PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: A General Fixed Point Theorem In Complete G - Metric Spaces For Weakly Compatible

Pairs

AUTHORS: Valeriu POPA, Alinamihaela PATRICIU

PAGES: 157-163

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/83605



A General Fixed Point Theorem In Complete ^G - Metric Spaces For Weakly Compatible Pairs

Valeriu POPA¹, Alina Michaela PATRICIU^{1,♠}

Vasile Alecsandri University of Bacau Faculty of Sciences Department of Mathematics ROMANIA

Received: 19.11.2012 Accepted:05.06.2013

ABSTRACT

In this paper a general fixed point theorem in complete G - metric space for weakly compatible pairs of mappings is proved, which generalize the results by Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 [18] and obtained another particular results.

Key words: complete $\,G\,$ - metric space, fixed point, weakly compatible mappings, implicit relation.

^{*}Corresponding author, e-mail:alina.patriciu@ub.ro

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X,d) be a metric space and S, $T:(X,d) \rightarrow (X,d)$ be two mappings. In 1994, Pant [13] introduced the notion of pointwise R - weakly commuting mappings. It is proved in [14] that the notion of pointwise R - weakly commutativity is equivalent to commutativity in coincidence points. Jungck [4] defined S and T to be weakly compatible if Sx = Tx implies STx = TSx. Thus, S and T are weakly compatible if and only if S and S are pointwise S and S and S are pointwise S and S are

In [2], [3] Dhage introduced a new class of generalized metric spaces, named D - metric space. Mustafa and Sims [6], [7] proved that most of the claims concerning the fundamental topological structures on D - metric spaces are incorrect and introduced appropriate notion of generalized metric space, named G - metric space. In fact, Mustafa, Sims and other authors studied many fixed point results for self mappings in G - metric spaces under certain conditions [5] – [12], [17].

Quite recently, Srivastava et al. [18] proved two fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in complete G - metric spaces.

In [15] and [16], Popa initiated the study of fixed points for mappings satisfying implicit relations.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a general fixed point theorem in G - metric spaces for weakly compatible pairs of mappings satisfying an implicit relation which generalize the results from Theorems 3.2 and 3.2 [18].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 [7] Let X be a nonempty set and $G: X^3 \to \mathbf{R}_+$ be a function satisfying the following properties:

 $(G_1): G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if } x = y = z,$

 $(G_2): 0 \le G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \ne y$,

 (G_3) : $G(x, x, y) \le G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \ne y$,

 (G_4) : G(x, y, z) = G(y, z, x) = G(z, x, y) = ... (symmetry in all three variables),

 (G_5) : $G(x, y, z) \le G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called a G - metric on X and the pair (X,G) is called a G - metric space.

Note that G(x, y, z) = 0, then x = y = z.

Definition 2.2 [7] Let (X,G) be a G - metric space. A sequence (x_n) in X is said to be

a) G - convergent if for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \ge k$, $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$,

b) G - Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m, p \ge k$, $G(x_n, x_m, x_p) < \varepsilon$, that is $G(x_n, x_m, x_p) \to 0$ as $n, m, p \to \infty$.

c) $A \ G$ - metric space is said to be G - complete if every G - Cauchy sequence is G - convergent.

Lemma 2.1 [7] Let (X,G) be a G - metric space. Then, the following properties are equivalent:

1) (x_n) is G - convergent to x;

2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$;

3) $G(x_n, x, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$;

4) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.2 [7] If (X,G) is a G - metric space and $(x_n) \in X$, then the following properties are equivalent:

1) (x_n) is G - Cauchy;

2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \ge k$.

Lemma 2.3 [7] 1 Let (X,G) be a G - metric space, then the function G(x,y,z) is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Lemma 2.4 [7] Let (X,G) be a G - metric space. Then $G(x,y,y) \le 2G(y,x,x)$ for all $x,y \in X$.

Quite recently, the following theorems are proved in [18].

Theorem 2.1 Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $S,T:X\to X$ be two mappings which satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $T(X) \subset S(X)$,

(ii) T(X) or S(X) is G -complete, and

(iii) $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le \alpha G(Sx, Sy, Sz) + \beta G(Tx, Sx, Sx) + \gamma G(Ty, Sy, Sy) + \delta G(Tz, Sz, Sz) + \eta G(Tx, Sy, Sy)$,

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \ge 0$ and $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + 2\eta \le 1$.

Then S and T have an unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if S and T are weakly compatible, then S and T have an unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2.2 Let (X,G) be a complete G - metric space and let $S,T:X\to X$ be two mappings which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $T(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (ii) T(X) or S(X) is G -complete, and

(iii) $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le \alpha \max\{G(Sx, Sy, Sz), G(Tx, Sx, Sx), G(Ty, Sy, Sy), G(Tz, Sz, Sz), (Tx, Sy, Sy)\},$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Then S and T have an unique point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if S and T are weakly compatible, then S and T have an unique common fixed point in X.

3. IMPLICIT RELATIONS

Definition 3.1 [2] Let \mathcal{F}_S be the set of all continuous functions $F(t_1,...,t_5): \mathbf{R}_+^5 \to \mathbf{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (F_1) F is nonincreasing in variables t_3 and t_4 ,
- (F_2) There exists $h \in [0,1)$ such that for all $u, v \ge 0$, $F(u, v, 2v, 2u, 0) \le 0$ implies $u \le hv$,
- (F_3) F(t,t,0,0,t) > 0, $\forall t > 0$.

Example3.1

 $F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - (c+d)t_4 - et_5$, where $a,b,c,d,e \ge 0$ and $a+2b+2c+2d+e \le 1$.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be and $F(u, v, 2v, 2u, 0) = u - av - 2bv - 2(c+d)u \le 0$. Then, $u \le hv$ where $0 \le h = \frac{a+2b}{1-2(c+d)}$.

 (F_3) : $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t(1-(a+e)) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example 3.2 $F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1 - k \max\{t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5\}$, where $k \in \left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right]$.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

 (F_2) : Let $u,v \ge 0$ be and $F(u,v,2v,2u,0) = u-k\max\{v,2v,2u\} \le 0$. If u > v, then $u(1-2k) \le 0$, a contradiction. Hence $u \le v$ and $u \le hv$, where $0 \le h = 2k < 1$.

 (F_3) : $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t(1-k) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example3.3

 $F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1^2 - t_1(at_2 + bt_3 + ct_4) - dt_5^2$, where $a,b,c,d \ge 0$, a + 2b + 2c < 1 and a + d < 1.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

 $(F_2): \qquad \text{Let} \qquad u,v \geq 0 \qquad \text{be} \qquad \text{and}$ $F(u,v,2v,2u,0) = u^2 - u(av + 2bv + 2cu) \leq 0 \text{ . If } u > 0 \text{ ,}$ then $u - av - 2bv - 2cu \leq 0 \quad \text{which implies} \quad u \leq hv \text{ ,}$ where $0 \leq h = \frac{a+2b}{1-2c} < 1$. If u = 0 then $u \leq hv$.

$$(F_3)$$
: $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t^2(1-(a+d)) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example 3.4 $F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1 - a \frac{t_2 + t_3}{2} - b \frac{t_4 + t_5}{2}$ where $a,b \ge 0$ and 3a + 2b < 2.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be and $F(u, v, 2v, 2u, 0) = u - a\frac{3v}{2} - bu \le 0$. Hence $u \le hv$, where $0 \le h = \frac{3a}{2 - 2b} < 1$.

$$(F_3)$$
: $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t\left(1 - \frac{a+b}{2}\right) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example 3.5 $F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1^2 - at_2^2 - b\frac{t_3^2 + t_4^2}{1 + t_5^2}$,

where a + 8b < 1.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ be and $F(u, v, 2v, 2u, 0) = u^2 - av^2 - (4u^2 + 4v^2)b \le 0$ which implies $u \le hv$, where $0 \le h = \sqrt{\frac{a+4b}{1-4b}}$.

$$(F_3)$$
: $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t^2(1-a) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example3.6

 $F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1 - at_2 - bt_3 - c \min\{t_4,t_5\}$, where $a,b,c \ge 0$ and a + 2b < 1.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, 2v, 2u, 0) = u - av - 2bv \le 0$ which implies $u \le hv$, where $0 \le h = a + 2b < 1$.

$$(F_3)$$
: $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t(1-a) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example 3.7 $F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1 - c \max\{t_2,t_3,\sqrt{t_4t_5}\}$, where $c \in \left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

$$F(u, v, 2v, 2u, 0) = u - k \max \left\{ v, 2v, \frac{2v + 4u}{2}, u \right\} = u - k \max \left\{ 2v, v + 2u \right\} \le 0$$

, which implies $u \le 2k(u+v)$. Hence $u \le hv$, where $0 \le h = \frac{2k}{1-2k} < 1$.

$$(F_3)$$
: $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t(1-k) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

4. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 4.1 Let S and T two self mappings of a nonempty set X. If w = Tx = Sx for some $x \in X$,

 (F_2) : Let $u, v \ge 0$ and $F(u, v, 2v, 2u, 0) = u - 2cv \le 0$, which implies $u \le hv$, where $0 \le h = 2c < 1$.

$$(F_3)$$
: $F(t,t,0,0,t) = t(1-c) > 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example3.8

$$F(t_1,...,t_5) = t_1 - k \max\left\{t_2, t_3, \frac{t_3 + 2t_4}{2}, \frac{t_4 + 2t_5}{2}\right\},$$
where $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$.

 (F_1) : Obviously.

$$(F_2)$$
: Let $u, v \ge 0$ and

then x is called a coincidence point of S and T and w is called a point of coincidence of T and S.

Lemma 4.1 [1] Let T and S be weakly compatible self mappings of a nonempty set X. If T and S have an unique point of coincidence w = Tx = Sx, then w is the unique common fixed point of T and S.

Theorem 4.1 Let (X,G) be a G - metric space and T, S self mappings of X such that

$$F(G(Tx, Ty, Ty), G(Sx, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sx, Sx), G(Ty, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sy, Sy)) \le 0 (4.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and F satisfying property (F_3) . Then T and S have at most a point of coincidence.

Proof. Suppose that u = Tp = Sp and v = Tq = Sq are two distinct points of coincidence. Then, by (4.1) we have successively:

 $F(G(Tq, Tp, Tp), G(Sq, Sp, Sp), G(Tq, Sq, Sq), G(Tp, Sp, Sp), G(Tq, Sp, Sp)) \le 0$

 $F(G(Sq, Sp, Sp), G(Sq, Sp, Sp), 0, 0, G(Sq, Sp, Sp)) \le 0,$

a contradiction of (F_3) if G(Sq, Sp, Sp) > 0. Hence G(Sq, Sp, Sp) = 0, so Sq = Sp which implies u = v.

Theorem 4.2 Let (X,G) be a G - metric space and let $T,S:(X,G) \to (X,G)$ be two mappings such that

2. (i) $T(X) \subset S(X)$,

(ii) T(X) or S(X) is G -complete,

(iii) T and S satisfy the inequality (4.1) for all $x, y \in X$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_S$.

Then T and S have an unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if T and S are weakly compatible, then T and S have an unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Then, there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_0 = Sx_1$. In this way we defined a sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ with $Tx_{n-1} = Sx_n$ for n = 1, 2, ... Then by (4.1) we have successively:

$$\begin{split} &F(G(Tx_{n-1},Tx_n,Tx_n),G(Sx_{n-1},Sx_n,Sx_n),G(Tx_{n-1},Sx_{n-1},Sx_{n-1}),G(Tx_n,Sx_n,Sx_n),G(Tx_{n-1},Sx_n,Sx_n)) \leq 0, \\ &F(G(Sx_n,Sx_{n+1},Sx_{n+1}),G(Sx_{n-1},Sx_n,Sx_n),G(Sx_n,Sx_{n-1},Sx_{n-1}),G(Sx_{n+1},Sx_n,Sx_n),0) \leq 0. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.4

$$G(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n, Sx_n) \le 2G(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1})$$
 and

$$G(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1}) \le 2G(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n, Sx_n).$$

By (F_1) we obtain:

$$F(G(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}), G(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n, Sx_n), 2G(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n, Sx_n), 2G(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}), 0) \le 0$$

which implies by (F_2) that

$$G(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}) \le hG(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n, Sx_n).$$

 $G(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}) \le h^n G(Sx_0, Sx_1, Sx_1).$

By repeated application of the above inequality, we have

Then for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \le m$, we have by rectangle inequality that

$$G(Sx_{n}, Sx_{m}, Sx_{m}) \leq G(Sx_{n}, Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+1}) + G(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+2}) + \dots + G(Sx_{m-1}, Sx_{m}, Sx_{m})$$

$$\leq (h^{n} + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{m-1})G(Sx_{0}, Sx_{1}, Sx_{1})$$

$$\leq \frac{h^{n}}{1 - h}G(Sx_{0}, Sx_{1}, Sx_{1}).$$

Taking limit as $n, m \to \infty$, we get $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} G(Sx_n, Sx_m, Sx_m) = 0$. Hence $\{Sx_n\}$ is a G-

Cauchy sequence. Now, since S(X) is G - complete, there exists a point $q \in S(X)$ such that $Sx_n \to q$ as $n \to \infty$. Consequently, we can find a point $p \in X$ such that Sp = q.

If T(X) is G - complete, there exists $q \in T(X)$ such that $Sx_n \to q$ as $T(X) \subset S(X)$, we have $q \in Sx$. Then, there exists $p \in X$ such that Sp = q.

We prove that p is a coincidence point for T and S. By (4.1) we have successively:

$$\begin{split} &F(G(Tx_{n-1},Tp,Tp),G(Sx_{n-1},Sp,Sp),G(Tx_{n-1},Sx_{n-1},Sx_{n-1}),G(Tp,Sp,Sp),G(Tx_{n-1},Sp,Sp)) \leq 0, \\ &F(G(Sx_n,Tp,Tp),G(Sx_{n-1},Sp,Sp),G(Sx_n,Sx_{n-1},Sx_{n-1}),G(Tp,Sp,Sp),G(Sx_n,Sp,Sp)) \leq 0. \end{split}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we obtain

 $F(G(Sp, Tp, Tp), 0, 0, G(Tp, Sp, Sp), 0) \le 0.$

By Lemma 2.4, $G(Tp, Sp, Sp) \le 2G(Sp, Tp, Tp)$.

By (F_1) we obtain $F(G(Sp, Tp, Tp), 0, 0, 2G(Sp, Tp, Tp), 0) \le 0.$

By (F_2) , G(Sp, Tp, Tp) = 0 which implies w = Tp = Sp and p is a coincidence point of T and S. By Theorem 4.1, W is the unique point of coincidence of T and S. Moreover, if T and S are

weakly compatible, by Lemma 4.1 $\,w\,$ is the unique common fixed point of $\,T\,$ and $\,S\,$.

If S(X) is complete, the proof it follows by $T(X) \subset S(X)$.

Corollary 4.1 Let T and S be self mappings of a G -metric space satisfying the following conditions:

(i)
$$T(X) \subset S(X)$$
,

(ii)
$$S(X)$$
 or $T(X)$ is G -complete,

(iii) One of the following inequalities hold for all $x, y \in X$ (1)

$$G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le aG(Sx, Sy, Sy) + bG(Tx, Sx, Sx) + (c + d)G(Ty, Sy, Sy) + eG(Tx, Sy, Sy), (3)$$

where $a, b, c, d, e \ge 0$ and a + 2b + 2c + 2d + e < 1. (2)

 $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le k \max\{G(Sx, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sx, Sx), G(Ty, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sy, Sy)\},\$

where
$$k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
.(3)

 $G^{2}(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le G(Tx, Ty, Ty)[aG(Sx, Sy, Sy) + bG(Tx, Sx, Sx) + cG(Ty, Sy, Sy)] + dG^{2}(Tx, Sy, Sy),$

where $a,b,c,d \ge 0$, a + 2b + 2c < 1 and a + d < 1. (4)

$$G(Tx,Ty,Ty) \leq a \frac{G(Sx,Sy,Sy) + G(Tx,Sx,Sx)}{2} + b \frac{G(Ty,Sy,Sy) + G(Tx,Sy,Sy)}{2},$$

where $a, b \ge 0$ and 3a + 2b < 2. (5)

$$G^2(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le aG^2(Sx, Sy, Sy) + b\frac{G^2(Tx, Sx, Sx) + G^2(Ty, Sy, Sy)}{1 + G^2(Tx, Sy, Sy)},$$

where $a, b \ge 0$ and a + 8b < 1.(6)

 $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le aG(Sx, Sy, Sy) + bG(Tx, Sx, Sx) + c \min\{G(Ty, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sy, Sy)\},$ where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and a + 2b < 1.(7)

 $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le c \max\{G(Sx, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sx, Sx), [G(Ty, Sy, Sy) \cdot G(Tx, Sy, Sy)]^{1/2}\},$

where $c \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. (8)

$$G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le k \max\{G(Sx, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sx, Sx), \frac{1}{2}[G(Tx, Sx, Sx) + 2G(Ty, Sy, Sy)],$$
$$\frac{1}{2}[G(Ty, Sy, Sy) + 2G(Tx, Sy, Sy)]\},$$

where $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$.

If S and T are weakly compatible, then S and T have an unique common fixed point.

Proof. The proof follows by Theorem 4.2 and Examples 3.1 - 3.8.

Remark 4.1 Because in Theorem 2.1 and a+2b+2c+2d+2e < 1, for y = z we obtain

 $G(Tx,Ty,Ty) \le aG(Sx,Sy,Sy) + bG(Tx,Sx,Sx) + (c+d)G(Ty,Sy,Sy) + eG(Tx,Sy,Sy)$

and a+2b+2c+2d+e < 1, Theorem 2.1 follows from Corollary 4.1 (iii) (1).

Remark 4.2 Because in Theorem 2.2 for y = z we obtain

 $G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \le k \max\{G(Sx, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sx, Sx), G(Ty, Sy, Sy), G(Tx, Sy, Sy)\},\$

and Theorem 2.2 follows from Corollary 4.1 (iii) (2).

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, M. and Rhoades, B.E., "Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces", *Appl. Math. and Computation*, 215: 262 269, (2009).
- [2] Dhage, B.C., "Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed points", *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 84: 329 336, (1992).
- [3] Dhage, B.C., "Generalized metric spaces and topological structures I", *Anal. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Iasi Ser. Mat.*, 46(1): 3 24, (2000).
- [4] Jungck, G., "Common fixed points for noncontinuous, nonself maps on nonnumeric spaces", *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 4(2): 195 215, (1996).
- [5] Manro, S., Bahtia, S.S. and Kumar, S., "Expansive mappings theorems in G metric spaces", *Intern. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, 5(51): 2529 2535, (2010).
- [6] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., "Some remarks concerning D metric spaces", *Intern. Conf. Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Yokohama, 189 198, (2004).
- [7] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., "A new approach to generalized metric spaces", *J. Nonlinear Convex Analysis*, 7: 289 297, (2006).
- [8] Mustafa, Z., Obiedat, H. and Awadeh, F., "Some fixed point theorems for mappings on G complete metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 189870, 12, (2008).
- [9] Mustafa, Z., Shatanawi, W. and Bataineh, M., "Fixed point theorem on uncomplete G metric spaces", *J. Math. Statistics*, 4(4): 196 201, (2008).
- [10] Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., "Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 917175, 10, (2009).
- [11] Mustafa, Z. and Obiedat, H., "A fixed point theorem of Reich in G metric spaces", *Cubo A. Math. J.*, 12: 83 93, (2010).
- [12] Obiedat, H. and Mustafa, Z., "Fixed point results on a nonsymmetric G metric space", **Jordan S. Math. Statistics**, 3(2): 65 79, (2010).
- [13] Pant, R. P., "Common fixed point for noncommuting mappings", *J. Math. And Appl.*, 188: 436–440, (1994).
- [14] Pant, R. P., "Common fixed point for four mappings", *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 9: 281 286, (1998).

- [15] Popa, V., "Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings", *Stud. Cerc. St. Ser. Mat., Univ. Bacău*, 7: 129 133, (1997).
- [16] Popa, V., "Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation", *Demonstratio Math.*, 32(1): 157 163, (1999).
- [17] Shatanawi, W., "Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying ϕ maps in G metric spaces", *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Article ID 181650, 9, (2010).
- [18] Srivastava, R., Agrawal, S., Bhardwaj, R., Yadava, R. N., "Fixed point theorems in complete G metric spaces", **South Asian J. Math.**, 2(2): 167 174, (2012).