PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Türkiye`de yetisen bazi Silene L. türlerinin toprak özellikleri

AUTHORS: Kemal YILDIZ, Mehmet KUH, Seçil TAN, Güngör AY

PAGES: 252-263

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1153179



Biological Diversity and Conservation

ISSN 1308-5301 Print

Research article/Araştırma makalesi DOI: 10.46309/biodicon.2020.753427 13/3 (2020) 252-263

The soil characteristics of some of Silene L. species grown in Turkey

Kemal YILDIZ *1, Mehmet KUH 2, Seçil TAN 3, Güngör AY 4
ORCID: 0000-0003-4753-5005: 0000-0002-3616-0511: 0000-0003-30022-813X: 0000-0002-3292 4932

¹ Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Letters, Manisa Celal Bayar University, Manisa, Turkey

²Adem Nural M.T.A.L., Samandağ, Hatay, Turkey

³1403 street No: 21/24, Buca, İzmir, Turkey

⁴ 235 street, No: 21–3, Buca, İzmir, Turkey

Abstract

Soil characteristics of 19 (21 taxa) *Silene* L. (Caryophyllaceae) species belonging to the sections *Italicae* (Rohrb.) Schischk. *Giganteae* Du Pasquier, *Siphonomorpha* Otth, *Lasiostemones* Boiss., *Sclerocalycinae* Boiss., *Chloranthae* Rohrb., *Tataricae* Chowdhuri and *Otites* (Adams.) Otth were investigated in the Flora of Turkey. Soil characteristics were examined in terms of physical character, water saturation, as chemical characters, salt, organic matter, lime rates, pH (soil reaction) value, phosphorus (P), potassium (K), magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) amounts (ppm). Plant specimens of *Silene* taxa were collected and soil samples were taken from these areas at a depth of 10–30 cm. Soil acidity (pH) with "glass electrode pH meter", Electrical conductivity (ECX103) in the tool "Conductance Bridge", grain diameter of the soil according to the "Hydrometer method of Bouyoucos", phosphorus in soil according to the method "Bray and Kurtz No. 1", in alkaline soils according to "Olsen" method, determination of potassium, calcium, magnesium in soil was made according to "ammonium acetate method". In our study, the soil grown in taxa, clayey, loamy, clayey—loam, most of the taxa are slightly alkaline, all salt—free, very low and high levels of organic matter, lime—free—too much lime, moderate—good phosphorus, deficient—high potassium, poor—moderate amount of calcium, poor—very high rate of magnesium was found to grow in soils. After having been examined, *Silene* species, except for local endemic, it was seen that Turkey has a growing feature in the very different soil character.

Key words: Silene L., Caryophyllaceae, Turkey, soil characteristics

----- * ------

Türkiye'de yetişen bazı Silene L. türlerinin toprak özellikleri

Özet

Lasiostemones Boiss., Sclerocalycinae Boiss., Chloranthae Rohrb., Tataricae Chowdhuri, and Otites (Adams.) Otth seksiyonlarına ait 19 (21 takson) Silene L. (Caryophyllaceae) türünün toprak özellikleri araştırılmıştır. İncelenen toprak özellikleri; fiziksel karekter olarak, suya doygunluk (%), kimyasal karekter olarak ise tuz (%), organik madde (%), kireç (%) oranları, pH (toprak reaksiyonu) değeri, fosfor (P), potasyum (K), mağnezyum (Mg) ve kalsiyum (Ca) miktarları (ppm) olmuştur. Silene taksonlarının örnekleri toplanmış ve bu alanlardan, 10-30 cm derinlikteki toprak örneği alınmıştır. Toprak reaksiyonu (pH) "cam elektrotlu pH metre" ile, elektrik iletkenlik (ECX103) "Conductance Bridge" aletinde, toprakların tane çapları "Bouyoucos'un hidrometre yöntemi"ne göre, topraktaki fosfor (P) "Bray ve Kurtz No. 1" yöntemine göre, alkalen reaksionlu topraklarda "Olsen" yöntemine göre, topraktaki potasyum (K), kalsiyum (Ca), Magnezyum (Mg) tayini "amonyum asetat yöntemine göre yapılmıştır. Yaptığımız araştırmada, taksonların yetiştiği toprakların, killi, tınlı, killi-tınlı, taksonların çoğunun hafif alkali, tamamının tuzsuz, organik madde değerlerinin çok düşük ve yüksek, kireçsiz ve çok kireçli, orta-iyi oranda fosfor (P), düşük-yüksek oranda potasyum (K), zayıf-orta miktarda kalsiyum, zayıf-çok yüksek oranda ise mağnezyuma (Mg) sahip topraklarda yetiştiği tespit

^{*} Corresponding author / Haberleşmeden sorumlu yazar: Tel.: +905327763817; Fax.: +905327763817; E-mail: kemalyil@gmail.com
© Copyright 2020 by Biological Diversity and Conservation Received: 16.6.2020; Published: 15.12.2020 BioDiCon. 871-0120

edilmiştir. İncelenen Silene taksonlarının lokal olanlar endemikler dışında, Türkiye'nin çok değişik toprak özelliklerine sahip alanlarda yetişme özelliğine sahip oldukları görülmüştür.

Anahtar kelimeler: Silene L., Caryophyllaceae, Türkiye, toprak karakterleri

1. Introduction

In Turkey, about 10,000 species of plants (12,000 taxa) are naturally grown and about 3,700 of these taxa are endemic to Turkey [1-3]. There are approximately 750 species of the genus *Silene* on earth, and two gene centers of the genus have been identified, one in the Southern Balkan Peninsula and the other in Southwest Asia. Genus *Silene* is represented by 152 species in Turkey [4].

Şen et al. [5] studied on the endemic *Silene lycaonica* Chowd. and *S. anatolica* Melzheimer & A. Baytop in terms of morphological, anatomical and ecological aspects and soil samples taken from the distribution areas of the species were analyzed. Polat and Bağcı [6] have identified the ecological characteristics of *Silene capillipes* Boiss. & Heldr. Soil (edaphic) characters of some species from the Caryophyllaceae family except for the genus *Silene* in Turkey were also researched. Özçelik and Muca [7] have researched the soil analysis of the three *Ankyropetalum Fenzl* widespread species (*Ankyropetalum arsusianum* Kotschy ex Boiss., *A. reuteri* Boiss. & Hausskn.

A. gypsophiloides Fenzl), in the flora of Turkey. Korkmaz et al. [8], some species of Turkey's Gypsophila L. studied on the habitat characteristics of taxa. Soil samples were taken from the area where 22 Gypsophila taxa were distributed and especially from habitats where plants were intensively grown and analyzed. Korkmaz and Özçelik [9] conducted a study on the soil–plant relationship of annual 10 Gypsophila taxa. In this study, effects of soil properties on plant growth were investigated. Selvi et al. [10] was studied in terms of ecological of Agrostemma githago L. and A. brachyloba (Fenzl) K. Hammer in Turkey. It was found that the soil structure were almost similar in the environments where both species were grown. However, while the amount of phosphorus and zinc is sufficient or more in the environments where A. githago grows, it is observed that these elements are less in the environments where A. brachyloba grows.

In this study, it is aimed that the physical properties of soils are investigated in terms of the water saturation, and their chemical properties are determined like salt (μ S/cm), organic matter (%), lime (%) ratios, pH (soil reaction) values and the ratios, and amounts of the most important elements as macro nutrients phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Soil material

Samples of the *Silene* taxa examined in the field studies were collected and soil samples were taken from these areas at a depth of 10–30 cm (Table 1). Soil samples were stored in the bags and the analysis of these soil samples were carried out by the following methods at Manisa Provincial Directorate of Agriculture [11].

Table 1. Location of investigated specimens of Silene

Station	Localities
number	
170	A1E Edirne: Edirne-Lalapaşa road, 1,5 km, hills, 150 m 18 vii 2006, K.Yıldız 170.
	Silene densiflora d'Urv.
508A	A1(E) Edirne: Edirne–Lalapaşa road, 0.5–1. km, hills, roadside, 150 m, 27.05.2014, K.Yıldız 508A, M.Kuh.
	Silene skorpilii Vel.
508B	A1(E) Edirne: Edirne–Lalapaşa road, 1.5 km, hills, roadside, 150 m, 27.05.2014, K.Yıldız 508B, M.Kuh.
	Silene densiflora d'Urv.
513	A1(E) Edirne: Keşan, Mecidiye beach, military camp, sea level, 28.05.2014, K.Yıldız 513, M.Kuh.
	S. frivadzkyana Hampe
524	C2 Denizli: Babadağ, Başalan plateau, 8400 m, 30.06.2014, K.Yıldız 524, M.Kuh
	S. splendens Boiss.
529	C2 Denizli: Tavas, Kızılcabölük town, Çakıroluk location, TV Transmitter station area,1550–1650 m, 04.08.2014,
	K.Yıldız 529.
	S. lycaonica Chowd.
537	C1 Aydın, South of Güzelçamlı, 390 m, 01.05.2015, K.Yıldız 537, G.Ay, M.Kuh, S.Tan
	S. italica (L.) Pers. subsp. italica
541	C2 Muğla: East of the city center, lime cliffs, 02.05.2015, K.Yıldız 541, G.Ay.
	S. gigantea L. subsp. gigantea
553	C2 Antalya–Elmalı, Yayaçiftlik village, the cliffs, 1050 m, K.Yıldız 553, G.Ay.
	S. armena var. serrulata (Boiss.) Coode & Cullen

Table 1. Devam ediyor

abic 1. Dc	vam ediyor
554	C2 Antalya–Elmalı, Southeastern rocky slopes from Avlan Lake to Finike, 1000 m, 03.05.2015, K.Yıldız 554,
	G.Ay.
	S. gigantea L. subsp. gigantea
	S. swertiifolia Boiss.
567	C3 Isparta: Yenişarbademli–Eğirdir road, between Pinargözü–Yaka village,1800 m, 06.05.2015, K.Yıldız 567,
507	G.Ay.
	S. capitellata Boiss.
5.60 A	
568-A	C3 Isparta: Yenişarbademli–Eğirdir road, between Pinargözü–Yaka village, 1650 m, 06.05.2015, K.Yıldız 568A,
	G.Ay.
	S. lycaonica Chowd.
568-B	C3 Isparta: Yenişarbademli–Eğirdir road, between Pinargözü–Yaka village, 1750 m, 06.05.2015, K.Yıldız 568B,
	G.Ay.
	S. lycaonica Chowd.
569	C3 Isparta: Between Yenişarbademli and Aksu, after Yaka village, 1400 m, K.Yıldız, 06.05 2015, K.Yıldız 569,
	G.Ay.
	S. lycaonica Chowd.
570	B1 Manisa: Sipil mountain, on Çöşmüş the road, rocky hill,1200–1250 m, 09.05.2015, K.Yıldız 570.
	S. idaea Hausskn.
571	B1 Manisa: Sipil mountain, Turgutalp village, 850 m, 09.05.2015, K.Yıldız 571.
0,1	S. chlorifolia Sm.
572A	B1 Manisa: Sipil mountain exit, navigation area,750 m, 09.05.2015, K.Yıldız 572A.
37211	S. italica (L.) Pers. subsp. italica
572D	B1 Manisa: Sipil mountain exit, navigation area,800 m, 09.05.2015, K.Yıldız 572B.
572B	
	S. italica (L.) Pers. subsp. italica
573	B1 Manisa: Sipil mountain, 650 m, 09.05.2015, K.Yıldız 573.
	S. gigantea L. subsp. gigantea
596	C3 Antalya: Güneysu, Morca plateau, over Toptaş, 1650–1750 m, 25.06.2015, K. Yıldız 596, M.Kuh.
	S. caramanica Boiss. & Heldr. var. caramanica
598	C3 Antalya:Akseki, Çimi plateau, 1600 m, 26.06.2015, K. Yıldız 598, M. Kuh.
	S. isaurica Contandr. & Quézel
599	C3 Antalya: Akseki, Çimi plateau, rocky–stony areas, 1700–1800 m, 27.06.2015, K.Yıldız 599, M.Kuh.
	S. lycaonica Chowd.
601	C3 Antalya: Akseki–Seydisehir road, 1650 m, 28.06.2015, K.Yıldız 601, M.Kuh.
	S. caeseria Boiss. & Bal.
603	C4 Konya: Bozkır–Soğucak road, oak openings after Soğucak,1500 m, 28.06.2015, K. Yıldız 603, M.Kuh.
003	S. phyrigia Boiss., S. chlorifolia Sm.
606	C4 Konya, Hadim–Bozkır road, 1700 m, 29.06.2015, K.Yıldız 607, M.Kuh.
606	
(00	S. caramanica Boiss. & Heldr. var. caramanica, S. laxa Boiss. & Kotschy
608	C4 Karaman: Ermenek–Mut road, 4. km, rocky areas, 1300 m, 30.6.2015, K.Yıldız 608, M.Kuh.
610	S. longipetala Vent.
610	C4 Karaman: Tekeçatı–Damlaçal, rocks,1730 m, 30.06.2015, K.Yıldız 610, M.Kuh.
	S. italica (L.) Pers. subsp. italica
612	C4 Karaman: Karaman–Ermenek road, after 8–9 km after crossing Bucakkışla, 1200 m, 30.06.2015, K.Yıldız 612,
	M.Kuh.
	S. chlorifolia Sm.
615	C4 Karaman: Karaman–Bucakkışla (Ermenek) road is 17 km away from the road, 1300 m, 30.06.2015, K.Yıldız
	615, M.Kuh.
	S. caramanica Boiss. & Heldr. var. caramanica, S. longipetala Vent., S. otites (L.) Vibel
616	C5 Konya, Ereğli, above Yellice village, 1900 m, 01.07.2015, K. Yıldız, M. Kuh
010	S. armena Boiss. var. armena
617	C5 Konya: Ereğli, from Yellice village to Aydos mountain, meadow areas, 2150 m, 01.07.2015, K.Yıldız 617,
617	
	M.Kuh.
	S. italica (L.) Pers. subsp. italica Kemal Vıldız, M. Kub, Mehmet Kub, G. Av. Güngör, Av. S. Tan. Secil Tan)

(K. Yıldız: Kemal Yıldız, M.Kuh: Mehmet Kuh, G.Ay: Güngör Ay, S.Tan: Seçil Tan)

2.2. Soil analysis

Soil reaction (pH): The reaction of soil samples is measured with a "glass electrode pH meter". For the current acidity, soils with 1–2.5% pure water; for cation exchange acidity was soaked with 1–2.5 nKCl for one night and then measuring [12-14]. Electrical conductivity (ECX103): The electrical conductivity of the prepared soil saturation extract at 25 °C was measured as "micron Siemens /cm" on the "Conductance–Bridge" instrument [13, 15]. Total lime: Total lime was determined by Scheibler calcimetry [16]. Grain diameter (body) (Water saturation): Soil grain diameters according to "Bouyoucos' hydrometer method"; soil types were determined according to the international class of grain diameters [12, 14]. Phosphorus in soil (P): According to the modified by Bray and Kurtz No.1" method in acid–reacted

soils, "Olsen" method in alkaline reacted soils. "Spectronic 20D colorimeter". Determination of potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) in soil: using "ammonium acetate method" [13, 17]. From the data obtained as a result of these methods, pH, Saatçi et al. [18] and Öztürk et al. [19]; Electrical conductivity (salt), amounts of lime and organic matter, according to Tüzüner [20] and Jackson [13]; phosphorus in soil, other elements; potassium, calcium, magnesium values were evaluated according to Çokuysal and Erbaş [21]. Reference values are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Reference values of soil analysis data

Physical	Chemical properties										
properties	Chemical properties										
		C - 14	T :	0	DL 1	D-4i	C-1-i	Managaina			
Water	pH	Salt	Lime	Organic	Phosphorus	Potassium	Calcium	Magnesium			
Saturatio	(Soil	(μS/cm)	(%)	matter	(P)	(K)	(Ca)	(Mg)			
n (ml)	reaction)	0.2000	0.1	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)			
0 –30	<4.5	0–2000	0–1	0-1	1.30	150	<714	<80			
sandy	extreme acid	salt–free	<u>lime</u> – <u>free</u>	very little	poor	deficient	very poor	poor			
30 –50	4.5–5	2001-4000	1–5	1–2	1.30-3.26	150-200	715–1428	80–160			
loamy	very strong	slightly	less	little	moderate	low	poor	moderate			
	acid	salty	calcareous								
50 – 70	5.1-5.5	4001-8000	5–15	2–3	3.26	200-300	1429-	161–350			
clayey-	strong acid	moderately	moderate	moderate	good	sufficient	2143	high			
loamy		salty	calcareous				moderate				
70–110	5.6-6.0	8000-15000	15–25	3–4		300-400	2144-	350-+			
clayey	moderate	too salty	much	good		high	2857	very high			
	acid		calcareous				good				
110+	6.1-6.5	15001 >	25+	4+		400-+	2858-				
heavy	mild acid	extreme	too much	high		very high	3571				
clayey		salty	calcareous				high				
	6.6–7.3						3571-+				
	neutral						very high				
	7.4–7.8										
	slightly										
	alkaline										
	7.9–8.4										
	alkaline										
	8.5–9.0										
	strong										
	alkaline										
	9.1-+										
	very strong										
	alkaline										

3. Results

Soil samples from in which 19 species (21 taxa) belonging to the genus *Silene* were grown, were taken from 33 different areas. Soil analysis taxa are expressed in tables and graphs (Table 3, Figures 1–4).

Table 3. Reference values of soil analysis data (P: phosphorus, K: potassium, Ca: calcium, Mg: magnesium)

	Physical properties	Chemical properties							
Species (Station number)	Water Saturation (ml) and Structure	pH (Soil reaction)	Salt (μS/cm)	Lime (%)	Organic matter (%)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)	Ca (ppm)	Mg (ppm)
Silene italica (L.)	93	7.48	445	1.17	8.792	7.55	159	1121	372
Pers. subsp. <i>italica</i> (537)	clayey	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	low	deficient	very high
S. italica subsp. italica (572A)									
	49	7.59	281	1.17	2.24	2.06	141	1648	66

Table 3. Devam ediyor

S. italica subsp.									
S. italica subsp.	loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	moderate	moderate	deficient	moderate	Poor
	37	7.5	369	1.17	0.56	5.32	162	1766	584
italica (572B)	loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	very little	good	low	moderate	very high
	49	7.82	369	26.52	4.76	4.16	119	1351	71
italica (610)	loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	too much calcareous	high	good	deficient	poor	poor
S. italica subsp.	72	6.6	830	1.56	10.08	25.92	238	1314	172
italica (617)	clayey	neutral	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	sufficient	poor	high
S. splendens	72	7.37	425	3.12	5.77	16.49	279	1575	146
Boiss. (524)	clayey	neutral	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	sufficient	moderate	moderate
S. gigantea L.	53	7.89	381	27.69	1.456	1.94	169	1141	28
subsp. gigantea (541)	clayey– loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	too much calcareous	little	moderate	low	poor	poor
	42	7.74	181	39	1.4	6.51	139	1219	23
subsp. gigantea (554)	loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	too much	little	good	low	poor	poor
S. gigantea	52	7.56	343	6.63	2.24	1.83	184	1338	36
	clayey– loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	moderate calcareous	moderate	moderate	low	poor	poor
	77	7.71	503	6.24	5.880	2.75	243	1288	106
Vent. (608)	clayey	slightly	salt-free	moderate	high	moderate	sufficient	poor	moderate
G 1 1	7.5	alkaline	574	calcareous	7.002	12.20	100	1222	100
S. longipetala (615)	75	7.77	574	3.12	5.992	13.28	188	1222	198
(613)	clayey	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	low	poor	high
S. phyrigia	42	7.79	530	3.12	1.12	5.31	71	1554	169
Boiss. (603)	loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	little	good	deficient	moderate	high
S. capitellata	79	6.26	181	1.17	8.120	13.58	158	1288	204
Boiss. (567)	clayey	mild acid	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	low	poor	high
S. isaurica	65	7.30	265	1.95	3.640	4.49	108	1158	82
	clayey-	neutral	salt–free	less					
			Suit Hee		good	good	deficient	poor	moderate
Quézel (598) S. caramanica	loamy 63	7.54	765	calcareous 7.8	3.36	good 3.16	193	1236	136
Quézel (598)		7.54 slightly alkaline		calcareous					
Quézel (598) S. caramanica var.caramanica (596)	63 clayey– loamy	slightly alkaline	765 salt–free	7.8 moderate calcareous	3.36 good	3.16 moderate	193	1236 poor	136 moderate
Quézel (598) S. caramanica var.caramanica (596) S. caramanica	63 clayey– loamy	slightly alkaline	765 salt–free	7.8 moderate calcareous 1.56	3.36 good 4.98	3.16 moderate	193 low	1236 poor	136 moderate
Quézel (598) S. caramanica var.caramanica (596) S. caramanica	63 clayey– loamy	slightly alkaline	765 salt–free	7.8 moderate calcareous	3.36 good	3.16 moderate	193	1236 poor	136 moderate
Quézel (598) S. caramanica var.caramanica (596) S. caramanica Boiss. & Heldr. var.caramanica	clayey— loamy 55 clayey—	slightly alkaline 7.74 slightly	765 salt–free	7.8 moderate calcareous 1.56 less	3.36 good 4.98	3.16 moderate	193 low	1236 poor	136 moderate
Quézel (598) S. caramanica var.caramanica (596) S. caramanica Boiss. & Heldr. var.caramanica (606) S. caramanica var.caramanica var.caramanica (615)	clayey– loamy 55 clayey– loamy	slightly alkaline 7.74 slightly alkaline	765 salt–free 415 salt–free	7.8 moderate calcareous 1.56 less calcareous	3.36 good 4.98 high	3.16 moderate 20.13 good	193 low 321 high	1236 poor 1927 moderate	moderate 236 high
Quézel (598) S. caramanica var.caramanica (596) S. caramanica Boiss. & Heldr. var.caramanica (606) S. caramanica var.caramanica (615) S. idaea Hausskn. (570)	clayey— loamy 55 clayey— loamy 75	slightly alkaline 7.74 slightly alkaline 7.77 slightly	765 salt–free 415 salt–free 574	ralcareous 7.8 moderate calcareous 1.56 less calcareous 3.12 less	3.36 good 4.98 high	3.16 moderate 20.13 good	193 low 321 high	1236 poor 1927 moderate	136 moderate 236 high

Table 3. Devam ediyor

clayey	neutral	salt-free	moderate	high	good	low	poor	Moderate
	ĺ		calcareous	C	1		1	moderate
74	7.6	391	1.56	13.16	4.38	275	1242	467
clayey	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	sufficient	poor	very high
67	7.48	529	31.2	6.16	8.8	173	1638	143
clayey-		salt-free	too much	high	good	low	moderate	moderate
loamy	alkaline		calcareous					
55	7.74	415	0.70	4.00	20.12	221	1027	226
		_						236 high
		sait-free	IIIIe-iiee	iligii	good	Iligii	moderate	ingn
		479	31.2	10.08	7.21	297	1944	229
clayey-	neutral	salt-free	too much	high	good	sufficient	moderate	high
loamy			calcareous	_				
50		108	1.56			143	1286	30
loamy	alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	moderate	moderate	deficient	poor	poor
42	7.79	530	3.12	1.12	5.31	71	1554	169
loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	little	good	deficient	moderate	high
45	7.74	766	5.46	1.73	4.48	151	1168	190
loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	moderate calcareous	little	good	low	poor	high
42	7.74	181	39	1.4	6.51	139	1219	23
loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	too much	little	good	low	poor	poor
86	7.54	370	1.56	13.6	5.63	138	1216	105
clayey	slightly	salt-free	less	high	good	deficient	poor	moderate
91		354		19 32	7 1	116	1284	350
Clayey	slightly	salt-free	lime-free	high	good	deficient	poor	high
67		360	1.56	6.83	4.88	151	1306	113
	neutral	salt–free	less			low		moderate
loamy			calcareous)				
40	7.88	251	1.56	1.34	1.62	129	1229	64
loamy		salt-free	less calcareous	little	moderate	deficient	poor	poor
75	7.53	730	4.29	8.12	26.57	185	1413	125
clayey	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	low	poor	moderate
33		88		0.39	4.80	114	1119	125
loamy	slightly	salt-free	lime-free	very little	good	deficient	poor	moderate
49	7.4	272	0.78	1.4	3.96	182	1353	277
Loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	lime-free	little	good	low	poor	high
75	7.77	574	3.12	5.992	13.28	188	1222	198
clayey	slightly alkaline	salt-free	less calcareous	high	good	low	poor	high
49	7.4	272	0.78	1.4	3.96	182	1353	277
loamy	slightly alkaline	salt-free	lime-free	little	good	low	poor	high
37	7.34	347	7.02	1.176	3.85	159	1218	74
loamy	neutral	salt-free	moderate calcareous	little	good	low	poor	poor
	clayey 67 clayey—loamy 73 clayey—loamy 50 loamy 42 loamy 45 loamy 46 clayey 91 Clayey 67 clayey—loamy 40 loamy 49 Loamy 49 Loamy 49 loamy	clayey slightly alkaline 67 7.48 clayey— slightly alkaline 55 7.74 clayey— slightly alkaline 73 6.75 clayey— neutral loamy 50 7.99 loamy alkaline 42 7.79 loamy slightly alkaline 45 7.74 loamy slightly alkaline 46 7.54 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.54 Clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.54 Clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey— neutral loamy slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey— slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.53 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.77 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.77 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.77 clayey slightly alkaline 75 7.77	clayey slightly alkaline 67 7.48 529 clayey— slightly alkaline 55 7.74 415 clayey— slightly salt—free alkaline 73 6.75 479 clayey— neutral salt—free loamy 50 7.99 108 loamy alkaline 42 7.79 530 loamy slightly salt—free alkaline 45 7.74 181 loamy slightly salt—free alkaline 46 7.54 370 clayey— slightly salt—free alkaline 47 7.74 181 loamy slightly salt—free alkaline 48 7.54 370 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 86 7.54 370 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 86 7.54 370 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 67 7.02 360 clayey— neutral salt—free alkaline 67 7.02 360 clayey— neutral salt—free alkaline 67 7.02 360 clayey— neutral salt—free loamy 40 7.88 251 loamy slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.53 730 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 33 7.50 88 loamy slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.57 7.57 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free alkaline 75 7.77 574 clayey slightly salt—free	clayey slightly alkaline salt-free calcareous less calcareous 67 7.48 529 31.2 clayey- loamy slightly alkaline salt-free too much calcareous 55 7.74 415 0.78 clayey- loamy slightly alkaline salt-free lime-free 73 6.75 479 31.2 clayey- neutral loamy salt-free too much calcareous 50 7.99 108 1.56 loamy slightly salt-free less calcareous 42 7.79 530 3.12 loamy slightly slatine salt-free less calcareous 42 7.74 766 5.46 loamy slightly slatine salt-free alcareous 42 7.74 181 39 loamy slightly slatine salt-free too much calcareous 86 7.54 370 1.56 clayey slightly slatine salt-free less calcareous	clayey slightly alkaline salt-free calcareous less calcareous high calcareous 67 7.48 529 31.2 6.16 67 7.48 529 31.2 6.16 clayey loamy slightly alkaline salt-free too much calcareous high 55 7.74 415 0.78 4.98 clayey loamy alkaline salt-free lime-free high 10amy alkaline salt-free too much calcareous high 10amy alkaline salt-free less calcareous moderate calcareous 42 7.79 530 3.12 1.12 less calcareous little little 45 7.74 766 5.46 1.73 loamy slightly salt-free alkaline little 42 7.74 181 39 1.4 loamy slightly salt-free less high alkaline 30 1.56 13.6	Clayey	Sightly alkaline Salt-free Less calcareous Sightly loamy Sightly loamy Salt-free Salt-fr	Clayey alkaline

4. Conclusions and discussion

In terms of physical properties, saturation—body structure was examined (Table 3, Figure 1). The saturation rate of the studied soils was measured between 33–93%. According to these values, taxa were grown in clayey, loamy, clayey—loamy soils. Taxa were found to prefer loamy soils in 14 soil samples. Some species have found a growing environment in different soils. For example, *Silene italica* subsp. *italica* clayey and loamy, *S. lycaonica* clayey, loamy and clayey—loamy can grow in soils. As the distribution area of taxa increases, it can be seen that they spreads in more different soils, while other taxa prefer only certain soils.

In terms of chemical properties; (pH), salt, lime, organic matter, P, K, Ca and Mg values were investigated.

Salinity rates vary between 88 (*S. frivadzkyana*, K. Yıldız 513) and 830 µS/cm (*Silene italica* subsp. *italica*, K. Yıldız 617). According to these measured values, it was determined that all of the studied *Silene* species were grown on salt–free soils. Samples with the least salt content are K. Yıldız 571 (*S. chlorifolia*, 108 µS/cm), K.Yıldız 554 (*S. swertiifolia*, *S. gigantea* subsp. *gigantea*, 181 µS/cm), K.Yıldız 567 (*S. capitellata*, 181 µS/cm). Based on the analyzed habitats, it can be said that other *Silene* taxa have no tolerance to saline soils (Table 3, Figure 2).

Plants generally grow well between pH 6.5–7.5, on the other hand, if value is below or above of this range, it causes negativity in plants [22, 23]. In moist soils, pH value starts just under 5 and rises to the maximum of 7. On the other hand, in arid soil, pH value starts from 7 to 9, and in general terms, pH value is always above 7 in arid soil and below 7 in humid soil. The pH values of the studied soil samples ranged from 6.26 (*Silene capitellata*) to 7.89 (*S. gigantea* subsp. *gigantea*). These values are evaluated between mild acid and mild alkaline. The pH of most of the taxa investigated is between 7.4–7.8 and grows in light alkaline–arid soils (Table 3, Figure 2).

Organic matter has a significant effect on the physical, chemical and biological properties of soils. It has been reported that the richest soils of organic matter are under forest cover and the lowest value soils are formed on young alluvial deposits. In addition, organic matter; is the main source of phosphorus, sulfur and nitrogen in the soil. It accelerates the decomposition of minerals in the soil and affects the uptake of nutrients and also increases the salt tolerance of the soil [24, 25]. According to the percentage of organic matter in the studied soil samples, *Silene* taxa were grown in soils between 0.39–13.32%. Organic matter values are very low and high. The lowest value of organic matter was measured in K. Yıldız 513 (*Silene frivadzkyana*, 0.39%) and the highest value was measured in K.Yıldız 568A (*S. lycaonica*, 19.32%). Among the investigated taxa, such as *S. italica* subsp. *italica* and *S. chlorifolia* species have been observed in habitats with very little or little organic matter. Cosmopolitan taxa (*S. italica* subsp. *italica*) have been observed to be grown in very low and high soils by organic matter and other taxa (*S. lycaonica*, *S. caramanica* var. *caramanica*) in organic soils (Table 3, Figure 2).

When the lime (%) values measured in soil analysis are considered, these values are evaluated between lime—free and too much calcareous soils (Table 3, Figure 2). When the lime content in soils exceeds 15%, much calcareous limits crop production [26]. The highest values were measured in *Silene gigantea* subsp. *gigantea* (K.Yıldız 554, 39%) and *S. armena* var. *serrulata* (K.Yıldız 553, 31.2%) taxa were measured. According to the soil analysis results in the above areas, *S. gigantea* and *S. armena* species have high tolerance to lime. Lime values have the least percentage, the same percentage (0.78%) and these species: *S. laxa* (K.Yıldız 606), *S. lycaonica* (K.Yıldız 568A), *S. frivadzkyana* (K.Yıldız 513), *S. skorpilii* (K.Yıldız 508A), *S. densiflora* (K.Yıldız 170).

Plants grown in soils with low phosphorus increase the uptake of phosphorus, which is insufficient in the environment by making more roots. In soils where phosphorus is low, root hairs increase the absorption surface and penetrate larger soil volumes with a very low radius ratio to significantly increase phosphorus usefulness [27-29]. If the phosphorus ratio in the soil is low; decrease in flower and seed formation and color changes in leaves are observed. Iron (Fe), Zinc (zn), Calcium (Ca), Boron (B) and Manganese (Mn) cannot be purchased in excess phosphorous soils [30].

Measured values in terms of phosphorus (P) content measured in soil analysis ranged from 1.62 to 26.57 ppm. These values are in the moderate–good category. The lowest values among the analyzed samples were in the middle level and the highest values were measured in K.Yıldız 569 (*Silene lycaonica*, 1.62 ppm) and K.Yıldız 573 (*S. gigantea*. subsp. *gigantea*, 1.83 ppm) values were measured in K.Yıldız 599 (*S. lycaonica*, 26.57 ppm) and K.Yıldız 617 (*S. italica* subsp. *italica*, 25.92 ppm) samples (Table 3, Figure 3).

Potassium in soil plays a role in more than one event in plants. It provides the water balance of the plant and provides power for the plant to withstand drought. Potassium (K) content measured in soil analysis measured values vary between 71–321 ppm. These values are in the deficient—high category. In terms of potassium values measured in the analysis, the lowest value was found in K.Yıldız 603 (*Silene phyrigia*, 71 ppm) and the highest value was found in K.Yıldız 606, *S. laxa* and *S. caramanica* var. *caramanica* was measured as 321 ppm. The majority of taxa studied grow on soils with low and deficient potassium (Table 3, Figure 4).

Calcium (Ca) is the third most used plant nutrient. Calcium adjusts pH of soil and plays a role in the uptake of plant nutrients and in the deposition of toxic substances in plants and soil. In terms of calcium content measured in the analyzes, the measured values ranged from 1119–1944 ppm. All taxa are grown in soils with calcium—poor category. The lowest calcium values were measured in K.Yıldız 537 (*Silene italica* subsp. *italica*, 1119 ppm) and the highest value was measured in K.Yıldız 601 (*S. caeseria*, 1944 ppm) (Table 3, Figure 4).

High amounts of aluminum ions in soils with a pH value of 5 or less also reduce magnesium intake and cause deficiency [31]. Magnesium (Mg) content measured in soil analysis ranged from 23–584 ppm in this study. These values are in the poor–very high category. The lowest magnesium value measured in soil analysis was measured in K.Yıldız 554 (*Silene gigantea* subsp. *gigantea*, 23 ppm) and the highest value was found in K.Yıldız 561 (*S. italica* var. *italica*, 584 ppm) samples (Table 3, Figure 4).

Looking at the soil analysis obtained from more than one locality, one of the two most examined species is *Silene italica* subsp. *italica* (5 different localities). *S. italica* subsp. *italica* is among the most common species of *Silene* in the Flora of Turkey. It is loamy in three localities, clayey in two localities, slightly alkaline soils in four localities, neutral soils in one locality, salt–free soils in all localities in terms of salinity properties, less calcareous in four localities in one locality, and more calcareous in three localities in terms of organic matter properties high, in one locality of moderate and much organic matter soils, phosphorus (P) in terms of properties moderate in one locality, good in four localities, in terms of potassium (K) properties sufficient in one locality, low in two localities and again in two localities, calcium (Ca) properties two poor, three localities in the middle value of soils, magnesium (Mg) properties in two localities poor, high in one locality and very high in two localities were found to grow. As seen, *S. italica*, a cosmopolitan species, can grow in soils with very different characteristics (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

Likewise, soil samples of the most studied species, *Silene lycaonica* (5 different localities), clayey, loamy and clayey–loamy, slightly alkaline at four locations, neutral soils in one locality, all salt–free soils, four localities less and one locality in lime–free soils, high in four localities, in terms of organic matter properties in soils with little organic matter in one locality, in phosphorus (P) properties high in four localities, good and moderate in one locality, deficient and low value soils in potassium (K) properties, calcium (Ca) properties in poor soils, in terms of magnesium (Mg) poor, moderate and high value of the soils were found to grow (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

According to the soil analysis, *Silene gigantea* subsp. *gigantea* in different two localities, they were grown in soils, in terms of water saturation in two localities clayey–loamy, loamy soil in one locality, the alkaline soils in all localities, salt–free soils in all localities, moderate calcareous in one locality, in two localities very much calcareous, moderate organic matter in a locality, in terms of phosphorus (P) properties good in one locality, good in two localities, potassium (K) properties low in all localities, calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) properties in all localities in poor value soils. As can be seen, *S. gigantea* species can grow on soils with less different characteristics than *S. italica* species spread over larger areas (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

Silene chlorifolia (three different locations), loamy in all soils, alkaline and slightly alkaline in terms of pH properties, all in salt—free soils, in low and moderate calcareous soils in term of lime properties, organic matter in little and moderate soils, in terms of phosphorus (P) properties moderate and good soils, potassium (K) properties in deficient and low value soils, calcium (Ca) properties in poor and moderate value soils, magnesium (Mg) properties in poor and high value soils were found to grow (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

Silene longipetala (two different localities) clayey, slightly alkaline, salt—free, less calcareous and moderate—calcified, high—value organic matter in the soils, phosphorus (P) properties in middle and good soils, potassium (K), in sufficient and low value soils, calcium (Ca) in poor soils, magnesium (Mg) in high and moderate value soils have been identified that they grow (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

Soil samples from three different localities of the species which have two different varieties, *Silene caramanica* var. *caramanica* and *S. caramanica* var. *ilarslanii*, were analyzed. Both varieties grows in clayey–loamy and var. *caramanica* clayey soil in terms of water saturation. It was determined that both varieties were grown in light alkaline and salt–free soils, var. *ilarslanii* were moderate–calcareous soils, var. *caramanica* were grown in less calcareous soils, var. *ilarslanii* good organic matter and var. *caramanica* was grown in high value soils. In terms of phosphorus (P) properties, var. *ilarslanii* moderate, var. *caramanica* in good value soils, potassium (K) properties low and high soils, calcium (Ca) properties poor and moderate soils, in terms of magnesium (Mg), it was found that var. *ilarslanii* were grown on moderate soils and var. *caramanica* were grown on high soils (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

Silene densiflora (2 different localities), loamy, slightly alkaline and neutral, salt–free, lime–free and moderate–lime soils with little organic matter, phosphorus (P) good, potassium (K) low, calcium (Ca) poor, magnesium (Mg) in poor and high value of soils were found to grow (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

Sen et al. [5] have made the soil analysis *Silene anatolica* and *S. lycaonia*. In the study, *S. lycaonica* soil is clayey, pH almost neutral (6.99), trace amounts of salts and moderate—lime were encountered in the soil, the soil was good for the determination of organic matter. It is stated that in terms of mineral content of phosphorus (P) moderate, potassium (K) very high, magnesium (Mg) high and calcium (Ca) sufficient. It is stated that the soil structure in which both species are distributed shows some different features. *S. anatolica* prefers clayey—loamy and slightly alkaline soils, while *S. lycaonica* spreads only in clayey and neutral soils. The element potassium is abundant only in the soil where *S. lycaonica* is grown.

In the present study, soil samples of *S. lycaonica* species from 5 different localities (K.Yıldız 529, 568A, 568B, 569 and 599) were analyzed (Table 3, Figures 1-4). In our study, it was found that *S. lycaonica* had clayey–loamy in three localities, clay–loamy in one locality and loamy in one locality. As in the study of Şen et al. [5], grow is seen that preferred clay soil. In our study, the soil pH value of *S. lycaonica* is between 7.02–7.88 and between neutral and slightly alkaline, in the study of Şen et al. [5], it is seen that grow in soils with similar pH characteristics. Trace amounts of salt

are present in the soil, but also in the salt–free and middle lime has been found and are in parallel with the work of Şen et al. [5]. In terms of organic matter determination, the soils where the species grows are high value except for one sample (K.Yıldız 569, 1.34 ppm) and like the study of Şen et al. [5], except for one sample, grows in with more organic matter soils. Values of *S. lycaonica* species in five different localities and data from Sen et al. [5] study were compared in terms of soil minerals. In our study, it was determined that the amount of phosphorus (P), which is one of the mineral contents in the soil, excep for just one sample, (K.Yıldız 569, 1.62 ppm) is good, on the other hand, potassium (K) amount is low and deficient, magnesium (Mg) amount is poor, moderate and high, and lastly calcium (Ca) amount is poor. According to our findings, phosphorus (P), one of the soil mineral content in Şen et al. [5] study, is higher, potassium (K) is less, magnesium (Mg) is different in values, and lastly calcium (Ca) is less (Table 3, Figures 1-4).

According to the analysis results of the soil samples of *Silene capillipes* Polat and Bağcı [6], they were found that the soil structure was heavy clay, pH showed a weak acidity with 6.40, salt–free was present in the soil and the amount of lime was very high. In the determination of organic matter, it was determined that the organic matter content of the soil was moderate humus, the mineral contents in the soil were high in phosphorus (P), high in potassium (K), high in magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca). As a result of soil analysis, the plant habitat of *S. capillipes* was found to be heavy clayey. The species in our study were grown in clayey, loamy, clayey and loamy soils, but no species were grown in heavy clayey soil. *Silene capillipes* is an endemic species with a narrow area distribution and the areas where it grows are around Ermenek (Karaman).

Aktaş et al. [32] in their ecological study on the *Petrorhagia* taxa, physical properties in terms of soil, seven taxa on clayey–loamy, two of them loamy and only a species are grown in clayey soils and soils found that the saturation value of the water varies between 34–95%. The chemical properties of the soil, the total salt values of the soil $36-868~\mu\text{S/cm}$, pH values between 6.62-7.76, lime values between 0.07-30.04% and the average P values between 2.13-14.64~(0.0002-0.0014) ppm and K values were analyzed between 32-277~(0.0032-0.0277%) ppm. According to these results, *Petrorhagia* species are grown in salt–free soils, mostly in slightly basic and neutral soils in terms of pH, mostly in moderate-calcareous soils and some in lime-rich soils. When the genus *Petrorhagia* and *Silene* were compared, it was determined that both genera were very similar in terms of physical and elemental values, all salt–free in soils and *Silene* taxa were grown on more calcareous soils.

Korkmaz et al. [8] investigated the soil properties of 22 taxa belonging to genus *Gypsophila* which is the third largest genus of the family Caryophyllaceae in Turkey. Studied *Gypsophila* soils are moderate and generally coarse, more or less salty, salt–free, slightly alkaline, very high and moderately calcareous, low in phosphorus (P), low in organic matter, moderate and rich in potassium (K). In terms of micro elements, it has been determined that they are of different grades and a significant part of them are grown in gypsum fields.

In general, when compared to the soil characteristics of the same number of *Silene* taxa we studied, it has been found to grow in soils, the soils belonging to the genus *Silene* (Table 3, Figures 1-4) are clayey, loamy, clayey–loamy (moderate group–moderate), most of the taxa are slightly alkaline, all are salt–free, very low and high organic matter values, lime–free and much lime, moderate–good phosphorus (P), deficient–high potassium (K), poor–moderate category of calcium (Ca), poor–very high magnesium (Mg). According to this evaluation, *Gypsophila* and *Silene* soil properties are generally similar. Korkmaz and Özçelik [9] examined the soil properties of the annual *Gypsophila* taxa. Soil samples examined in this study are mostly moderate textured (sand and loamy–sandy soils), salt content is very low, generally slightly alkaline and lime content is low to very high. Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) concentrations of soil samples are generally low. The organic matter content ranges from low to high. In the habitats of taxa, the dominant vegetation type is steppe. Soil characteristics of *Silene* species in our study compared with soil properties of *Gypsophila* taxa, Korkmaz and Özçelik [9]'s study, although only phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) concentrations are generally low, *Silene* taxa, moderate–good phosphorus (P) differs from the lack–high–potassium (K).

When the studies on different genera similar to the above studies are examined; in the study on two species of the genus *Agrostemma* [10] and in the study on three species of the genus *Ankyropetalum* [7], little differences were observed in terms of soil properties. This is to show the similarity of character, showing us that one of the five most widespread in the Flora of Turkey family, of the family Caryophyllaceae, except endemic species, especially shows that cosmopolitan species usually grows in similar areas.

As a result of our study; the analysis of 33 different soil samples of 21 taxa belonging to 19 species of the genus *Silene* were determined and the values of living environments were determined. *Silene* taxa are resistant to lime, in terms of water saturation, clayey–loamy, loamy and clayey soils, moderate–good level forfor (P), deficient–high potassium (K), poor–moderate calcium (Ca), poor–very high magnesium (Mg), in terms of organic matter, in very little–high values have been found in the areas. According to these data, it was found to be of the species of genus *Silene* that can be grown in almost every region in Turkey.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the Celal Bayar University Scientific Research Projects Unit (Project No. FEF 2014–125) for financial support. We would like to thank the staff of Manisa Provincial Directorate of Food, Agriculture and Livestock Laboratories for their soil analysis.

References

- [1] Davis, P.H., Mill, R.R. & Tan, K. (1988). *Silene* L. In: Davis, P.H., Mill, R.R. & Tan, K. (eds.) Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands (Suppl. 1), Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, Vol. 10, pp. 76–81.
- [2] Güner, A., Özhatay, N., Ekim, T. & Başer, K.H.C. (2000). Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. Vol. 11, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- [3] Güner, A., Aslan, S., Ekim, T., Vural, M. & Babaç, M.T. (eds.) (2012). Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler). Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanik Bahçesi ve Flora Araştırmaları Derneği yayını, İstanbul.
- [4] Yıldız, K. (2012). *Silene*. In: Guner A, Aslan S, Ekim T, Vural M & Babaç MT (eds.). Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler). İstanbul Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanik Bahçesi Yayınları, pp. 354–365.
- [5] Şen, H., Bağcı, Y. & Yılmaz Çıtak, B. (2014). The investigation of morphological, anatomical and ecological properties of endemic *Silene anatolica* and *Silene lycaonica*. Biological Diversity and Conservation, 7/1, 47–60.
- [6] Polat, T. & Bağcı, Y. (2015). *Silene capillipes* Boiss. & Heldr. (Caryophyllaceae)'in morfolojik, anatomik ve özellikleri. Selçuk Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Fen Dergisi, 41, 104–123.
- [7] Özçelik, H. & Muca, B. (2010). *Ankyropetalum* Fenzl (Caryophyllaceae) Cinsine Ait Türlerin Türkiye'deki Yayılışı ve Habitat Özellikleri. Biyoloji Bilimleri Araştırma Dergisi, 3 (2), 47–56.
- [8] Korkmaz, M. & Özçelik, H., İlhan, V. (2012). Habitat Properties of Some *Gypsophila* L. (Caryophyllaceae) Taxa of Turkey. Research Journal of Biology Sciences, 5 (2), 111–125.
- [9] Korkmaz, M. & Özçelik, H. (2013). Soil–plant relations in the annual *Gypsophila* (Caryopyhllaceae) taxa of Turkey. Turk J Bot, 37, 85–98. https://doi:10.3906/bot-1201-3.
- [10] Selvi, S., Güner, Ö. & Akçiçek, E. (2014). Türkiye'de yayılış gösteren *Agrostemma* L. (Caryophyllaceae) cinsi üzerinde mikromorfolojik, anatomik ve ekolojik araştırmalar. Biological Diversity and Conservation, 7/1, 61–67.
- [11] Scheffer, F. & Schachtschabel, P. (1989). Lehrbuch der Bodenkunde. Ferdinand Enke Verlag: Stuttgart., 12 Aufl., pp. 442,118.
- [12] Irmak, A. (1954). Aride ve Laboratuvarda Toprağın Araştırılması Metodları. İstanbul Üniversitesi Yayınları, İ. Ü. Yayın No: 599, O. F. Yayın No: 27, İstanbul.
- [13] Jackson, M.L. (1962). Soil Chemical Analysis. London, England: Constable and Company Ld.
- [14] Gülçur, F. (1974). Toprağın Fiziksel ve Kimyasal Analiz Metodları. İstanbul Üniversitesi Orman Fakültesi Yayınları, İ. Ü. Yayın No: 1970, O. F. Yayın No: 201, İstanbul: Kutulmuş Matbaası.
- [15] Eruz, E. (1979). Toprak tuzluluğu ve Bitkiler Üzerindeki Genel Etkileri. İstanbul Üniversitesi, Orman Fakültesi Dergisi, Seri B, 29(2), 112–120.
- [16] Altundağ, E. & Öztürk, M. (2011). Ethnomedicinal studies on the plant resources of east Anatolia Turkey. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 19, 756–777.
- [17] Ülgen, N. & Ateşalp, M. (1972). Toprakta Bitki Tarafından Alınabilir Fosfor Tayini. Köy İşleri Bakanlığı, Topraksu Genel Müdürlüğü, Toprak ve Gübre Araştırma Enstitüsü, Teknik Yayınlar Serisi, Sayı 21, Ankara.
- [18] Saatçi, F., Tuncay, H., Altınbaş, Ü. & Akıncı, M.Ç. (1983). Toprak ve Su Analiz Yöntemleri. Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Teksir No:18–II. Bornova.
- [19] Öztürk, M., Pirdal, M. & Özdemir, F. (1997). Bitki Ekolojisi Uygulamaları. Ege Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Yayınları, No: 157, Bornova, İzmir.
- [20] Tüzüner, A. (1990). Toprak ve Su Analizi Laboratuarı El Kitabı. Tarım Orman ve Köyişleri Bakanlığı, Köy Hizmetleri Genel Müdürlüğü, Ankara.
- [21] Çokuysal, B. & Erbaş, E. (2004). Bitkilerde Besin Maddeleri ve Toprak Tahlillerinin Değerlendirilmesi, Ege Üniversitesi, Tarımsal Uygulama ve Araştırma Merkezi, Çiftçi Broşürü: 55.
- [22] Güneş, A., Alpaslan, M. & İnal, A. (2000). Bitki Besleme ve Gübreleme. Ankara Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Ders Kitabı, Yayın No: 1514, pp. 467, 576.
- [23] Ağaoğlu, Y.S., Çelik, H., Çelik, M., Fidan, Y., Gülşen, Y., Günay, A., Halloran, N., Köksal, A.İ. & Yanmaz, R. (1997). Genel Bahçe Bitkileri. Ankara Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Eğitim, Araştırma ve Geliştirme Vakfı Yayınları no: 4
- [24] Güzel, N. (1989). Süs bitkilerinin gübrelenmesi. Çukurova Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Ders kitabı, Adana: Çukurova Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Yayınları No:113.

- [25] Gallet, A., Flish, R., Ryser, J., Nosberger, J., Frossard, E. & Sinaj, S. (2003). Uptake of Residual Phosphate and Freshly Diammonium Phosphate by *Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens*. J. Plant Nutr. Sci., 166, 557–567. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200321075
- [26] Tetik, A. & Oğuz, İ. (2004). Gübre uygulamalarında toprak analizlerinin ve Türkiye yöresi topraklarının bı fiziksel ve kimyasal özellikleri ile besin elementleri ihtiyaçları. Türkiye III. Ulusal Gübre Kongresi, Tokat.
- [27] Fohse, D., Claassen, N. & Jungk, A. (1991). Phosphorus Efficiency of Plants. Plant and Soil, 132, 261–272.
- [28] Sanchez, E., Etchevers, J.D., Ortic, C.J., Nunez, E.R., Martinez, G.A., Castellanos, J.Z. (2001). Phosphorus Nutrition of Potato and Maize Seedlings. Terra, Mexico, 19, 55–65.
- [29] Zhu, Y., Smith, F.A. & Smith, S.E. (2003). Phosphorus Efficiencies and Responses of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) to Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Grown in Highly Calcareous Soil. Mycorrhiza, 13, 93–100.
- [30] https://www.drt.com.tr/Blog/Bitkilerde-Fosfor-Kullanimi/11 (13.12.2019).
- [31] Aktaş, M. & Ateş, A. (1998). Bitkilerde Beslenme Bozuklukları Nedenleri Tanınmaları. Ankara–Türkiye: Nurol Matbaacılık A.Ş.
- [32] Aktaş, K., Özdemir C, Altan, Y., Baran, P. & Özkan, M. (2010). Türkiye'de yayılış gösteren Petrorhagia (Ser.)

Link (Caryophyllaceae) Taksonlarının Bazı Ekolojik Özellikleri. Tübav Bilim Dergisi, 3(1), 79–93. The names of the *Silene* species (taxa) belonging to the line numbers in the figures 1-4. 1. 537–*Silene italica* subsp. *italica*, 2. 572A– *S. italica* subsp. *italica*, 3. 572B–*S. italica* subsp. *italica*, 4. 610–*S. italica* subsp. *italica*, 5. 617–*S. italica* subsp. *italica*, 6. 524–*S. splendens*, 7. 541–*S. gigantea* subsp. *gigantea*, 8. 554–*S. gigantea* subsp. *gigantea*, 9. 573–*S. gigantea* subsp. *gigantea*, 10. 608–*S. longipetala*, 11. 615–*S. longipetala*, 12. 603–*S. phyrigia*, 13. 567–*S. capitellata*, 14. 598–*S. isaurica*, 15. 596–*S. caramanica* var. *caramanica*, 16. 606–*S. caramanica* var. *caramanica*, 17. 615–*S. caramanica* var. *caramanica*, 18. 570–*S. idaea*, 19. 616–*S. armena* var. *armena*, 20. 553–*S. armena* var. *serrulata*, 21. 606–*S. laxa*, 22. 601–*S. caeseria*, 23. 571–*S. chlorifolia*, 24. 603–*S. chlorifolia*, 25. 612–*S. chlorifolia*, 26. 554–*S. swertiifolia*, 27. 529–*S. lycaonica*, 28. 568A–*S. lycaonica*, 29. 568B–*S. lycaonica*, 30. 569–*S. lycaonica*, 31. 599–*S. lycaonica*, 32. 513–*S. frivadzkyana*, 33. 508A–*S. skorpilii*, 34. 615–*S. otites*, 35. 170–*S. densiflora*, 36. 508B–*S. densiflora*.




Figure 1. Water saturation (ml) and salt (μ S/cm) graph.




Figure 2. pH, lime (%) and organic matter (%) graph.




Figure 3. Phosphorus (P) (ppm) graph.




Figure 4. Potassium (K), calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) (ppm) graph.