

PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: On Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Equations

AUTHORS: Mohammad ABOBALA

PAGES: 26-32

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1203654>



On Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Equations

Mohammad Abobala¹ 

Article History

Received: 15.07.2020

Accepted: 09.12.2020

Published: 31.12.2020

Original Article

Abstract — This paper is devoted to studying linear equations, and quadratic equations over a neutrosophic field $F(I)$ and refined neutrosophic field $F(I_1, I_2)$. This work introduces a full description of the solution's algorithm in $F(I)$ and $F(I_1, I_2)$, and discusses the solution's algorithm for a linear system of neutrosophic equations over $F(I)$ and $F(I_1, I_2)$ for the first time.

Keywords — Neutrosophic field, refined neutrosophic field, neutrosophic linear system, neutrosophic quadratic equation

1. Introduction

Neutrosophy is a new kind of logic founded by F. Smarandache to deal with indeterminacy in nature and reality. According to Smarandache's work, every idea can be represented by three corresponding values (degree of truth, falsity, and indeterminacy). Recently, neutrosophy has found its way into algebraic studies. Many neutrosophic algebraic structures were defined and handled, such as neutrosophic group, neutrosophic ring, and neutrosophic field. See [1-5].

Refined neutrosophic structures such as refined neutrosophic groups and refined neutrosophic rings were firstly presented in the works of Agboola et al. [6,7] by using Smarandache's idea in splitting the indeterminacy I into many different logical degrees. Laterally, refined neutrosophic algebraic structures were studied widely in [2,8-13].

Through this paper, we try to establish the basic theory of neutrosophic algebraic equations. We introduce a full description of basic algorithms which solve the linear neutrosophic equation, neutrosophic quadratic equation, and neutrosophic linear system in a neutrosophic field $F(I)$ and refined neutrosophic field $F(I_1, I_2)$. Also, we construct some examples to clarify the validity of this work.

Our work's main idea is to transform the neutrosophic equation into an easy equivalent system of classical equations, and then we can build the desired algorithms.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [3] Let $(R, +, \times)$ be a ring. Then, $R(I) = \{a + bI : a, b \in R\}$ is called the neutrosophic ring where I is a neutrosophic element with the condition $I^2 = I$.

If R is a field, then $R(I)$ is called a neutrosophic field.

A neutrosophic field is not a field by classical meaning, since I is not invertible.

¹mohammadabobala777@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

¹Faculty of Science, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria

Definition 2.2. [1] Let R be a ring and $R(I)$ be the related neutrosophic ring and $P = P_0 + P_1I = \{a_0 + a_1I : a_0 \in P_0, a_1 \in P_1\}$; P_0, P_1 are two subsets of R .

(a) We say that P is an AH-ideal if P_0, P_1 are ideals in the ring R .

(b) We say that P is an AHS-ideal if $P_0 = P_1$.

Remark 2.3. [6] The element I can be split into two indeterminacies I_1, I_2 with conditions:

$$I_1^2 = I_1, I_2^2 = I_2, I_1I_2 = I_2I_1 = I_1$$

Definition 2.4. [6] If X is a set, then $X(I_1, I_2) = \{(a, bI_1, cI_2) : a, b, c \in X\}$ is called the refined neutrosophic set generated by X, I_1, I_2 .

Definition 2.5. [6] Let $(R, +, \times)$ be a ring, $(R(I_1, I_2), +, \times)$ is called a refined neutrosophic ring generated by R, I_1, I_2 .

Theorem 2.6. [6] Let $(R(I_1, I_2), +, \times)$ be a refined neutrosophic ring, then it is a ring. It is called a neutrosophic field if R is a classical field.

3. Main Discussion

Definition 3.1. Let $F(I)$ be a neutrosophic field. Then, a neutrosophic algebraic linear equation is defined as follows:

$$AX + B = 0; A = a_0 + a_1I, B = b_0 + b_1I, X = x_0 + x_1I; x_i, a_i, b_i \in F$$

A neutrosophic quadratic equation is defined as follows:

$$AX^2 + BX + C = 0; A = a_0 + a_1I, B = b_0 + b_1I, C = c_0 + c_1I, X = x_0 + x_1I; x_i, a_i, b_i, c_i \in F$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $F(I)$ be a neutrosophic field, $AX + B = 0$ be a linear neutrosophic equation. Then, it is equivalent to the following two classical linear equations:

(a) $a_0x_0 + b_0 = 0$.

(b) $(a_0 + a_1)(x_0 + x_1) + (b_0 + b_1) = 0$.

PROOF. By computing $AX + B = 0$, we find $a_0x_0 + b_0 + (a_0x_1 + a_1x_0 + a_1x_1 + b_1)I = 0$. Thus,

$$a_0x_0 + b_0 = 0 \text{ (equation (a))}$$

$$a_0x_1 + a_1x_0 + a_1x_1 + b_1 = 0 \text{ (*)}$$

By adding (a) to (*), we get $(a_0 + a_1)(x_0 + x_1) + (b_0 + b_1) = 0$ (equation (b)).

Remark 3.3. It is easy to get an algorithm to solve neutrosophic linear equation $AX + B = 0$ in a neutrosophic field $F(I)$. We should solve the equivalent system, and then we get the desired solution.

Example 3.4. Consider the following neutrosophic linear equation $(1 + 2I)X + (2 - 3I) = 0$ (*) over the neutrosophic field of real numbers $R(I)$. The equivalent system is:

(a) $x_0 + 2 = 0$. (Its solution is $x_0 = -2$.)

(b) $3(x_0 + x_1) + (-1) = 0$. Its solution is $x_0 + x_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, thus $x_1 = \frac{7}{3}$.

The solution of (*) is $X = -2 + \frac{7}{3}I$.

Example 3.5. Consider the neutrosophic linear equation $(1 + 2I)X + (2 - 3I) = 0$ (*) over $Z_3(I)$ the neutrosophic field of integers modulo 3. The equivalent system is:

(a) $x_0 + 2 = 0$. (Its solution is $x_0 = -2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$)

(b) $3(x_0 + x_1) + (-1) = 0$. It is a non solvable in Z_3 . Hence (*) is not solvable in $Z_3(I)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $F(I)$ be a neutrosophic field, $AX^2 + BX + C = 0$ be a quadratic neutrosophic equation. Then, it is equivalent to the following two classical linear equations:

(a) $a_0x_0^2 + b_0x_0 + c_0 = 0$.

(b) $(a_0 + a_1)(x_0 + x_1)^2 + (b_0 + b_1)(x_0 + x_1) + c_0 + c_1 = 0$.

PROOF. By computing $AX^2 + BX + C = 0$, we get

$$(a_0x_0^2 + b_0x_0 + c_0) + (2a_0x_0x_1 + a_0x_1^2 + a_1x_0^2 + 2a_1x_0x_1 + a_1x_1^2 + b_0x_1 + b_1x_0 + b_1x_1 + c_1)I = 0$$

Thus, $a_0x_0^2 + b_0x_0 + c_0 = 0$ (equation (a)) and $2a_0x_0x_1 + a_0x_1^2 + a_1x_0^2 + 2a_1x_0x_1 + a_1x_1^2 + b_0x_1 + b_1x_0 + b_1x_1 + c_1(*)$, by adding (a) to (*) we get $(a_0 + a_1)(x_0 + x_1)^2 + (b_0 + b_1)(x_0 + x_1) + c_0 + c_1 = 0$ (equation (b)).

Remark 3.7. To solve a quadratic neutrosophic equation $AX^2 + BX + C = 0$ in a neutrosophic field $F(I)$. It is sufficient to solve the equivalent system presented in Theorem 3.6.

Example 3.8. Consider the following quadratic neutrosophic equation $(1 + I)X^2 + (2 - I)X + 3I = 0$ (*) over the neutrosophic field of real numbers $R(I)$. The equivalent system is:

(a) $x_0^2 + 2x_0 = 0$. (It has two solutions $x_0 = 0$, or $x_0 = -2$.)

(b) $(2)(x_0 + x_1)^2 + (1)(x_0 + x_1) + 3 = 0$. (It has no solutions in R , thus (*) is not solvable in $R(I)$.)

Example 3.9. Consider the following quadratic neutrosophic equation $(1 + I)X^2 + (2 - I)X + 3I = 0$ (*) over the neutrosophic field of complex numbers $C(I)$. The equivalent system is:

(a) $x_0^2 + 2x_0 = 0$. (It has two solutions $x_0 = 0$, or $x_0 = -2$.)

(b) $(2)(x_0 + x_1)^2 + (1)(x_0 + x_1) + 3 = 0$. It has two solutions $x_0 + x_1 = \frac{-1+i\sqrt{23}}{4}$ or $\frac{-1-i\sqrt{23}}{4}$, thus $x_1 \in \{\frac{-1+i\sqrt{23}}{4}, \frac{-1-i\sqrt{23}}{4}\}$ if $x_0 = 0$. If $x_0 = -2$, then $x_1 \in \{2 + \frac{-1+i\sqrt{23}}{4}, 2 + \frac{-1-i\sqrt{23}}{4}\}$. The solutions of equation (*) are $X = \frac{-1+i\sqrt{23}}{4}I$, or $X = \frac{-1-i\sqrt{23}}{4}I$, or $X = -2 + \left(2 + \frac{-1+i\sqrt{23}}{4}\right)I$, or $X = -2 + \left(2 + \frac{-1-i\sqrt{23}}{4}\right)I$.

Theorem 3.10. Let $A_1X_1 + A_2X_2 + \dots + A_nX_n = C$ (*) be a neutrosophic linear equation with n-variables over a neutrosophic field $F(I)$. Suppose that $C = c_0 + c_1I$, $A_i = a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}I$, $X = x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)}I$; $c_i, x_j^{(i)}, a_j^{(i)} \in F$. Then, (*) has the following equivalent system of classical linear equations:

(a) $a_0^{(1)}x_0^{(1)} + a_0^{(2)}x_0^{(2)} + \dots + a_0^{(n)}x_0^{(n)} = c_0$.

(b) $(a_0^{(1)} + a_1^{(1)})(x_0^{(1)} + x_1^{(1)}) + (a_0^{(2)} + a_1^{(2)})(x_0^{(2)} + x_1^{(2)}) + \dots + (a_0^{(n)} + a_1^{(n)})(x_0^{(n)} + x_1^{(n)}) = c_0 + c_1$.

PROOF.

First of all, we should compute A_iX_i . We have $A_iX_i = a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} + (a_0^{(i)}x_1^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}x_1^{(i)})I$, we remark that $a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} + (a_0^{(i)}x_1^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}x_1^{(i)}) = (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)})$. Hence,

$$A_iX_i = a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} + (a_0^{(i)}x_1^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)}x_1^{(i)})I = a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} + [(a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)}) - a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)}]I$$

Now, we can write $\sum_{i=1}^n A_iX_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)}\right) + I\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)}\right) = C = c_0 + c_1I$. Thus, $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)}\right) = c_0$ (equation (a)). And $\sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)}x_0^{(i)} = c_1$ (*), by adding (a) to (*) we get $\sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)}) = c_0 + c_1$. (equation (b)).

Remark 3.11. We can solve a linear system of neutrosophic equations in a neutrosophic field $F(I)$ by solving its equivalent system in the classical field F .

Example 3.12. Consider the following neutrosophic linear system over the neutrosophic field of real numbers:

$$(1) (1 + I)X + (2 - I)Y = 1 + 3I.$$

$$(2) (2 + I)X + 5IY = -1 + I.$$

The equivalent system of (1) is

$$x_0 + 2y_0 = 1 \text{ (I)}$$

$$2(x_0 + x_1) + (y_0 + y_1) = 4 \text{ (II)}$$

The equivalent system of (2) is

$$2x_0 + 0.y_0 = -1 \text{ (III)}$$

$$3(x_0 + x_1) + 5(y_0 + y_1) = 0 \text{ (IV)}$$

From (I), (III), we get $x_0 = -\frac{1}{2}, y_0 = \frac{3}{4}$. From (II), (IV), we get $x_0 + x_1 = \frac{20}{7}, y_0 + y_1 = -\frac{12}{7}$.

Definition 3.13. Let $F(I_1, I_2)$ be a refined neutrosophic field. We define

(a) $AX + B = (0,0,0); A = (a_0, a_1I_1, a_2I_2), B = (b_0, b_1I_1, b_2I_2), X = (x_0, x_1I_1, x_2I_2); b_i, a_i, x_i \in F$. (Refined neutrosophic linear equation with one variable).

(b) $AX^2 + BX + C = (0,0,0); C = (c_0, c_1I_1, c_2I_2)$. (Refined quadratic neutrosophic equation).

Theorem 3.14. Let $F(I_1, I_2)$ be a refined neutrosophic field, $AX + B = (0,0,0)$ be a refined linear neutrosophic equation. Then, it is equivalent to the following system of classical linear equations:

$$(a) a_0x_0 + b_0 = 0.$$

$$(b) (a_0 + a_2)(x_0 + x_2) + (b_0 + b_2) = 0.$$

$$(c) (a_0 + a_1 + a_2)(x_0 + x_1 + x_2) + (b_0 + b_1 + b_2) = 0.$$

PROOF.

We compute

$$AX + B = (a_0x_0 + b_0, [a_0x_1 + a_1x_0 + a_1x_1 + a_1x_2 + a_2x_1 + b_1]I_1, [a_0x_2 + a_2x_0 + a_2x_2 + b_2]I_2)$$

So, we get

$$a_0x_0 + b_0 = 0 \text{ (equation (a))}$$

$$a_0x_2 + a_2x_0 + a_2x_2 + b_2 = 0 \text{ (*), } a_0x_1 + a_1x_0 + a_1x_1 + a_1x_2 + a_2x_1 + b_1 \text{ (**)}$$

By adding (a) to (*), we find $(a_0 + a_2)(x_0 + x_2) + (b_0 + b_2) = 0$ (equation (b)).

By adding (b) to (**), we find $(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)(x_0 + x_1 + x_2) + (b_0 + b_1 + b_2) = 0$ (equation (c)).

Example 3.15. Consider the following refined neutrosophic linear equation (*) $(2, I_1, 3I_2)X + (4, 7I_1, -5I_2) = (0,0,0)$ over the refined neutrosophic field $Q(I_1, I_2)$.

The equivalent system is:

$$(a) 2x_0 + 4 = 0. \text{ It has a solution } x_0 = -2.$$

$$(b) 5(x_0 + x_2) + (-1) = 0. \text{ It has a solution } x_0 + x_2 = \frac{1}{5}, \text{ hence } x_2 = \frac{11}{5}.$$

$$(c) 6(x_0 + x_1 + x_2) + (6) = 0. \text{ It has a solution } x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = -1, \text{ hence } x_1 = \frac{-6}{5}.$$

The solution of equation (*) is $X = (-2, \frac{-6}{5}I_1, \frac{11}{5}I_2)$.

Theorem 3.16. Let $F(I_1, I_2)$ be a refined neutrosophic field, $AX^2 + BX + C = (0,0,0)$ be a refined quadratic neutrosophic equation over $F(I_1, I_2)$. Then, it is equivalent to the following system of classical quadratic equations:

$$(a) a_0 x_0^2 + b_0 x_0 + c_0 = 0.$$

$$(b) (a_0 + a_2)(x_0 + x_2)^2 + (b_0 + b_2)(x_0 + x_2) + c_0 + c_2 = 0.$$

$$(c) (a_0 + a_1 + a_2)(x_0 + x_1 + x_2)^2 + (b_0 + b_1 + b_2)(x_0 + x_1 + x_2) + c_0 + c_1 + c_2 = 0.$$

PROOF. The proof is similar to the previous theorem.

Example 3.17. Consider the following refined neutrosophic quadratic equation over the refined neutrosophic field of complex numbers $C(I_1, I_2)$,

$$(*) (1,0,I_2)X^2 + (1,I_1,0)X + (-2,I_1,I_2) = (0,0,0), \text{ the equivalent system is}$$

$$(a) x_0^2 + x_0 - 2 = 0. \text{ It has two solutions } x_0 = 1 \text{ or } x_0 = -2.$$

$$(b) 2(x_0 + x_2)^2 + (x_0 + x_2) - 1 = 0. \text{ It has two possible solutions } x_0 + x_2 = -1 \text{ or } x_0 + x_2 = \frac{1}{2}. \text{ Thus if } x_0 = -2, \text{ then } x_2 = 1 \text{ or } x_2 = \frac{5}{2}, \text{ and if } x_0 = 1, \text{ then } x_2 = -2 \text{ or } x_2 = \frac{-1}{2}.$$

$$(c) 2(x_0 + x_1 + x_2)^2 + 2(x_0 + x_1 + x_2) = 0. \text{ It has two possible solutions } x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = 0 \text{ or } x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = -1.$$

$$\text{If } x_0 + x_2 = -1, \text{ then } x_1 = 1 \text{ or } x_1 = 0, \text{ and if } x_0 + x_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ then } x_1 = \frac{-1}{2} \text{ or } x_1 = -\frac{3}{2}.$$

The set of solutions of equation (*) are

$$\left\{ (1, I_1, -2I_2), \left(1, \frac{-1}{2}I_1, -\frac{1}{2}I_2\right), (-2, I_1, I_2), \left(-2, -\frac{1}{2}I_1, \frac{5}{2}I_2\right), (1, 0, -2I_2), \left(1, -\frac{3}{2}I_1, -\frac{1}{2}I_2\right), (-2, 0, I_2), \left(-2, -\frac{3}{2}I_1, \frac{5}{2}I_2\right) \right\}$$

Theorem 3.18. Let $A_1X_1 + \dots + A_nX_n = C$; $C = (c_0, c_1I_1, c_2I_2)$, $X_i = (x_0^{(i)}, x_1^{(i)}I_1, x_2^{(i)}I_2)$, $A_i = (a_0^{(i)}, a_1^{(i)}I_1, a_2^{(i)}I_2)$ be a linear equation with n -variables over a refined neutrosophic field $F(I_1, I_2)$. Then, it is equivalent to the following system of classical linear equations over the classical field F :

$$(a) \sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)} = c_0.$$

$$(b) \sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) = c_0 + c_2.$$

$$(c) \sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) = c_0 + c_1 + c_2.$$

PROOF.

We shall prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i X_i = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)}, [\sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)})] I_1, [\sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)}] I_2)$.

We will use induction on n . For $n = 1$, the theorem is true easily. Suppose that it is true for k . We must prove it for $k + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i X_i &= \sum_{i=1}^k A_i X_i + A_{k+1} X_{k+1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)}, \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^k (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) \right] I_1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[\sum_{i=1}^k (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)}) (x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^k a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)} \right] I_2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^k (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^k a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)} \right] I_2 + (a_0^{(k+1)}, a_1^{(k+1)} I_1, a_2^{(k+1)} I_2)(x_0^{(k+1)}, x_1^{(k+1)} I_1, x_2^{(k+1)} I_2) \\ = (m, nI_1, tI_2)$$

We have $m = (\sum_{i=1}^k a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)}) + a_0^{(k+1)} x_0^{(k+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)}$.

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^k (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^k a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)} + [a_0^{(k+1)} x_2^{(k+1)} + a_2^{(k+1)} x_0^{(k+1)} + a_2^{(k+1)} x_2^{(k+1)}] \\ = \sum_{i=1}^k (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^k a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)} + [(a_0^{(k+1)} + a_2^{(k+1)})(x_0^{(k+1)} + x_2^{(k+1)}) - a_0^{(k+1)} x_0^{(k+1)}] \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)}$$

By following the same argument, we find that

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)})$$

By putting m, n, t in equation (*) we get:

$$(a) \sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)} = c_0.$$

$$(I) \sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_0^{(i)} x_0^{(i)} = c_2.$$

$$(II) \sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_1^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_1^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_0^{(i)} + a_2^{(i)})(x_0^{(i)} + x_2^{(i)}) = c_1.$$

We add (a) to (I) to get equation (b). Also, we add (b) to (II) to get equation (c). Hence our proof is complete.

Remark 3.19. According to the previous theorem, we can solve any linear system of refined neutrosophic linear equations by transforming it into a classical equivalent system.

Example 3.20. Consider the following system of refined linear neutrosophic equations over the refined neutrosophic field of real numbers $R(I_1, I_2)$.

$$(1) (1, I_1, 0)X + (0, I_1, I_2)Y = (1, 0, I_2).$$

$$(2) (2, 0, I_2)X + (-1, I_1, -I_2)Y = (3, 0, 0). \text{ Where } X = (x_0, x_1 I_1, x_2 I_2), Y = (y_0, y_1 I_1, y_2 I_2).$$

The equivalent system of equation (1) is:

$$1. x_0 + 0.y_0 = 1 \text{ (I)},$$

$$1. (x_0 + x_2) + 1.(y_0 + y_2) = 2 \text{ (II)},$$

$$2. (x_0 + x_1 + x_2) + 2(y_0 + y_1 + y_2) = 2 \text{ (III)}.$$

The equivalent system of equation (2) is:

$$2. x_0 - 1.y_0 = 3 \text{ (a)},$$

$$3. (x_0 + x_2) - 2(y_0 + y_2) = 3 \text{ (b)},$$

$$3. (x_0 + x_1 + x_2) - 1(y_0 + y_1 + y_2) = 3 \text{ (c)}.$$

By solving (I) with (a), we find $x_0 = 1, y_0 = -1$.

By solving (II) with (b), we find $x_0 + x_2 = \frac{7}{5}, y_0 + y_2 = \frac{3}{5}$. Thus, $x_2 = \frac{2}{5}, y_2 = \frac{8}{5}$.

By solving (III) with (c), we find $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = 1, y_0 + y_1 + y_2 = 0$. Thus, $x_1 = -\frac{2}{5}, y_1 = -\frac{3}{5}$.

The solution of the system (1) and (2) is:

$$3. X = (1, -\frac{2}{5}I_1, \frac{2}{5}I_2), Y = (-1, -\frac{3}{5}I_1, \frac{8}{5}I_2).$$

4. Conclusion

In this article, we have introduced an algorithm to solve linear and quadratic equations in a neutrosophic field $F(I)$ and refined neutrosophic field $F(I_1, I_2)$. Also, we have introduced an algorithm to solve a linear system of neutrosophic equations over $F(I)$ and $F(I_1, I_2)$ by turning it into an easy classical equivalent system of linear equations.

References

- [1] M. Abobala, *On Some Special Substructures of Neutrosophic Rings and Their Properties*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 4 (2020) 72-81.
- [2] M. Abobala, *Classical Homomorphisms Between Refined Neutrosophic Rings and Neutrosophic Rings*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 5 (2020) 72-75.
- [3] A. A. A. Agboola, A. D. Akinola, O. Y. Oyebola, *Neutrosophic Rings I*, International Journal of Mathematical Combinatorics 4 (2011) 1-14.
- [4] Y. Ceven, S. Tekin, *Some Properties of Neutrosophic Integers*, Kırklareli University Journal of Engineering and Science 6 (2020) 50-59.
- [5] V. W. B. Kandasamy, F. Smarandache, *Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and Neutrosophic N-Algebraic Structures*, Hexis, Phoenix, Arizona, 2006.
- [6] E. O. Adeleke, A. A. A. Agboola, F. Smarandache, *Refined Neutrosophic Rings I*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 2 (2020) 77-81.
- [7] E. O. Adeleke, A. A. A. Agboola, F. Smarandache, *Refined Neutrosophic Rings II*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 2 (2020) 89-94.
- [8] M. Abobala, *On Some Special Substructures of Refined Neutrosophic Rings*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 5 (2020) 59-66.
- [9] M. Abobala, *A Study of AH-Substructures in n-Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 9 (2020) 74-85.
- [10] M. Abobala, *n-Cyclic Refined Neutrosophic Algebraic Systems of Sub-indeterminacies, An Application to Rings and Modules*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 12 (2020) 81-95.
- [11] A. Hatip, M. Abobala, *AH-Substructures in Strong Refined Neutrosophic Modules*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 9 (2020) 110-116.
- [12] F. Smarandache, M. Abobala, *n-Refined Neutrosophic Rings*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 6 (2020) 83-90.
- [13] F. Smarandache, M. Abobala, *n-Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces*, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science 7 (2020) 47-54.