi, *On the Taylor Sequence Spaces of Non-Absolute Type which Include The Spaces c_0 and c* , Journal of Math. Analysis **6** (2015), 22-35.
- [3] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Some Euler Sequence Spaces of Non-Absolute Type*, Ukrainian Math. J. **57** (2005), 1-17.
- [4] M. Şengönül, F. Başar, *Some New Cesaro Sequence Spaces of Non-Absolute Type which Include The Spaces c_0 and c* , Soochow J. Math. **31** (2005), 107-119.
- [5] B. Altay, F. Başar, M. Mursaleen, *On the Euler sequence spaces which include in the spaces l_p and l_∞* , Inform. Sci. **176** (2006), 1450-1462.
- [6] E. Malkowsky, *Recent results in the theory of matrix transformations in sequences spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **49** (1997), 187-196.
- [7] P. N. Ng, P. Y. Lee, *Cesaro sequences spaces of non-absolute type*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **20** (1978), 429-433.
- [8] C. S. Wang, *On Nörlund sequence spaces*, Tamkang J. Math. **9** (1978), 269-274.
- [9] G. H. Lawden, *Pascal matrices*, Mathematical Gazette **56** (1972), 325-327.
- [10] S. Dutta and P. Baliarsingh, *On some Toeplitz matrices and their inversions*, J. Egypt Math. Soc. **22** (2014), 420-423.
- [11] R. Bräuer, *Potenzen der Pascal matrix und eine Identität der Kombinatorik*, Elem. der Math. **45** (1990), 107-110.
- [12] A. Edelman and G. Strang, *Pascal Matrices*, The Mathematical Association of America, Monthly **111** (2004), 189-197.
- [13] C. Lay David, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 4th Ed. Boston, Pearson, Addison-Wesley, 2012.
- [14] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- [15] D.J.H. Garling, *The α -, β - and γ - Duality of Sequence Spaces*, Proc. Comb. Phil. Soc. **63** (1967), 963-981.
- [16] M. Stieglitz, H. Tietz, *Matrixtransformationen von Folgenräumen Eine Ergebnisübersicht*, Math. Z. **154** (1977), 1-16.