

PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Some subring properties of the ring of holomorphic functions on a non - empty subset of an open Riemann surface

AUTHORS: N ISPIR

PAGES: 0-0

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1639041>

SOME SUBRING PROPERTIES OF THE RING OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON A NON-EMPTY SUBSET OF AN OPEN RIEMANN SURFACE

NURHAYAT İSPİR İ. KAYA ÖZKIN

Ankara University, Faculty of Sciences, Mathematics Department, Tandoğan, 06100 Ankara,

Throughout this paper R and S will denote open Riemann surfaces and X, Y will be non-empty subsets of R and S , respectively. A function $\varphi: X \rightarrow S$ is said to be analytic if for each point $p \in X$ there is an open neighborhood U_p of p and an analytic function $\psi_p: U_p \rightarrow S$ such that ψ_p and φ coincide on $U_p \cap X$. This is equivalent to assuming that there is a single open set $U \supset X$ and an analytic function $\varphi: U \rightarrow S$ such that $\varphi|_X = \varphi$. Let $A(X, Y)$ denote the set of all analytic functions $\varphi: X \rightarrow S$ with $\varphi(X) \subset Y$. For $Y = S = \mathbf{C}$, a function in $A(X, \mathbf{C})$ is called holomorphic and we write $H(X) = A(X, \mathbf{C})$.

It is well known that $H(X)$ forms a ring under pointwise addition and multiplication. In fact, $H(X)$ is an algebra over both the complex numbers \mathbf{C} and the real numbers \mathbf{R} .

This paper is concerned with proper subrings R^* of $H(X)$ which are isomorphic images of $H(Y)$, the ring of all analytic functions on a non-empty subset Y of an open Riemann surface S . Φ will denote a homomorphism from $H(Y)$ into $H(X)$ which maps each constant function onto itself; i.e., a \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism. φ denotes an analytic mapping from X into $Y \subset S$; i.e., $\varphi \in A(X, Y)$, and R_φ the subring of $H(X)$ which is composed of the functions $g \circ \varphi$ for $g \in H(Y)$. It has been shown that if Φ is a homomorphism of $H(Y)$ into $H(X)$ and Φ maps each constant function onto itself, then there is a unique analytic mapping $\varphi \in A(X, Y)$ such that $\Phi(g) = g \circ \varphi$, $g \in H(Y)$ [4]. Thus $\Phi(H(Y))$, the image of $H(Y)$ under Φ , is the subring R_φ for some analytic mapping $\varphi \in A(X, Y)$. Now we give some basic definitions and properties of R_φ .

A two-dimensional manifold is defined as a connected Hausdorff space M with the property that each point of M is contained in an open set homeomorphic to an open set in the Euclidean plane. The two-

dimensional manifold M is an analytic manifold or abstract Riemann surface if there is a collection $\{(U_i, \theta_i): i \in I\}$ where for the index set I , $\{U_i: i \in I\}$ is an open covering of M and θ_i is a homeomorphism of U_i onto an open set in the complex plane. Also, if $U_i \cap U_j$ is non-empty, then $\theta_j \circ \theta_i^{-1}$ is a conformal sense-preserving mapping of $\theta_i(U_i \cap U_j)$ onto $\theta_j(U_i \cap U_j)$, that is $w = \theta_j \circ \theta_i^{-1}(z) = f(z)$ is an analytic function of z in $\theta_i(U_i \cap U_j)$. We say $\{(U_i, \theta_i): i \in I\}$ defines an analytic structure on the manifold M , and another collection $\{(V_j, \psi_j): j \in J\}$ defines the same analytic structure if the union of the two sets satisfies the conditions for an analytic structure on M . We say the Riemann surface is open if it is not compact.

If M is a Riemann surface, (U, θ) belongs to $\{(U_i, \theta_i): i \in I\}$ on M , p_0 belongs to U , then $z = \theta(p)$ is a local parameter about p_0 in U and there is another local parameter $w = \psi(p)$ about p_0 with $\psi(p_0) = 0$ and $|w| \leq 1$. We define $w = (z - z_0)/r$ where $\theta(p_0) = z_0$ and $\{z: |z - z_0| \leq r\}$ is contained in $\theta(U)$. The structure of M is not changed. A complex-valued function f on M is called analytic or holomorphic at the point p_0 if in terms of the local parameter $z = \theta(p)$, $\theta(p_0) = 0$, the function $f(\theta^{-1}(z))$ is an analytic function of z for $|z| < r$ for some $r > 0$. f is holomorphic on M if f is holomorphic at each point of M . If f is a mapping of the Riemann surface M_1 into the Riemann surface M_2 , $p_0 \in M_1$, $f(p_0) = q_0$, $z = \theta(p)$ is a local parameter about p_0 , $w = \psi(q)$ is a local parameter about q_0 , we say f is analytic on M_1 if the function $w = (f(\theta^{-1}(z))) = g(z)$ is an analytic function of z for all $p_0 \in M_1$. The two surfaces M_1 and M_2 are conformally equivalent if there is a one to-one analytic mapping of M_1 onto M_2 [1], [5].

Suppose X and Y are non-empty subsets of open Riemann surfaces R and S respectively. We define a mapping of $H(Y)$ into $H(X)$ by $\Phi(g) = g \circ \varnothing$ for $g \in H(Y)$. $g \circ \varnothing$ is holomorphic on X and Φ is a homomorphism. The image of Φ , $R_\varnothing = \Phi(H(Y))$ is a subring of $H(X)$. If λ is a constant function on Y then $\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda \circ \varnothing = \lambda$ so Φ preserves constant functions. C.D. Minda proved that if R and S are open Riemann surfaces and X, Y non-empty subsets of R, S respectively, and if $\Phi: H(Y) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism, then there is a unique analytic function \varnothing of X into Y such that $\Phi(g) = g \circ \varnothing$ for $g \in H(Y)$ [4]. Also if Φ is an isomorphism of $H(Y)$ into $H(X)$, then \varnothing is a one-to-one mapping of X into Y . Thus a subring R^* of $H(X)$ is a homomorphic image of a ring $H(Y)$ under a \mathbf{C} -algebra homomorphism if and only if $R^* = R_\varnothing = \{g_1 \circ \varnothing: g \in H(Y), \varnothing \in A(X, Y)\}$. R_\varnothing contains the

constant functions, denoted by C , since $C \subset H(Y)$ and $\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda$ for $\lambda \in C$.

A relation between Φ , \varnothing , and R_\varnothing is given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let R and S be open Riemann surfaces and X , Y non-empty subsets of R , S respectively. If $\Phi: H(Y) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a ring homomorphism defined by $\Phi(g) = g \circ \varnothing$ for $g \in H(Y)$, $\varnothing \in A(X, Y)$ and if $R_\varnothing = \Phi(H(Y))$, then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (a) R_\varnothing properly contains the constant functions,
- (b) \varnothing is not a constant function,
- (c) $H(Y)$ is isomorphic to R_\varnothing .

Proof. Suppose R_\varnothing properly contains C . We shall show that \varnothing is not a constant function. On the contrary, if we suppose that $\varnothing(X) = \{c\}$, then $\Phi(g) = g \circ \varnothing = g(c)$ for $g \in H(Y)$ which implies $R_\varnothing = \Phi(H(Y)) = C$. Because of this contradiction \varnothing is not a constant function.

Now we shall show that (b) implies (c). Suppose that \varnothing is not a constant function and $\varnothing(X)$ is a non-empty subset of Y . Let f and g be any two holomorphic functions on $\varnothing(X)$ belonging to $H(Y)$. Then there is an open set $U \supset \varnothing(X)$ and functions F, G holomorphic on U such that $f = F|_{\varnothing(X)}$, $g = G|_{\varnothing(X)}$, respectively. Since $f - g$ is holomorphic on $\varnothing(X)$, it is clear that $\Phi(f) - \Phi(g) = \Phi(f - g) = (f - g) \circ \varnothing$. Thus if $\Phi(f) - \Phi(g) = 0$, then $f - g = 0$ or equivalently $f = g$. This shows that Φ is an isomorphism.

Finally we shall show that (c) implies (a). If Φ is an isomorphism, then $R_\varnothing \neq C$ because $H(Y)$ contains a non-constant function g [3] and if $\Phi(g) = \lambda$, a constant function, then the set $\Phi^{-1}(\lambda)$ would contain λ and g and Φ would not be one-to-one. Thus R_\varnothing properly contains the constant function.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 1. A subring R^* of $H(X)$ is isomorphic to $H(Y)$ under a \mathbf{C} -algebra isomorphism if and only if $R^* = \{g \circ \varnothing : g \in H(Y), \varnothing \in A(X, Y)\}$ and R^* properly contains C the constant functions on X .

In the following theorems we shall investigate some of the relations between R_\varnothing and \varnothing .

THEOREM 2. If \varnothing is a one-to-one analytic mapping of X on to Y and Φ maps $H(Y)$ into $H(X)$ by $\Phi(g) = g \circ \varnothing$, $g \in H(Y)$, then $\Phi(H(Y)) = H(X)$.

Proof. If \varnothing is a one-to-one analytic mapping of X onto Y , then \varnothing^{-1} is a one-to-one function from Y onto X . If $q_0 \in Y$, then $p_0 = \varnothing^{-1}(q_0) \in X$. By considering the definition of a Riemann surface and analyticity of a function between the non-empty subsets of two open Riemann surfaces we let $z = \theta(p)$, $w = \psi(g)$ be local parameters about p_0 and q_0 such that $\theta(p_0) = 0$, $\psi(q_0) = 0$. Then $\psi \circ \varnothing \circ \theta^{-1}(z)$ is analytic and one-to-one on $\{z : |z| < r_1\}$ for some $r_1 > 0$ and $\theta \circ \varnothing^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}$ is analytic and one-to-one on $\{w : |w| < r_2\}$ for some $r_2 > 0$ since the inverse of a one-to-one analytic function is analytic. Thus \varnothing^{-1} is a one-to-one analytic mapping of Y onto X . If $f \in H(X)$, then $f \circ \varnothing^{-1} \in H(Y)$ which implies $(f \circ \varnothing^{-1}) \circ \varnothing = f \in \Phi(H(Y))$. This gives us $\Phi(H(Y)) = H(X)$.

If $R_\varnothing = \Phi(H(Y))$ is to be a proper subring of $H(X)$, then \varnothing may be one-to-one or onto or neither, but not both.

THEOREM 3. Suppose \varnothing is a one-to-one analytic mapping of X into Y , λ is a non-constant analytic mapping of X into Y but not one-to-one, $\Phi(g) = g \circ \varnothing$ and $\Lambda(g) = g \circ \lambda$ for $g \in H(Y)$, $R_\varnothing = \Phi(H(Y))$, $R_\lambda = \Lambda(H(Y))$. Then R_\varnothing and R_λ are isomorphic but $R_\varnothing \neq R_\lambda$.

Proof. Φ and Λ are isomorphisms from $H(Y)$ onto R_\varnothing and R_λ , respectively, so $\Lambda \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is an isomorphism from R_\varnothing onto R_λ . Suppose $R_\varnothing = R_\lambda$. Let $g \in H(Y)$. Then there is $h \in H(Y)$ such that $g \circ \varnothing(z) = h \circ \lambda(z)$, $z \in X$. \varnothing is one-to-one and λ is not one-to-one implies there are z_1 and z_2 in X such that $z_1 \neq z_2$, $\lambda(z_1) = \lambda(z_2)$ and $\varnothing(z_1) \neq \varnothing(z_2)$. $H(Y)$ separates the points of Y [2] implies there is $g \in H(Y)$ such that $g(\varnothing(z_1)) \neq g(\varnothing(z_2))$. But $g \circ \varnothing(z_1) = h \circ \lambda(z_1) = h \circ \lambda(z_2) = g \circ \varnothing(z_2)$. Since we reach a contradiction, $R_\varnothing \neq R_\lambda$.

REFERENCES

1. AHLFORS L. V. and SARIO L. *Riemann surfaces*, Princeton Math. Series no. 26 Princeton University Press Princeton, Fifth Printing, 1974.
2. BEHNKE, H., and SCHEJA, G., *Studies in mathematical analysis and related topics*, Stanford University Press 1962.
3. BEHNKE, H., and SOMMER, F., *Theorie der analytischen Funktionen einer komplexen Veränderlichen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
4. MINDA C.D. *Rings of holomorphic and meromorphic functions on subsets of Riemann surfaces* Journal of the Indian Math. Soc. 40 (1976) 75-85.
5. SPRINGER, G., *Introduction to Riemann Surfaces*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1967.