

PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: On the spectrum of C , as an operator on bv

AUTHORS: J T OKUTOYI

PAGES: 0-0

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1640360>

ON THE SPECTRUM OF C_1 AS AN OPERATOR ON bv

J.T. OKUTOYI

Moi University, Mathematics Department, P.O. Box 3900 Eldoret, Kenya.

(Received Nov. 6, 1991; Accepted July 14, 1992)

ABSTRACT

In 1985 John Reade determined the spectrum of C_1 , the Cesàro Operator which is represented by the matrix:

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \dots \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & 0 \dots \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

regarded as an operator on the space c_0 of all null sequences normed by $\|x\| = \sup_{n \geq 0} |x_n|$.

It is the purpose of this paper to determine the spectrum of C_1 regarded as an operator on the space bv of all sequences x such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ exists and $\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_{n+k} - x_n|$ $< \infty$. We do so by proving that $(C_1 - \lambda I)^{-1} \in B(bv)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| > \frac{1}{2}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1986 we determined the spectrum of the Cesàro Operator C_1 regarded as an operator on the space bv_0 , the space of all sequences x such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ and $\|x\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| < \infty$. Using methods similar to those of John Reade in [6] we determine the spectrum of C_1 as an operator on bv .

1.1. Definition: (F, FK and BK spaces)

A Fréchet space F is a complete linear space. An FK-space is a Fréchet space with continuous coordinates. A normed FK-space is called a BK-space.

1.2. Theorem: bv is a BK-space with Schauder basis $(\delta, \delta^0, \delta^1, \dots)$, where $\delta = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and $\delta^k = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$.

Proof: bv is a BK-space by [10] page 110.

It is clear that $\lim \in bv^*$, where bv^* denotes the continuous dual of bv .

$$|\lim(x)| = \lim |x| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| = \|x\|_{bv}$$

and so $\|\lim\| \leq 1$. Now

$$x = l\delta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_n - l)\delta^n$$

where $x \in bv$ and $l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ and if also $x = b\delta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \delta^n$,

then by the continuity of \lim we have

$$\lim x = b \lim \delta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \lim \delta^n = b, \text{ therefore } b = l.$$

We also need to show that $b_n = x_n - l$ for all $n \geq 0$. So consider $P_N: bv \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then $P_N \in bv^*$

since $|P_N(x)| = |x_N|$ and $\|x\|_{bv} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n|$,

$$\|x\|_{bv} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |x_{k+1} - x_k|, \text{ therefore}$$

$$\|x\|_{bv} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=N}^m |x_{n+1} - x_n| \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |x_{m+1} - x_N|$$

$$\text{that is, } \|x\|_{bv} \geq |l| + |l - x_N| \geq |x_N|.$$

Hence we see that $|P_N(x)| \leq \|x\|_{bv} \Rightarrow P_N \in bv^*$. So

$$\begin{aligned} P_N(x) &= P_N \left(l\delta + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x_k - l)\delta^k \right) = l P_N(\delta) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_n - l) P_N(\delta^n) \\ &= l + (x_N - l) = x_N. \end{aligned}$$

But also $P_N(x) = P_N(b\delta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \delta^n) = b + b_N$ therefore

$x_N = b_N + b = b_N + l \Rightarrow b_n = x_n - l$. We therefore conclude that $(\delta, \delta^0, \delta^1, \dots)$ is a Schauder basis for bv .

1.3. Theorem: Let $T \in B(X)$, where X is any Banach space, $T \in B(X)$ denotes a bounded operator on X , then the spectrum of T^* is identical with the spectrum of T .

Furthermore, $R_\lambda(T^*) = (R_\lambda(T))^*$ for $\lambda \in \rho(T) = \rho(T^*)$, where $R_\lambda(T) = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ and $\rho(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (T - \lambda I)^{-1} \text{ exists}\}$ and T^* denotes the adjoint operator of T .

Proof: The proof of this is given in [1] page 568 and [2] page 71.

1.4. Lemma: Let $Z_n = \prod_{v=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda(v+1)}\right)$, $\lambda \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then the partial sums of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n$ are bounded iff

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \geq 1, \quad \lambda \neq 1$$

Proof: Let C be a constant depending only on λ which may be different at each occurrence, A a non-zero constant and O denotes capital order. We have that:

$$\log_e(1-u) = -u + O(u^2)$$

Uniformly in $|u| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Now given $\lambda \neq 0$

there is a v_0 such that $|\lambda|(v+1) > z$ for $v \geq v_0$ hence for $n \geq v_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \log_e Z_n &= \sum_{v=0}^n \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda(v+1)}\right) \\ &= C - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{v=v_0}^n \frac{1}{v+1} + \sum_{v=v_0}^n t_v \end{aligned}$$

where $t_v = O\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\right)$.

$$\text{Now } \sum_{v=v_0}^n t_v = \sum_{v=v_0}^{\infty} t_v - \sum_{v=n+1}^{\infty} t_v = C + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Also $\sum_{v=v_0}^n \frac{1}{v+1} = C + \log n + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$. If $C_n = \sum_{v=0}^n \frac{1}{v+1} - \log n$, then

$$C_{n+1} - C_n = \frac{1}{2+n} - \log\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

$$\text{Therefore } C_{n+1} = C + \sum_{v=0}^n (C_{v+1} - C_v) = C + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$\text{Hence as } n \rightarrow \infty \log Z_n = C - \frac{1}{\lambda} \log n + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So that } Z_n &= A n^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \\ &= A n^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} + O\left(n^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) - 1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

If $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \geq 1$, $\lambda \neq 1$, the partial sums of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \text{ are bounded and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) - 1} < \infty$$

so that the partial sums of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n$ are bounded.

If $0 < \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) < 1$ or $\lambda = 1$ then the partial sums of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) - 1} < \infty \text{ are unbounded but still we have}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) - 1} < \infty.$$

If $\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \leq 0$, then $\sum_{n=1}^N n^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \asymp N^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} / \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)$

where $a_n \asymp b_n$ means that there exist

$m, M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $mb_n \leq a_n \leq Mb_n$

$$\text{Now } \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right)} - 1 = \begin{cases} O \left(N^{-\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right)} \right), & \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) < 0 \\ O(\log N), & \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence we see that the partial sums of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \text{ are unbounded although}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right)} - 1 < \infty \text{ hence we conclude}$$

that the partial sums of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n$ are bounded iff $\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \geq 1$.

2. Determination of the Spectrum of c_1 on bv

2.1. Lemma: Let $C_1: bv \rightarrow bv$, then

$C_1^*: bv^* \rightarrow bv^*$ and $\|C_1\|_{(bv, bv)} = \|C_1\|_{(bv_0, bv_0)} = \|C_1^*\|_{(bv^*, bv^*)} = 1$ so that C_1^* is bounded, where

$$\|C_1\|_{(bv, bv)} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{jk} - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{j-1, k} \right|, \quad a_{jk} = C_{jk}.$$

Proof: Let $T: bv \rightarrow bv$ be given by the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ then we show that $T^*: bv^* \rightarrow bv^*$ is given by the matrix:

$$T^* = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\chi} v_0 - \bar{\chi} & v_1 - \bar{\chi} & v_2 - \bar{\chi} & \dots \\ a_0 & a_{00} - a_0 & a_{10} - a_0 & a_{20} - a_0 \dots \\ a_1 & a_{01} - a_1 & a_{11} - a_1 & a_{21} - a_1 \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

We then choose $A = (a_{nk})$ to be C_1 and conclude the lemma.

It is clear that bv^* is equivalent to $\mathbb{C} \oplus bs$ via the map $h(f) = (\bar{\chi}, t_0, t_1)$ where \oplus denotes the direct sum and bs denotes the space of sequences

$$x \text{ such that } \sup_{n \geq 0} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k \right| < \infty$$

Define $W = hoT^* oh^{-1}: \mathbb{C} \oplus bs \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus bs$, that is,

$$W: \mathbb{C} \oplus bs \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus bs, h: bv^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus bs$$

is an isometry, where

$$\|(l, x)\|_{\mathbb{C} \oplus bs} = \max\left(\|l\|, \sup_{n \geq 0} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k \right| \right) \text{ and}$$

$$h(\lim) = (\lim \delta, \lim \delta^1, \lim \delta^2, \dots) = (1, \theta) \quad (2.1)$$

where $\lim \in bv^*$, i.e. \lim is a functional and

θ is the zero sequence. Thus the zero column of W is:

$$\begin{aligned} W(1, \theta) &= hoT^* oh^{-1}(1, \theta) \\ &= hoT^* oh^{-1}h(\lim) \\ &= hoT^* o\lim = (\lim o T) = \\ &= (\lim o T)(\delta), (\lim o T)(\delta^2), \dots \\ &= (\bar{\chi}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \bar{\chi} = (\lim o T)(\delta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_{nv} \text{ and}$$

$$a_n = (\lim o T)(\delta^n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{kn} \text{ by [9]}$$

$$\text{Also } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{nk} = 0 \text{ for each } k \geq 0$$

$$\text{since } a_{nk} = c_{nk} = \frac{1}{1+n} \text{ and } v_k = (P_k o T)(\delta) = 1 \text{ for } T \text{ represented by}$$

$$a_{nk} = \frac{1}{1+n} \text{ hence } C^*_1 \text{ has the representation as the infinite matrix:}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

acting on $\mathcal{C} \oplus bs \simeq bv^*$ ($\mathcal{C} \oplus bs$ is isomorphic to bv^*) which is bounded since

$$\|C_1\|_{(bv^*, bv)} = \|C_1\|_{(bv^*, bv^*)} = 1 \text{ by [5].}$$

2.2. Theorem: Let $C_1: s \rightarrow s$, where s is the space of all sequences,

$$\text{then } \lambda = \frac{1}{1+m},$$

$m \geq 0$ are the only eigenvalues of C_1

where $x^{(m)} = (x_n^{(m)})_{n=1}^\infty$ the eigenvectors

corresponding to λ are given by:

$$x_n^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{m}, & n \geq m \\ 0, & 1 \leq n < m \end{cases}$$

Note that when $m = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and the eigenvector corresponding to this eigenvalue is:

$$x^{(m)} = x^{(0)} = (x_n^{(0)})_{n=0}^\infty = (1, 1, 1, \dots) = \delta$$

When $m \geq 1$ none of the eigenvectors corresponding to

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{1+m} \text{ is bounded.}$$

Proof: See [4]

3.2. Corollary: $C_1 \in B(c)$, where c is the space of all convergent sequences has only one eigenvalue, namely $\lambda = 1$ corresponding to the eigenvector $x^{(0)} = \delta$.

Proof: The proof follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 since

$$C_1: s \rightarrow s \text{ has countably many eigenvalues } \lambda = \frac{1}{1+m}, m \geq 0 \text{ cor-}$$

responding to $x^{(m)}$; $\lambda = \frac{1}{1+m}$, $m \geq 1$ gives rise to unbounded sequences which cannot be in $c \subset s$. Hence $\lambda = 1$ is the only eigenvalue of $C_1 \in B(c)$.

2.4. Corollary: The only eigenvalue of $C_1 \in B(bv)$ is $\lambda = 1$.

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 since $bv \subset c$ and both bv and c are BK-spaces with $(\delta, \delta^2, \delta^1, \delta^2, \dots)$ as Schauder basis.

2.5. Theorem: The eigenvalues of

$C^*_{1 \in B(bv^*)} = B(\mathbb{C} \oplus bs)$ are all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$|\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof: Suppose $C^*_1 x = \lambda x$, $x \in \mathbb{C} \oplus bs$, $x \neq 0$, then solving the system of equations:

$$x_0 = \lambda x_0$$

$$x_1 + \frac{1}{2} x_2 + \frac{1}{3} x_3 + \dots = \lambda x_1$$

$$\frac{1}{2} x_2 + \frac{1}{3} x_3 + \dots = \lambda x_2$$

We obtain:

$$x_0 = 0 \text{ or } \lambda = 1$$

$$x_2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) x_1$$

$$x_3 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}\right) x_1$$

...

$$x_N = \prod_{n=2}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n-1)\lambda}\right) x_1$$

$$x_{N+1} = \left[\prod_{n=2}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n-1)\lambda}\right) \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{N\lambda}\right) x_1$$

therefore $x_N / x_{N+1} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{N\lambda}} = 1 + \frac{1}{N\lambda - 1}$.

By Lemma 1.4., $(x_N)_{N=1}^{\infty} \in bs$ iff $\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \lambda \neq 1$

i.e. $|\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus the eigenvalues of

$C_1^* \in B(bs)$ are all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

2.6. Corollary: Let $C_1: bv \rightarrow bv$, then the spectrum of C_1 is given by

$$\sigma(C_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: |\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$$

Proof: By virtue of Theorem 2.5 and the fact that $\sigma(C_1) = \sigma(C_1^*)$ (see Theorem 1.3), it is enough to prove that $(C_1 - \lambda I)^{-1} \in B(bv)$ for all λ such that $|\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| > \frac{1}{2}$. Solving the equation $(C_1 - \lambda I)x = y$ for x in terms of y we obtain:

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{1-\lambda} y_0$$

$$x_1 = -\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)(1-2\lambda)} y_0 = \frac{2}{1-2\lambda} y_1$$

$$x_2 = \frac{2\lambda}{(1-\lambda)(1-2\lambda)(1-3\lambda)} y_0 - \frac{2\lambda}{(1-2\lambda)(1-3\lambda)} + \frac{3}{1-3\lambda} y_2$$

...

$$\text{therefore } (C_1 - \lambda I)^{-1} = B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1-\lambda} & 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \\ -\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)(1-2\lambda)} & \frac{2}{1-2\lambda} & 0 & 0 \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

that is, $B = (b_{nk})$, where

$$b_{nk} = \begin{cases} -1/(1+n)\lambda^2 \prod_{v=k}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{(v+1)\lambda}\right), & 0 \leq k < n \\ \frac{1+n}{1-(1+n)\lambda}, & n = k \end{cases}$$

Now $\|B\|_{(bv_0, bv_0)} = \|B\|_{(bv, bv)} < \infty$, $B = (b_{nk}) \in (bv, bv)$

by M. Stieglitz and H. Tietz [7]. Also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{nk} = - \frac{1}{(1+n)\lambda^2 \prod_{v=k}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+v)\lambda}\right)} = 0 \text{ by}$$

Reade [6] Lemma 7.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} \text{ exists since each row}$$

of (b_{nk}) is finite and $\sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$ hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n b_{nk} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, \lambda \neq 1, \text{ therefore } B \in B(bv).$$

2.7. Remark: $C_1: l_p \rightarrow l_p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), where l_p is the space of all sequences x such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p < \infty \text{ normed by } \|x\| = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

has no eigenvalues and the spectrum of C_1 acting on l_p is given by:

$$\sigma(C_1) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \left| \lambda - \frac{q}{2} \right| \leq \frac{q}{2} \right\}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof: Since $l_p \subset c_0$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and

$C_1: c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ has no eigenvalues by [6]

$C_1: l_p \rightarrow l_p$ has no eigenvalues either.

$$\sigma(C_1) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \left| \lambda - \frac{q}{2} \right| \leq \frac{q}{2} \right\} \text{ follows from Leibowitz [4].}$$

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Prof. A. Kuttner and Dr. B. Thorpe both of at the University of Birmingham (U.K.), Department of Mathematics for their help.

REFERENCES

- [1] DUNFORD, N., SCHWARTZ, J.T., "Linear Operators Part I, General Theory". John Wiley and Sons (1967), page 568.
- [2] GOLDBERG, S., "Unbounded Linear Operators—Theory and Applications" McGraw Hill Book Co. (1966), page 71.
- [3] KREYSZING, E., "Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications" John Wiley and Sons (1980).
- [4] LEIBOWITZ, G., "The Cesaro Operators and their Generalizations: Examples in Infinite Dimensional Linear Analysis" American Math. Monthly 80 (1973), 654–661.
- [5] JAKIMOVSKI, A., RUSSELL, D.C., "Matrix Mappings between BK-spaces" Bull. London Math. Soc. 4 (1972), 345–353.
- [6] READE, J.B., "On the Spectrum of the Cesaro Operator" Bull. London Math. Soc. 17 (1985), 263–267.
- [7] STIEGLITZ, M., TIETZ, H.: "Matrix Transformationen von Folgenraumen eine Ergebnisübersicht", Math. Zeit. 154 (1977), 1–16.
- [8] WILANSKY, A., "Functional Analysis", Blaisdell Publishing Co. (1964), 226–230.
- [9] WILANSKY, A., "Subalgebras of $B(X)$ ", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19(2), (1971), 355–360.
- [10] WILANSKY, A., "Summability Through Functional Analysis", North-Holland (1984), pages 109–110.