# PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Asymptotically I-Cesaro equivalence of sequences of sets

AUTHORS: Erdinç DUNDAR, Ugur ULUSU

PAGES: 101-105

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/495136



## **Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications**

Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/ujma ISSN: 2619-9653



# Asymptotically *I*-Cesàro Equivalence of Sequences of Sets

Uğur Ulusu<sup>a</sup> and Erdinç Dündar<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Literature, Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey \*Corresponding author E-mail: edundar@aku.edu.tr

## **Article Info**

#### **Keywords:** Asymptotically equivalence, Cesàro summability, Statistical convergence, Lacunary sequence, Ideal convergence, Sequences of sets, Wijsman convergence.

2010 AMS: 34C41, 40A35 Received: 26 March 2018 Accepted: 2 April 2018 Available online: 26 June 2018

#### Abstract

In this paper, we defined concepts of asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence and investigate the relationships between the concepts of asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence, asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -lacunary equivalence, asymptotically p-strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence and asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical equivalence of sequences of sets.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of convergence of sequences of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  has been transferred to statistical convergence by Fast [5] and independently by Schoenberg [16].  $\mathscr{I}$ -convergence was first studied by Kostyrko et al. [9] in order to generalize of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathscr{I}$  of subset of the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . Das et al. [4] introduced new notions, namely  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical convergence and  $\mathscr{I}$ -lacunary statistical convergence by using ideal.

There are different convergence notions for sequence of sets. One of them handled in this paper is the concept of Wijsman convergence (see, [1], [3], [11], [21], [22]). The concepts of statistical convergence and lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets were studied in [11, 18] in Wijsman sense. Also, new convergence notions, for sequences of sets, which is called Wijsman  $\mathscr{I}$ -convergence, Wijsman  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical convergence and Wijsman  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro summability by using ideal were introduced in [7], [8], [20].

Marouf [10] peresented definitions for asymptotically equivalent and asymptotic regular matrices. This concepts was investigated in [12, 13, 14]. The concept of asymptotically equivalence of sequences of real numbers which is defined by Marouf [10] has been extended by Ulusu and Nuray [19] to concepts of Wijsman asymptotically equivalence of set sequences. Moreover, natural inclusion theorems are presented. Kişi et al. [8] introduced the concepts of Wijsman  $\mathscr{I}$ -asymptotically equivalence of sequences of sets.

## 2. Definitions and notations

Now, we recall the basic definitions and concepts (See [1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 19, 20]).

Let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a metric space. For any point  $y \in Y$  and any non-empty subset U of Y, we define the distance from y to U by  $d(y, U) = \inf_{u \in U} \rho(y, u)$ . Let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $U, U_i$  be any non-empty closed subsets of Y. The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman convergent to U if for each

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}d(y,U_i)=d(y,U).$$

 $y \in Y$ ,

Let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $U, U_i$  be any non-empty closed subsets of Y. The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman statistical convergent to U if  $\{d(y, U_i)\}$  is statistically convergent to d(y, U); i.e., for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\Big|\Big\{i\leq n:|d(y,U_i)-d(y,U)|\geq\varepsilon\Big\}\Big|=0.$$

A family of sets  $\mathscr{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if and only if (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathscr{I}$ , (ii) For each  $U, V \in \mathscr{I}$  we have  $U \cup V \in \mathscr{I}$ , (iii) For each  $U \in \mathscr{I}$  and each  $V \subseteq U$  we have  $V \in \mathscr{I}$ .

An ideal is called non-trivial ideal if  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathscr{I}$  and non-trivial ideal is called admissible ideal if  $\{n\} \in \mathscr{I}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A family of sets  $\mathscr{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a filter if and only if (i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathscr{F}$ , (ii) For each  $U, V \in \mathscr{F}$  we have  $U \cap V \in \mathscr{F}$ , (iii) For each  $U \in \mathscr{F}$  and each  $V \supset U$  we have  $V \in \mathscr{F}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** ([9])  $\mathscr{I}$  is a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  if and only if

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{I}) = \{ E \subset \mathbb{N} : (\exists U \in \mathscr{I})(E = \mathbb{N} \setminus U) \}$$

is a filter in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Throughout the paper, we let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a separable metric space,  $\mathscr{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal and  $U, U_i$  be any non-empty closed subsets of Y.

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathscr{I}$ -convergent to U, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $U(y,\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |d(y,U_i) - d(y,U)| \ge \varepsilon\}$  belongs to  $\mathscr{I}$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical convergent to U, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ i \le n : |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \delta \right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \stackrel{S(\mathscr{I}_W)}{\longrightarrow} U$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro summable to U, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}d(y,U_{i}) - d(y,U)\right| \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \stackrel{C_1(\mathscr{I}_W)}{\longrightarrow} U$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro summable to U, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)| \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \stackrel{C_1[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\longrightarrow} U$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman *p*-strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro summable to U, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for each p positive real number and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)|^p \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \stackrel{C_p[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\longrightarrow} U$ .

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ . In this paper the intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be abbreviated by  $q_r$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -lacunary summable to U, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{r\in\mathbb{N}:\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{i\in I_r}|d(\mathbf{y},U_i)-d(\mathbf{y},U)|\geq\varepsilon\right\}\in\mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \stackrel{N_{\theta}[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\longrightarrow} U$ .

Two nonnegative sequences  $a = (a_i)$  and  $b = (b_i)$  are said to be asymptotically equivalent if

$$\lim_{i} \frac{a_i}{b_i} = 1$$

and denoted by  $a \sim b$ .

We define  $d(y; U_i, V_i)$  as follows:

$$d(y; U_i, V_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{d(y, U_i)}{d(y, V_i)} &, & y \notin U_i \cup V_i \\ & & & , & y \in U_i \cup V_i. \end{cases}$$

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} d(y; U_i, V_i) = \mathscr{L}.$$

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ i \le n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -equivalent of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $y \in Y$ 

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_W^L}{\sim} V_i$  and simply Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -equivalent if  $\mathscr{L} = 1$ .

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical equivalent of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ i \le n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \delta \right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{S(\mathscr{I}_W^L)}{\sim} V_i$  and simply Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical equivalent if  $\mathscr{L} = 1$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are said to be Wijsman asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -lacunary equivalent of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{N_{\theta}[\mathscr{I}_W^L]}{\sim} V_i$  and simply Wijsman asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -lacunary equivalent if  $\mathscr{L} = 1$ .

### 3. Main results

In this section, we defined notions of asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of sequences of sets. Also, we investigate the relationships between the concepts of asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence, asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence and asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical equivalence of sequences of sets.

**Definition 3.1.** The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{C_1^L(\mathscr{I}_W)}{\sim} V_i$  and simply asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalent if  $\mathscr{L} = 1$ .

**Definition 3.2.** The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{C_1^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$  and simply asymptotically strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalent if  $\mathscr{L}=1$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$  then,

$$U_i \overset{C_1^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i \Rightarrow U_i \overset{N_\theta^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i.$$

*Proof.* If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_r \ge 1 + \delta$  for all  $r \ge 1$ . Since  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ , we have

$$\frac{k_r}{h_r} \le \frac{1+\delta}{\delta}$$
 and  $\frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \le \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ , we define the set

$$S = \left\{ k_r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We can easily say that  $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ , which is a filter of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , so we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ &= \frac{k_r}{h_r} \cdot \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ &- \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \cdot \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}\right) \varepsilon - \frac{1}{\delta} \varepsilon' \end{split}$$

for each  $y \in Y$  and for each  $k_r \in S$ . Choose  $\eta = \left(\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}\right)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\delta}\varepsilon'$ . Therefore, for each  $y \in Y$ 

$$\left\{r\in\mathbb{N}:\frac{1}{h_r}\sum_{i\in I_r}|d(y;U_i,V_i)-\mathcal{L}|\right.<\eta\left.\right\}\in\mathcal{F}(\mathscr{I}).$$

Therefore,  $U_i \overset{N_{\theta}^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\limsup_{r} q_r < \infty$  then,

$$U_i \overset{N_\theta^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i \Rightarrow U_i \overset{C_1^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i.$$

*Proof.* If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then there exists K > 0 such that  $q_r < K$  for all  $r \ge 1$ . Let  $U_i \overset{N_\theta^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$  and for each  $y \in Y$ , we define the sets T and T

$$T = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon_1 \right\}$$

and

$$R = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon_2 \right\}.$$

Let

$$a_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon_1$$

for each  $y \in Y$  and for all  $j \in T$ . It is obvious that  $T \in \mathscr{F}(\mathscr{I})$ . Choose n is any integer with  $k_{r-1} < n < k_r$ , where  $r \in T$ . Then, for each  $y \in Y$  we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| & \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ & = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \Biggl( \sum_{i \in I_1} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| + \sum_{i \in I_2} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \Biggr) \\ & + \dots + \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \Biggr) \\ & = \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} \Biggl( \frac{1}{h_1} \sum_{i \in I_1} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \Biggr) \\ & + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} \Biggl( \frac{1}{h_2} \sum_{i \in I_2} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \Biggr) \\ & + \dots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} \Biggl( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \Biggr) \\ & = \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} a_1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} a_2 + \dots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} a_r \\ & \leq \Biggl( \sup_{j \in T} a_j \Biggr) \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} < \varepsilon_1 \cdot K. \end{split}$$

Choose  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{K}$  and in view of the fact that

$$\{ n : k_{r-1} < n < k_r, r \in T \} \subset R,$$

where  $T \in \mathscr{F}(\mathscr{I})$ , it follows from our assumption on  $\theta$  that the set R also belongs to  $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{I})$  and therefore,  $U_i \overset{C_1^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$ .

We have the following Theorem by Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $1 < \liminf_r q_r < \limsup_r q_r < \infty$  then,

$$U_i \overset{C_1^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i \Leftrightarrow U_i \overset{N_{\theta}^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$$

**Definition 3.6.** The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically p-strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for each p positive real number and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathscr{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{C_p^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$  and simply asymptotically p-strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalent if  $\mathscr{L}=1$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** If the sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically p-strongly  $\mathscr{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$  then,  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U_i \overset{C_p^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  given. Then, for each  $y \in Y$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \geq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon}}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p$$

$$> \varepsilon^p \cdot |\{i < n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| > \varepsilon\}|$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p \cdot n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \ge \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ i \le n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|.$$

Hence, for each  $y \in Y$  and for a given  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{i \le n : \left| d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L} \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \delta \right\} \subseteq \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L} \right|^p \ge \varepsilon^p \cdot \delta \right\} \in \mathscr{I}.$$

Therefore,  $U_i \stackrel{S(\mathscr{I}_W)}{\sim} V_i$ . 

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $d(y, U_i) = \mathcal{O}(d(y, V_i))$ . If  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $\mathscr{I}$ -statistical equivalence of multiple  $\mathscr{L}$  then,  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$ are asymptotically p-strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ .

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \, \text{Suppose that} \ d(y,U_i) = \mathscr{O}\big(d(y,V_i)\big) \ \text{and} \ U_i \overset{S(\mathscr{I}_W)}{\sim} V_i. \ \text{Then, there is a} \ K>0 \ \text{such that} \ |d(y;U_i,V_i)-\mathscr{L}| \leq K, \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for all} \ i \ \text{and for each} \ \text{for each} \$  $y \in Y$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}|^{p} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\|d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon}}^{n} |d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}|^{p} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\|d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon}}^{n} |d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}|^{p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} K^{p} \left| \left\{ i \leq n : |d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + \frac{1}{n} \varepsilon^{p} \left| \left\{ i \leq n : |d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{K^{p}}{n} \left| \left\{ i \leq n : |d(y;U_{i},V_{i}) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + \varepsilon^{p}. \end{split}$$

Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \ge \delta\right\} \subseteq \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left|\left\{i \le n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \ge \varepsilon\right\}\right| \ge \frac{\delta^p}{K^p}\right\} \in \mathscr{I}.$$

Therefore,  $U_i \overset{C_p^L[\mathscr{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$ . 

## References

- [1] M. Baronti and P. Papini, Convergence of sequences of sets, In: Methods of Functional Analysis in Approximation Theory (pp. 133-155), ISNM 76, Birkhauser, Basel (1986).
- G. Beer, On convergence of closed sets in a metric space and distance functions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 31 (1985), 421–432.
- [3] G. Beer, Wijsman convergence: A survey, Set-Valued Anal., 2 (1994), 77–94.
  [4] P. Das, E. Savaş and S. Kr. Ghosal, On generalizations of certain summability methods using ideals, Appl. Math. Letters, 24(9) (2011), 1509–1514.
- [5] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Collog. Math.*, 2 (1951), 241–244.
  [6] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific J. Math.*, 160(1) (1993), 43–51.
- [7] Ö. Kişi and F. Nuray, New convergence definitions for sequences of sets, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013 (2013), Article ID 852796, 6 pages. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/852796.
- Ö. Kişi, E. Savaş and F. Nuray, On asymptotically I-lacunary statistical equivalence of sequences of sets, (submitted for publication).
- [9] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát and W. Wilczyński, & Convergence, Real Anal. Exchange, 26(2) (2000), 669-686.
- [10] M. Marouf, Asymptotic equivalence and summability, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 16(4) (1993), 755-762.
  [11] F. Nuray and B. E. Rhoades, Statistical convergence of sequences of sets, *Fasc. Math.*, 49 (2012), 87–99
- [12] R. F. Patterson, On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, Demostratio Mathematica 36(1) (2003), 149-153.
- [13] R. F. Patterson and E. Savas, On asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences, Thai J. Math., 4(2) (2006), 267–272.
- [14] E. Savaş, On *I*-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 111 (2013), 7 pages. doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-111. [15] E. Savaş and P. Das, A generalized statistical convergence via ideals, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 24(6) (2011), 826–830.
- [16] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly, 66 (1959), 361–375.
- [17] U. Ulusu and E. Dündar, F-lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets, Filomat, 28(8) (2014), 1567–1574. DOI 10.2298/FIL1408567U.
- [18] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets, Progress in Applied Mathematics, 4(2) (2012), 99–109.
- [19] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, On asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent set sequences, Journal of Mathematics, 2013 (2013), Article ID 310438, 5 pages. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/310438.
- [20] U. Ulusu and Ö. Kişi, J-Cesàro summability of sequences of sets, Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 5(1) 2017, 278-286.
- [21] R. A. Wijsman, Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 70(1) (1964), 186–188
- [22] R. A. Wijsman, Convergence of Sequences of Convex Sets, Cones and Functions II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 123(1) (1966), 32–45.