PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: On the Topological Centers of Banach Algebras

AUTHORS: Hayri AKAY, Danyal SOYBAS

PAGES: 15-19

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/83010



On the Topological Centers of Banach Algebras

Hayri AKAY*, Danyal SOYBAŞ

Gazi University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics, 06500, Ankara, TURKEY Received:05.04.2005 Accepted:21.10.2005

ABSTRACT

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Let Z_2 and \widetilde{Z}_2 be respectively, the topological centers of the algebras A** and (AA*)* with respect to the second Arens multiplication. In this paper, we show that \widetilde{M}_2 is isometrically isomorphic to LM(A), where \widetilde{M}_2 is a closed subalgebra of \widetilde{Z}_2 and LM(A) is the set of left multipliers operators of the Banach algebra A.

Key words: Topological center, Arens multiplication, Banach algebra, Left multiplier operator

1. INTRODUCTION, NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. By A^* we denote its normed dual. We always regard A as naturally embedded into its second dual A^{**} . For a in A and f in A^* , by $\langle f, a \rangle$ or $\langle a, f \rangle$ we denote the natural duality between A and A^* . The first Arens multiplication is defined in three steps as follows. For $a, b \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $m, n \in A^{**}$, the elements $f \cdot a, m \cdot f$ of A^* and $m \cdot n$ of A^{**} are defined as follows:

The second Arens multiplication is defined as follows. For $a,b \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $m, n \in A^{**}$, the element $a\Delta f$, $f\Delta m$ of A^* and $m\Delta n$ of A^{**} are defined by the equalities

 We define the subspaces A * A and AA * of A * as $A * A = \{f \cdot a : f \in A^*, a \in A\},$ $AA^* = \{a\Delta f : a \in A, f \in A^*\}.$

It is well-known that these subspaces are norm-closed linear subspaces of A^* Hewitt &Ross (2). On the other hand, the second dual A^{**} of A is a Banach algebra with respect to both the first and the second Arens multiplication (1). In the case where $A = L^1(G)$ and G is a locally compact Abelian group, we denote the spaces A^*A and AA^* , respectively, by LUC(G) and RUC(G) as in (3). In the case where A = A(G), the space A^*A , which is the same as AA^* , is denoted by $UCB(\hat{G})$ as in (4). In (5), Lau and Ülger showed that $\widetilde{Z}_1 \cong RM(A)$. Terminologies and notations not explained in this section will be explained or referenced in the next section.

2. ARENS MULTIPLICATIONS AND TOPOLOGICAL CENTERS

Definition 2.1. Let A be a Banach algebra. A left [right] approximate identity for A is a net $\{e_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, where Λ is some directed system, such that for all $a \in A$,

^{*} Corresponding authour, e-mail: danyal@gazi.edu.tr

 $\lim_{\alpha} (e_{\alpha} a) = a \quad [\lim_{\alpha} (ae_{\alpha}) = a] \text{ in the norm topology.}$ The approximate identity is said to be *bounded* if $||e_{\alpha}|| \leq 1$ for all. An approximate identity is said to be two-sided if it is both a right and a left one. An algebra A with a bounded two-sided approximate identity is called 'with a bounded approximate identity'. Every unital Banach algebra has an approximate identity. However, the converse is not true in general.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a Banach algebra and consider the natural duality between A and A^* . We denote the weak topology on A by $\sigma(A,A^*)$ and the weak* topology on A^* by $\sigma(A^*, A)$.

As is mentioned in the previous section, we will explain the basic properties of " \cdot " and " Δ " Arens multiplications:

For an element *n* fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $m \to m \cdot n$ is weak*-weak* continuous with respect to the topology $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ on A^{**} . However, for an element *m* fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $n \to m.n$ is in general not weak*-weak* continuous unless *m* is in *A*. Hence ,by making use of these explanations, the topological center of A^{**} with respect to the first Arens multiplication is defined as follows:

 $Z_1 = \{ m \in A^{**}: \text{ The mapping } n \longrightarrow m.n \text{ is weak*-weak* continuous on } A^{**} \}$

$$= \{ m \in A^{**} : m \cdot n = m \Delta n, \text{ for all } n \in A^{**} \}$$

For m fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $n \to m\Delta n$ is weak*-weak* continuous on A^{**} . But, for *n* fixed in A^{**} , the mapping $m \to m\Delta n$ is in general not weak*-weak* continuous unless *n* is in *A*. Whence the topological center of A^{**} with respect to the second Arens multiplication is defined as follows:

 $Z_2 = \{ n \in A^{**}: \text{ The mapping } m \to m\Delta n \text{ is } \\ \text{weak*-weak* continuous on } A^{**} \}$

Recall that the equalities $\hat{a}.m = \hat{a}\Delta m$ and $m.\hat{a} = m\Delta\hat{a}$ hold for a in A and m in A^{**} . Since the mapping $a \rightarrow \hat{a}$ $(A \rightarrow \hat{A} \subseteq A^{**})$ is an algebraic isometrical isomorphism we can write \hat{A} instead of A if necessary. It is clear that $A \subseteq Z_1 \cap Z_2$ and that Z_i

(i=1,2) is a closed subalgebra of A^{**} . For detailed information see (5).

Let M_1 and M_2 be two subspaces of A^{**} such that

$$M_1 = \left\{ m \in A^{**}: A.m \subseteq A \right\},$$
$$M_2 = \left\{ m \in A^{**}: m.A \subseteq A \right\}.$$

Like preceding subspaces, We define the following sets:

$$\tilde{M}_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu \in (A^*A)^* \colon A.\mu \subseteq A \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\tilde{M}_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu \in (AA^*)^* \colon \mu.A \subseteq A \end{array} \right\}$$

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded appoximate identity then \widetilde{M}_1 is a closed subalgebra of $(A^*A)^*$ and $\widetilde{M}_1 \subseteq \widetilde{Z}_1$ (5, Proposition 4.1). An algebra A is a subalgebra of all A^{**} , $(A^*A)^*$ and $(AA^*)^*$ algebras. Note that for $a \in A$ and $\mu \in (AA^*)^*$, the multiplication element $\mu.a$ is an element of $(AA^*)^*$. Morever, if $\widetilde{\mu}$ is any Hahn-Banach extension of μ to A^* then for $f \in A^*$, $a \in A$, $\mu \in (AA^*)^*$ and $\widetilde{\mu} \in A^{**}$ the equalities

$$\langle \tilde{\mu}.a, f \rangle = \langle \hat{a}, f\Delta\hat{\mu} \rangle = \langle f\Delta\hat{\mu}, a \rangle$$

$$= \langle \hat{\mu}, a\Delta f \rangle = \langle \mu, a\Delta f \rangle$$

$$= \langle f\Delta\mu, a \rangle = \langle \hat{a}, f\Delta\mu \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mu\Delta\hat{a}, f \rangle = \langle \mu\Delta a, f \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mu.a, f \rangle$$

hold and hence we have the equalities $\mu.a = \tilde{\mu}.a$ and $\mu\Delta a = \tilde{\mu}\Delta a$. Whence we can consider $\mu.a$ as an element of A^{**} .

Let the mapping $\widehat{f.m}: A^{**} \to C$ be defined by $< \widehat{f.m}, n >=< f, n \Delta m > .$ The functional $\widehat{f.m}$ belongs to $A^{***} = A^* \oplus A^{\perp}$ but it does not have to be an element of A^* . Similarly, let the mapping $(\mu.f): (AA^*)^* \to C$ be defined by $< (\mu.f), \lambda >=< f, \lambda \Delta \mu > .$ Although the functional $(\mu.f)$ belongs to $(AA^*)^{**}$ it may not be an element of A^* , see (5) for detail. Now, the following lemma which plays an important role in our study will be given.

Lemma 2.3: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded appoximate identity. Let m be an element in A^{**} and μ be an element in $(AA^*)^*$. Then the following assertions hold:

a)
$$m$$
 is in Z_2 if and only if, for each f in A^*

the functional $f \cdot m$ is in A^* . If this happens,

f.m = m.f and m.f is in AA^* . b) μ is in \widetilde{Z}_2 if and only if, for each g in AA^* , the functional $(\mu.g)$ is in AA^* .

c) μ is in \widetilde{Z}_2 if and only if, for each a in A, $\mu.a$ is in Z_2 .

Proof: a) Assume m is in Z_2 , and let f be an element of A^* . Then, for all n in A^{**} ,

$$< f.m,n >=< f,n\Delta m >$$

=< f,n.m >
=< m.f,n >

so that $\hat{f.m} = m.f$, and $\hat{f.m}$ is in A^* since m.f is in A^* .

Conversely, assume that, for each f in A^* , the functional $\hat{f.m}$ is in A^* and let $\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a convergent net in A^{**} that converges to some n in the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ topology. Then

$$< f, n_{\alpha} \Delta m > = <$$

 $\hat{f.m}, n_{\alpha} > \rightarrow < \hat{f.m}, n > = < f, n \Delta m >$

so that m is in Z_2 since, for $n \in A^{**}$, the mapping $n \to n\Delta m$ is $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -continuous on A^{**} .

Now suppose m is in Z_2 and f is in A^* . Let $\{a_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a convergent net in A that converges to some m in the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ topology. Then, since $f \cdot m$ is in A^* and for each n in A^{**} ,

$$\langle a_{\alpha} \Delta f, n \rangle = \langle f, n.m \rangle = \langle f, n\Delta m \rangle = \langle f.m, n \rangle$$

we see that the net $\{a_{\alpha}\Delta f\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ converges weakly to the element $\hat{f.m}$ in A^* . Since AA^* is a closed subspace of A^* , we conclude that $\hat{f.m}$ is in AA^* .

b) Suppose that μ is in \widetilde{Z}_2 , and let g be in AA^* . Let $\{\lambda_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be a net in $(AA^*)^*$ that converges to some λ in $(AA^*)^*$ in the $\sigma((AA^*)^*, AA^*)$ topology. Then by the definition of \widetilde{Z}_2

 $<(\mu,g), \lambda_{\alpha} >=< g, \lambda_{\alpha} \Delta \mu > \rightarrow < g, \lambda \Delta \mu >=<(\mu,g), \lambda >$ This shows that the functional (μ,g) is weak* continuous on $(AA^*)^*$. Since we have the duality $((AA^*)^*, \sigma((AA^*)^*, AA^*))^* = AA^*, (\mu,g)$ is s in AA^* .

Conversely, assume that g and $(\mu.g)$ are in AA^* and let $\{\lambda_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be a weak* convergent net in $(AA^*)^*$ converging to some λ in $(AA^*)^*$. Then,

 $\langle g, \lambda_{\alpha} \Delta \mu \rangle = \langle (\mu.g), \lambda_{\alpha} \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\mu.g), \lambda \rangle = \langle g, \lambda \Delta \mu \rangle$ holds which means μ is in \widetilde{Z}_2 .

c) Let μ_{\sim} is in \widetilde{Z}_2 . Then, for each $g = a\Delta f$

in AA^* , $(\mu.g)$ belongs to AA^* from assertion b). Given an element *n* of A^{**} , let \tilde{n} be its restriction AA^* . Then the equality $\tilde{n}\Delta\mu a = n\Delta\mu a$ holds and we have $(\mu g) = f \cdot \mu a$ by the following equalities

$$<(\mu g \widetilde{)}, n > = <(\mu g \widetilde{)}, \widetilde{n} >$$
$$= < f, \widetilde{n} \Delta \mu a >$$
$$= < f . \mu a, n >.$$

Since $f \cdot \mu a$ is in AA^* , we conclude, by assertion a), that $\mu \cdot a$ is in Z_2 . The converse implication also follows by the same operations. **Proposition 2.4:** Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded appoximate identity. Then \widetilde{M}_2 is a closed subalgebra of $(AA^*)^*$ and $\widetilde{M}_2 \subseteq \widetilde{Z}_2$.

Proof: From the definition of \widetilde{M}_2 we have the inclusion $\widetilde{M}_2 \subseteq (AA^*)^*$. Let (μ_n) be a sequence in \widetilde{M}_2 . Then for all *n* we have $\mu_n \cdot A \subseteq A$. Let μ be an element in $(AA^*)^*$ such that $\lim_n ||\mu_n - \mu|| = 0$. For an element *a* in *A*, $(\mu_n \cdot a)$ is a sequence in *A*. Since *A* is closed and the multiplication is norm-continuous, we have $||\mu_n \cdot a - \mu \cdot a|| \to 0$, that is, $\mu \cdot a$ is in *A*. Hence \widetilde{M}_2 is a closed subalgebra of $(AA^*)^*$.

On the other hand, let μ be an element in \widetilde{M}_2 . Then, for an element *a* in *A*, $\mu.a$ is in $A \subset Z_2$. By the assertion c) of Lemma 2.3, μ is in \widetilde{Z}_2 and hence $\widetilde{M}_2 \subseteq \widetilde{Z}_2$.

Definition 2.5: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded appoximate identity. A bounded linear operator $T: A \rightarrow A$ is said to be a left multiplier if T(ab) = T(a)b holds for all a, b in A. The set of all left multiplier of A is denoted by LM(A).

Theorem 2.6: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded appoximate identity. Then the closed algebra \widetilde{M}_2 is isometrically isomorphic to LM(A).

Proof: For each element μ in \widetilde{M}_2 , let $T_{\mu} : A \to A$ be the linear operator defined by the rule $T_{\mu}(a) = \mu a$, for all a in A. As, for a,b in A,

$$T_{\mu}(ab) = \mu(ab) = (\mu a)b = T_{\mu}(a)b$$

 T_{μ} is a left multiplier on A. Since $\|\mu \alpha\| \le \|\mu\| \|a\|$, it is obvious that $\|T_{\mu}\| \le \|\mu\|$. Actually $\|T_{\mu}\| = \|\mu\|$. To show the inequality $\|T_{\mu}\| \ge \|\mu\|$, let $(e_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a bounded appoximate identity. As we can suppose $\|e_{\alpha}\| \le 1$ for all α in Λ ,

$$\|T_{\mu}\| \ge \sup_{\alpha} \|T_{\mu}(e_{\alpha})\| = \sup_{\alpha} \|\mu.e_{\alpha}\| = \sup_{\alpha} \sup_{\|a\Delta f\| \le 1} \|\mu.e_{\alpha}(a\Delta f)\|$$

Since, for f in A^* a in A and

 $\begin{aligned} \|a\Delta f.e_{\alpha} - a\Delta f\| &= \|a\Delta(f.e_{\alpha} - f)\| \le \|a\| \|f.e_{\alpha} - f\| \to 0, \\ \sup_{\alpha} |\mu e_{\alpha} (a\Delta f)| \ge \lim_{\alpha} |\mu (e_{\alpha} . (a\Delta f))| = |\mu . (a\Delta f)| \\ \text{Hence} \sup_{\alpha} \sup_{\|a\Delta f\| \le 1} \|\mu . e_{\alpha} (a\Delta f)\| \ge \sup_{\|a\Delta f\| \le 1} |\mu (a\Delta f)| = \|\mu\| \end{aligned}$

so that $||T_{\mu}|| \ge ||\mu||$. Since we have the equality $||T_{\mu}|| = ||\mu||$, it follows that the mapping $S: \widetilde{M}_2 \to LM(A)$ defined by $S(\mu) = T_{\mu}$ is an isometry. To show that S is a Banach algebra homomorphism, let μ_1, μ_2 be in \widetilde{M}_2 and a in A. Indeed,

 $S(\mu_1.\mu_2)(a) = T_{\mu_1.\mu_2}(a) = (\mu_1.\mu_2)a = \mu_1(\mu_2.a) = \mu_1(T_{\mu_2}(a))$ $= T_{\mu_1}(T_{\mu_2}(a)) = T_{\mu_1}.T_{\mu_2}(a) = S(\mu_1).S(\mu_2)(a).$

To complete the proof, it is enough to show that S is onto. Let T be any element in LM(A). Since we can consider A as a subalgebra of $(AA^*)^*$, the net $(T(e_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is in $(AA^*)^*$ and, for each *f.a* in $(AA^*)^*$, it follows

 $\langle a\Delta f, T(e_{\alpha}) \rangle = \langle f, T(e_{\alpha}).a \rangle = \langle f, T(e_{\alpha}.a) \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, T(a) \rangle$ This shows that the net $(T(e_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a weak*-Cauchy in $(AA^*)^*$. Hence it converges to some element μ of $(AA^*)^*$ in the weak* topology of this space. The above equalities

$$\langle a\Delta f, \mu \rangle \rangle = \langle f, T(a) \rangle$$

for all f in A^* and a in A. Then $\langle a\Delta f, \mu \rangle \rangle = \langle f, \mu.a \rangle \rangle = \langle f, T(a) \rangle$, which means $\mu.a = T(a)$ so that $T = T_{\mu}$. Since, for each T in LM(A), there is an element μ in \widetilde{M}_2 such that $S(\mu) = T_{\mu} = T$, the mapping S is onto.

Corollary 2.6: Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded appoximate identity. If $Z_2A \subseteq A$ then, $\tilde{M}_2 = \tilde{Z}_2 \cong LM(A)$.

REFERENCES

- 1) Arens, R., "The adjoint of a bilinear operation", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2, 839-848, (1951).
- 2) Hewitt, E. and Ross, K., "Abstract Harmonic Analysis", Volumes I and II, Newyork, (1970).
- Lau, A.T., "Continuity of Arens multiplication on the dual space of bounded uniformly continuous functions on locally compact groups and topological semigroups", Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 99, 273-283, (1986).
- Lau, A.T. and Losert, V., "The C* algebra generated by operators with compact support on a locally compact group", J. Functinal Analysis, 112, 1-30, (1993).
- Lau, A.T. and Ülger, A., "Topological centers of certain dual algebras", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 348 (3), 1191-1212, (1996).