

## PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: A subset of the space of the entire sequences

AUTHORS: K C RAO

PAGES: 0-0

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1644999>

## A SUBSET OF THE SPACE OF THE ENTIRE SEQUENCES

K.C. RAO<sup>1</sup>, N. SUBRAMANIAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Mathematics, Mohamed Sathak Engineering College, Kilakarai, India.

<sup>2</sup>Dept. of Mathematics, Shanmugha Arts, Science, Techn. and Research Acad., Tanjore, India.

(Received June 27, 2001; Accepted Nov. 08, 2001)

### ABSTRACT

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the space of all entire sequences. Let  $\wedge$  denote the space of all analytic sequences. This paper is devoted to a study of the general properties of Sectional space  $\Gamma_s$  of  $\Gamma$ .

### KEYWORDS

Sectional sequence spaces, entire sequences, analytic sequences.

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION : 46A45.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A complex sequence whose  $k^{\text{th}}$  term is  $x_k$  will be denoted by  $(x_k)$  or  $x$ . A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be analytic if  $\sup_{(k)} |x_k|^{1/k} < \infty$ . The vector space of all analytic sequences will be denoted by  $\wedge$ . A sequence  $x$  is called entire sequence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{1/k} = 0$ . The vector space of all entire sequences will be denoted by  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\Gamma_s = \{x = (x_k) : \xi = (\xi_k) \in \Gamma\}$ .

where  $\xi_k = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

and  $\wedge_s = \{y = (y_k) : \eta = (\eta_k) \in \wedge\}$ .

where  $\eta_k = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

Then  $\Gamma_s$  and  $\wedge_s$  are metric spaces with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{(k)} \left\{ |\xi_k - \eta_k|^{1/k} : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}.$$

Let  $\sigma(\Gamma)$  denote the vector space of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$  such that

$\{\xi_k/k\}$  is an entire sequence. We recall that  $cs_0$  denotes the vector space of all sequences  $x = (x_k)$  such that

$\{\xi_k\}$  is a null sequence. Let  $\Phi = \{\text{all finite sequences}\}$ .

$\delta^{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , 1 in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  place and zero's elsewhere. An FK-space

$X$  is said to have AK-property if  $(\delta^{(n)})$  is a Schauder basis for  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a sequence space, we define the  $\beta$ -dual  $X^\beta$  of  $X$  by

$$X^\beta = \left\{ a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \text{ is convergent, for every } x \in X \right\}.$$

**Remark :**

$$\begin{aligned} x = (x_k) \in \sigma(\Gamma) &\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k}{k} \right\} \in \Gamma. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k}{k} \right|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \\ &\Leftrightarrow |x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ because } k^{1/k} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x_k) \in \Gamma_s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Gamma_s = \sigma(\Gamma)$ , the Cesàro space of order 1.

In this paper we investigate

- (i) set-inclusion between  $\Gamma_s$  and  $\Gamma$ ,
- (ii) AK-property possessed by  $\Gamma_s$ ,
- (iii) Solidity of  $\Gamma_s$  as a linear space,
- (iv)  $\beta$ -dual of  $\Gamma_s$ ,
- (v) Relation between  $\Gamma_s$  and  $\Gamma \cap cs_0$ ,
- (vi) the Cesàro space of order  $\alpha > 0$  of the entire sequences.

**Proposition 1.**  $\Gamma_s \subset \Gamma$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $x \in \Gamma_s$ .

$$\Rightarrow \xi \in \Gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow |\xi_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

(1.1)

But  $x_k = \xi_k - \xi_{k-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hence } |x_k|^{1/k} &\leq |\xi_k|^{1/k} + |\xi_{k-1}|^{1/k} \\
&\leq |\xi_k|^{1/k} + |\xi_{k-1}|^{1/k-1} \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ by using (1.1)} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in \Gamma. \\
&\Rightarrow \Gamma_s \subset \Gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Note : The above inclusion is strict.

Take the sequence  $\delta^{(1)} \in \Gamma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &= 1 \\
\xi_2 &= 1 + 0 = 1 \\
\xi_3 &= 1 + 0 + 0 = 1 \\
&\vdots \\
&\vdots \\
\xi_k &= 1 + 0 + 0 + \dots = 1 \\
&\rightarrow k - \text{terms} \leftarrow
\end{aligned}$$

and so on.

Now  $|\xi_k|^{1/k} = 1$  for all  $k$ . Hence  $\{|\xi_k|^{1/k}\}$  does not tend to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . So

$\delta^{(1)} \notin \Gamma_s$ . Thus the inclusion  $\Gamma_s \subset \Gamma$  is strict. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.**  $\Gamma_s$  has AK-property.

**Proof.**

Let  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_s$  and take  $x^{[n]} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x, x^{[n]}) &= \sup_{(k)} \left\{ |\xi_k - \xi_k^{(n)}|^{1/k} \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ |\xi_{n+1} - \xi_n|^{1/(n+1)}, |\xi_{n+2} - \xi_n|^{1/(n+2)}, \dots \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $x^{[n]} \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\Gamma_s$ . Thus  $\Gamma_s$  has AK. This completes the proof.

**Corollary.** The set  $\{\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \dots\}$  is a Schauder basis for  $\Gamma_s$ .

**Proposition 3.**  $\Gamma_s$  is a linear space over field  $C$  of complex numbers.

**Proof.**

Let  $x = (x_k)$  and  $y = (y_k)$  belong to  $\Gamma_s$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in C$ . Then

$\xi = (\xi_k) \in \Gamma$  and  $\eta = (\eta_k) \in \Gamma$ . But  $\Gamma$  is a linear space. Hence  $\alpha\xi + \beta\eta \in \Gamma$ .

Consequently  $\alpha x + \beta y \in \Gamma_s$ . Therefore  $\Gamma_s$  is linear. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.**  $\Gamma_s$  is solid.

**Proof.**

Let  $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$  with  $y = (y_k) \in \Gamma_s$ . So,  $|\xi_k| \leq |\eta_k|$  with  $\eta = (\eta_k) \in \Gamma$ . But  $\Gamma$  is solid. Hence  $\xi = (\xi_k) \in \Gamma$ . Therefore  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_s$ . Hence  $\Gamma_s$  is solid. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 5.** In  $\Gamma_s$  weak convergence does not imply strong convergence.

**Proof.**

Assume that weak convergence implies strong convergence in  $\Gamma_s$ . Then we would have  $(\Gamma_s)^{\beta\beta} = \Gamma_s$ . (see [8]) But

$$(\Gamma_s)^{\beta\beta} = \wedge^\beta = \Gamma.$$

By Proposition 1,  $\Gamma_s$  is a proper subspace of  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $(\Gamma_s)^{\beta\beta} \neq \Gamma_s$ . Hence weak convergence does not imply strong convergence in  $\Gamma_s$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 6.**  $\wedge \subset (\Gamma_s)^\beta \subset \wedge(\Delta)$ .

**Proof.**

Step 1.

By Proposition 1., we have

$$\Gamma_s \subset \Gamma.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma^\beta \subset (\Gamma_s)^\beta$$

But

$$\Gamma^\beta = \wedge.$$

Therefore

$$\wedge \subset (\Gamma_s)^\beta. \quad (6.1)$$

Step 2.

Let  $y = (y_k) \in (\Gamma_s)^\beta$ . Consider

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k.$$

where  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_s$ . Take

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \delta^n - \delta^{n+1} \\ &= (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, -1, 0, 0, \dots) \\ &\quad n^{\text{th}} \quad (n+1)^{\text{th}} \text{ place} \end{aligned}$$

where, for each fixed  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$\delta^{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ , 1 in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  place and zero's elsewhere. Then

$$f(\delta^n - \delta^{n+1}) = y_n - y_{n+1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n - y_{n+1}| &= |f(\delta^n - \delta^{n+1})| \\ &\leq \|f\| d(\delta^n - \delta^{n+1}, 0) \\ &\leq \|f\|.1 \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\{y_n - y_{n+1}\}$  is bounded.

Consequently  $\{y_n - y_{n+1}\} \in \wedge$ . That is  $\{y_n\} \in \wedge(\Delta)$ . But  $y = (y_n)$  is originally in  $(\Gamma_s)^\beta$ . Therefore

$$(\Gamma_s)^\beta \subset \wedge(\Delta). \quad (6.2)$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) we conclude that

$$\wedge \subset (\Gamma_s)^\beta \subset \wedge(\Delta).$$

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 7.** The  $\beta$ -dual space of  $\Gamma_s$  is  $\wedge$ .

**Proof.**

Step 1.

Let  $y = (y_k)$  be an arbitrary point in  $(\Gamma_s)^\beta$ . If  $y$  is not in  $\wedge$ , then for each natural number  $n$ , we can find an index  $k(n)$  such that

$$|y_{k(n)}|^{1/k(n)} > n, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Define  $x = (x_k)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 1/n^k \text{ for } k = k(n); \text{ and} \\ x &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $x$  is in  $\Gamma$ , but for infinitely many  $k$ ,

$$|y_k x_k| > 1. \quad (7.1)$$

Consider the sequence  $z = \{z_k\}$ , where

$$z_1 = x_1 - s \text{ with } s = \sum x_k; \text{ and } z_k = x_k \text{ (} k = 2, 3, \dots \text{)}.$$

Then  $z$  is a point of  $\Gamma$ . Also  $\sum z_k = 0$ . Hence  $z$  is in  $\Gamma_s$ . But, by the equation

$$(7.1) \sum z_k y_k \text{ does not converge. Thus the sequence } y \text{ would not be in } (\Gamma_s)^\beta.$$

This contradiction proves that

$$(\Gamma_s)^\beta \subset \wedge. \quad (7.2)$$

Step 2.

By (6.1) of Proposition 6 we have

$$\wedge \subset (\Gamma_s)^\beta. \quad (7.3)$$

From (6.2) and (6.3) it follows that the  $\beta$ -dual space of  $(\Gamma_s)^\beta$  is  $\wedge$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 8.**  $(\Gamma_s)^\mu = \wedge$  for  $\mu = \alpha, \beta, \gamma, f$ .

Step 1

$\Gamma_s$  has AK by Proposition 2. Hence by Theorem 7.3.9 in [1] we get  $(\Gamma_s)^\beta = (\Gamma_s)^f$ .

But  $(\Gamma_s)^\beta = \wedge$ . Hence

$$(\Gamma_s)^f = \wedge. \quad (I)$$

Step 2

Since  $AK \Rightarrow AD$ . Hence by Theorem 7.3.9 in [1] we get  $(\Gamma_s)^\beta = (\Gamma_s)^\gamma$ . Therefore

$$(\Gamma_s)^\gamma = \wedge. \quad (II)$$

Step 3

$\Gamma_s$  has normal by Proposition 4. Hence by Theorem in [1] we get

$$(\Gamma_s)^\alpha = (\Gamma_s)^\gamma = \wedge. \quad (III)$$

From (I), (II) and (III) we have

$$(\Gamma_s)^\alpha = (\Gamma_s)^\beta = (\Gamma_s)^\gamma = (\Gamma_s)^f = \wedge.$$

**Proposition 9.** Let  $Y$  be any FK-space  $\supset \Phi$ . Then  $Y \supset \Gamma_s$  if and only if the sequence  $\{\delta^{(k)}\}$  is weakly analytic.

**Proof.**

The following implications establish the result.

$Y \supset \Gamma_s \Leftrightarrow Y^f \subset (\Gamma_s)^f$ , since  $\Gamma_s$  has AD and by using 8.6.1 in [1].

$\Leftrightarrow Y^f \subset \wedge$ , since  $(\Gamma_s)^f = \wedge$ .

$\Leftrightarrow$  for each  $f \in Y'$ , the topological dual of  $Y$ ,  $f(\delta^{(k)}) \in \wedge$ .

$\Leftrightarrow f(\delta^{(k)})$  is analytic.

$\Leftrightarrow$  The sequence  $\{\delta^{(k)}\}$  is weakly analytic.

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 10.**  $\Gamma_s = \Gamma \cap cs_0$ .

**Proof.**

By Proposition 1,  $\Gamma_s \subset \Gamma$ . Also, since every entire sequence  $(\xi_k)$  is a null sequence, it follows that  $(\xi_k)$  is a null sequence. In other words  $(\xi_k) \in cs_0$ .

Thus  $\Gamma_s \subset cs_0$ . Consequently,

$$\Gamma_s \subset \Gamma \cap cs_0. \quad (10.1)$$

On the other hand, if  $(x_k) \in \Gamma \cap cs_0$ , then

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k z^{k-1}$$

is an entire function. But  $(x_k) \in cs_0$ . So,

$$f(1) = x_1 + x_2 + \dots = 0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{f(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\xi_k) z^{k-1}$$

is also an entire function. Hence  $(\xi_k) \in \Gamma$ . So  $x = (x_k) \in \Gamma_s$ . But  $(x_k)$  is arbitrary in  $\Gamma \cap cs_0$ . Therefore

$$\Gamma \cap cs_0 \subset \Gamma_s. \quad (10.2)$$

From (10.1) and (10.2) we get

$$\Gamma_s = \Gamma \cap cs_0.$$

This completes the proof.

**Definition.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be not an integer. Write  $S_n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n A_{n-\gamma}^{(\alpha-1)} x_\gamma$ , where  $A_\mu^{(\alpha)}$

denotes the binomial coefficient

$$\frac{(\mu + \alpha)(\mu + \alpha - 1) \dots (\alpha + 1)}{\mu!}.$$

Then  $(x_n) \in \sigma^\alpha(\Gamma)$  means that  $\left\{ \frac{S_n^{(\alpha)}}{A_n^{(\alpha-1)}} \right\} \in \Gamma$ .

**Proposition 11.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a number which is not an integer. Then

$$\Gamma \cap \sigma^\alpha(\Gamma) = \theta$$

where  $\theta$  denotes the sequence  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

**Proof.**

Since  $(x_n) \in \sigma^\alpha(\Gamma)$  we have

$$\left\{ \frac{S_n^{(\alpha)}}{A_n^{(\alpha-1)}} \right\} \in \Gamma.$$

This is equivalent to  $(S_n^{(\alpha)}) \in \Gamma$ . This, in turn, is equivalent to the assertion that

$$f_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^{(\alpha)} z^{n-1}$$

is an integral (entire) function. Now

$$f_\alpha(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(1-z)^\alpha}.$$



Since  $\alpha$  is not an integer,  $f(z)$  and  $f_\alpha(z)$  cannot both be integral functions, for if one is an integral function, the other has a branch at  $z=1$ . Hence the assertion holds good. So, the sequence  $0=(0,0,\dots,0)$  belongs to both  $\Gamma$  and  $\sigma^\alpha(\Gamma)$ . But this is the only sequence common to both these spaces. Hence

$$\Gamma \cap \sigma^\alpha(\Gamma) = \theta.$$

This completes the proof.

**Definition.** Fix  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Given a sequence  $(x_k)$ , put

$$\xi_{k,p} = \frac{x_{1+k} + x_{2+k} + \dots + x_{p+k}}{p}$$

for  $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $(\xi_{k,p} : p = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \in \Gamma$  uniformly in  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Then we call  $(x_k)$  an "almost entire sequence". The set of all almost entire sequences is denoted by  $\Delta$ .

**Proposition 12.**  $\Gamma \cap \sigma^\alpha(\Gamma) = \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the set of all almost entire sequences.

**Proof.**

Put  $k = 0$ . Then  $(\xi_{0,p}) \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_p}{p} \right) \in \Gamma$

$$\Leftrightarrow |x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_p|^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1 + x_2 + \dots = 0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x_k) \in cs_0.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Delta \subset cs_0.$$

(12.1)

Put  $k = 1$ . Then

$$(\xi_{1,p}) \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow \left( \frac{x_2 + \dots + x_p}{p} \right) \in \Gamma.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_p|^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

(12.2)

Similarly we get

$$x_3 + x_4 + \dots = 0.$$

(12.3)

$$x_4 + x_5 + \dots = 0.$$

(12.4)

...

and so on.

From (12.1) and (12.2) it follows that

$$x_1 = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots) - (x_2 + x_3 + \dots) = 0.$$

Similarly we obtain  $x_2 = 0$ ,  $x_3 = 0, \dots$  and so on. Hence  $\Delta = \theta$  where  $\theta$  denotes the sequence  $(0, 0, \dots)$ . Thus we have proved that

$$\Gamma \cap \sigma^a(\Gamma) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = \theta.$$

In other words,  $\Gamma \cap \sigma^a(\Gamma) = \Delta$ . This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Brown, I.H., Entire methods of summation, *Comp. Maths.*, 21 (1969), 35-42.
- [2] Rao, K.C., The space of Entire sequences, *Sequence Spaces and Applications*, 1999, 1-8 Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India.
- [3] —, Cesàro spaces of analytic sequences, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India* 57(A) (1981), 95-97.
- [4] —, Symmetry and some sequence space, *Comm. de la Fac. Sci., Uni. Ank.*, 33 (1984), 51-53.
- [5] —, Srinivasalu, T.G., Operators on Analytic and Entire Sequences, *Bull. Malaysian Mathematical Society* 14 (1991), 41-54.
- [6] Heller, I., Contributions to the Theory of Divergent Series, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 2 (1952), 153-177.
- [7] Kamthan, P.K, Gupta, M., *Sequence Spaces and Series*, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1981.
- [8] Wilansky, A., *Summability Through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.