# PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: On an interpolation sequence for a weighted Bergman space on a Hilbert unit ball

AUTHORS: Mohammed EL AIDI

PAGES: 102-106

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/2907988



Research Article

# On an interpolation sequence for a weighted Bergman space on a Hilbert unit ball

## Mohammed El Aïdi\*

ABSTRACT. The purpose is to provide a generalization of Carleson's Theorem on interpolating sequences when dealing with a sequence in the open unit ball of a Hilbert space. Precisely, we interpolate a sequence by a function belonging to a weighted Bergman space of infinite order on a unit Hilbert ball and we furnish explicitly the upper bound corresponding to the interpolation constant.

**Keywords:** Analytic functions, interpolation sequences, weighted Bergman spaces, pseudohyberbolic distance, Fréchet differentiable functions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30A99, 30H05, 32A36, 28E99, 46A04.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us recall a known result that it has been shown that a sequence  $\Gamma = (a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is interpolated by a function in  $B_{\varphi^c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , the set of holomorphic functions f on the complex unit ball  $\mathbb{D}^n$ such that  $\varphi f$  is bounded, where  $\varphi$  is strictly positive continuous function on [0,1) satisfying a few meaningful assumptions and the power c is a strictly positive constant [3]. Precisely, it has been shown the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** ([3]). Let  $\Gamma = (a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{D}^n$  and  $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\}} |\psi_{a_j}(a_k)| \ge \varphi(|a_k|)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is interpolated by a function in  $B_{\varphi^c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Furthermore, an upper bound of the interpolation constant is given explicitly and it is independent of n and  $\varphi$ .

 $|\psi_{a_j}(a_k)|$  is the pseudohyperbolic distance between  $a_j$  and  $a_k$  such that  $\psi_{a_j}(\cdot)$  is the  $\mathbb{D}^n$ -valued Möbius map on  $\mathbb{D}^n$ . Apropos of the proof of Theorem 1.1, concisely the author sets up an interpolating function belonging in  $B^{\infty}_{\omega^c}(\mathbb{D}^n)$  given in terms of a series of functions.

The goal of the present article is to show that Theorem 1.1 remains true when we swap  $\mathbb{D}^n$  by a unit Hilbert ball, so we give a positive response on a question raised in Remark 3.1 in [3]. Therefore, let  $\mathbb{B}_H = \{x \in H : ||x||_H < 1\}$  be the open unit ball in  $H = (H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H; || \cdot ||_H)$ , an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space endowed with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$  and the norm  $|| \cdot ||_H$ . E.g.,  $H = L_2(X, \mu)$ , the space of square-integrable measurable functions on X with

respect to the measure  $\mu$  such that  $\langle f, g \rangle_H = \int_X f(x)g(x)d\mu(x)$  and  $||f||_H = (\int_X |f(x)|^2 d\mu(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Instead to use a holomorphic function, we employ a complex-valued analytic function on  $\mathbb{B}_H$ , i.e., a Fréchet differentiable function at all points in  $\mathbb{B}_H$ .

Received: 20.01.2023; Accepted: 03.06.2023; Published Online: 04.06.2023 \*Corresponding author: Mohammed El Aïdi; melaidi@unal.edu.co DOI: 10.33205/cma.1240126

<sup>102</sup> 

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  be the space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{B}_H$ ,  $\phi$  be a strictly positive continuous function on [0, 1), where its inverse is logarithmically convex. Let  $L^{\infty}_{\phi}(\mathbb{B}_H) = \left(L^{\infty}_{\phi}(\mathbb{B}_H), \|\cdot\|_{\infty,\phi}\right)$  be the space of complex-valued measurable functions f on  $\mathbb{B}_H$  such that  $\phi(\|x\|_H) \cdot f(x)$  is bounded for all  $x \in \mathbb{B}_H$  and  $||f||_{\infty,\phi} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}_H} \phi(||x||_H) |f(x)| < \infty$ .

The weighted Bergman space of infinite order on  $\mathbb{B}_H$  is defined by

 $B_{\phi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_{H}) = \left\{ f \text{ complex measurable functions on } \mathbb{B}_{H} : f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B}_{H}) \cap L_{\phi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_{H}) \right\}.$ 

The space  $B_{\phi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  is endowed with the induced norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\phi}$ . We suppose that the continuous function  $\phi$  is not identically equal to one which implies that  $B_{\phi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  contains strictly  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$ , the Hardy space of order infinity on  $\mathbb{B}_H$ . We recall that interpolating a sequence by a function in  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  has been conducted in [8].

Let  $(l_{\phi}^{\infty}, || \cdot ||_{l_{\phi}^{\infty}})$  be the weighted space of bounded sequences with respect to the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{B}_H$  and which is defined by

$$l_{\phi}^{\infty} = \left\{ v = (v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } (\phi(\|x_k\|_H)|v_k|)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^{\infty} \right\}$$

such that  $||v||_{l_{\phi}^{\infty}} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\phi(||x_k||_H)|v_k|)$ . In the sequel, we need the following definition of an interpolation sequence.

**Definition 2.1.** Let *c* be a positive constant, we say that  $\Gamma = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an interpolation sequence for  $B_{\phi^c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  if for every complex-valued sequence  $v = (v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_{\phi^{c-4}}^{\infty}$ , there is  $f \in B_{\phi^c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  such that  $f(a_k) = v_k$ . The associated interpolation constant is the smaller constant *M* such that  $||f||_{\infty,\phi} \leq M||v||_{l_{\phi^{c-4}}^{\infty}}$ .

The pseudohyperbolic distance between two points x, y belonging to  $\mathbb{B}_H$  is defined by  $\|\Phi_y(x)\|_H$ such that  $\Phi_y(x)$  is the Möbius transformation on  $\mathbb{B}_H$  defined by  $\Phi_y(x) = (s_y Q_y + P_y) m_y(x)$ such that  $m_y$  is the  $\mathbb{B}_H$ -valued analytic map on  $\mathbb{B}_H$  and defined as  $m_y(x) = \frac{y-x}{1-\langle y,x \rangle_H}$ ,  $P_y(x) = \frac{\langle y,x \rangle_H}{\|y\|_H^2}y$ ,  $Q_y(x) = x - P_y(x)$ , and  $s_y = \sqrt{1 - \|y\|_H^2}$ . It is known (see Page 99 in [5]) that

(2.1) 
$$\|\Phi_y(x)\|_H^2 = 1 - \frac{(1 - \|x\|_H^2)(1 - \|y\|_H^2)}{|1 - \langle x, y \rangle_H|^2}.$$

Our main result states

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\Gamma = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{B}_H$  such that  $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\}} \|\Phi_{x_j}(x_k)\|_H \ge \phi(\|x_k\|_H)$ for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi$  be a strictly positive continuous function on [0, 1) such that its inverse is logarithmically convex. Then  $\Gamma$  is interpolated by a function belonging to  $B_{\phi^c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  and an upper bound of the associated interpolation constant is provided explicitly and does not rely on the weight function  $\phi$ .

As we observe that the announcement of the main result is almost the same as the one stated in Theorem 1.1, where  $\mathbb{D}_n$  is substituted by  $\mathbb{B}_n$  and the complex modulus is substituted by  $\|\cdot\|_H$ . The novelty of the proof of Theorem 2.2 is that we use the pseudohyperbolic distance between two points in  $\mathbb{B}_H$  and essentially Equality (2.1).

In the following section, we furnish the proof of Theorem 2.2 in two parts and we employ the techniques used in [2, 3, 6, 7]. The first part is on building an interpolation function, see Subsection 3.1, and the second one focuses on the interpolation constant, see Subsection 3.2.

#### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

3.1. On an appropriate interpolating function. Let us consider the following series of functions on  $\mathbb{B}_H$ 

(3.2) 
$$G(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k G_k(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{B}_H,$$

where  $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^{\infty}_{\phi^{c-4}}$  such that each  $G_k$  is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{B}_H$  defined as

$$G_k(x) = \left(\frac{1 - \|x_k\|_H^2}{1 - \langle x_k, x \rangle_H}\right)^4 \mathcal{W}(x_k, x) \mathcal{V}(x_k, x) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\}} \frac{\langle \Phi_{x_j}(x_k), \Phi_{x_j}(x) \rangle_H}{\|\Phi_{x_j}(x_k)\|_H^2}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{B}_H$ ,  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{B}_H$ ,  $\mathcal{W}(x_k, \cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{V}(x_k, \cdot)$  are two analytic functions on  $\mathbb{B}_H$ . Precisely,

$$\mathcal{W}(x_k, x) = \exp\left[-\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\mathfrak{f}(x) - \mathfrak{f}(x_k)\right) \frac{(1 - \|x_m\|_H^2)(1 - \|x_k\|_H^2)}{1 - |\langle x_m, x_k \rangle_H|^2}\right]$$

with  $\mathfrak{f}(x) = \frac{1+\langle x_m, x \rangle_H}{1-\langle x_m, x \rangle_H}$  which is well defined due the fact by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have  $1-\langle x_m, x \rangle_H > 0$  and  $\mathcal{V}(x_k, x) = \exp(\partial u(\tilde{\psi}(x_k)).(\tilde{\psi}(x) - \tilde{\psi}(x_k)))$ , where  $\partial u(\tilde{\psi}(x_k)).(\tilde{\psi}(x) - \tilde{\psi}(x_k)))$  is the inner product in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  between  $\partial u(\tilde{\psi}(x_k))$  and  $\tilde{\psi}(x) - \tilde{\psi}(x_k)$  where u is a real-valued convex function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -valued surjective map on  $\mathbb{B}_H$ . Consequently, from the definitions of  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ , we have  $G_k(x_k) = 1$  and for  $j \neq k$  we have  $G_k(x_j) = 0$  this due to the fact that  $\Phi_{x_j}(x_j) = 0$ , see (2.1). Whence, the sequence  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is interpolated by G and in the next subsection, we prove that  $G \in B_{\phi^c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$  and provide explicitly an upper bound associated to the interpolation constant.

3.2. On the interpolation constant. By using the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, that is, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{k\}} \|\Phi_{x_i}(x_k)\|_H$  is bigger than  $\phi(\|x_k\|_H)$ , we have

(3.3) 
$$|G_k(x)| \le \left(\frac{1 - ||x_k||_H^2}{1 - \langle x_k, x \rangle_H}\right)^4 |\mathcal{W}(x_k, x)| |\mathcal{V}(x_k, x)| \phi^{-2}(||x_k||_H).$$

Let us look an upper bound for  $|\mathcal{W}(x_k, x)|$ . So, since that we work in a complex Hilbert space, we have  $\Re \mathfrak{f}(x) = \frac{1-|\langle x_m, x \rangle_H|^2}{|1-\langle x_m, x \rangle_H|^2}$ . Whence, we have

(3.4) 
$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{W}(x_k, x)| &= \exp\left[-\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1 - |\langle x_m, x \rangle_H|^2}{|1 - \langle x_m, x \rangle_H \rangle^2} \frac{(1 - \|x_m\|_H^2)(1 - \|x_k\|_H^2)}{1 - |\langle x_m, x_k \rangle_H|^2}\right] \\ &\times \exp\left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(1 - \|x_m\|_H^2)(1 - \|x_k\|_H^2)}{|1 - \langle x_m, x_k \rangle_H|^2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that the terms  $\exp\left[\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{(1-\|x_m\|_H^2)(1-\|x_k\|_H^2)}{|1-\langle x_m,x_k\rangle_H|^2}\right]$  is upper bounded by  $\exp(1)\phi^{-2}(\|x_k\|_H)$ . For x > 0, we have  $1 - x \le \exp(-x)$ , thus by employing, successively, this inequality with  $y_{m,k} = \frac{(1-\|x_m\|_H^2)(1-\|x_k\|_H^2)}{|1-\langle x_m,x_k\rangle_H|^2} > 0$ , the square of the pseudohyperbolic distance equality (2.1), and the assumption of Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$\exp\left[-\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} y_{m,k}\right] = \prod_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \exp(-y_{m,k})$$
$$= \exp(-1) \prod_{m\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{k\}} \exp(-y_{m,k})$$
$$\ge \exp(-1) \prod_{m\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{k\}} \|\Phi_{x_m}(x_k)\|_H^2 \ge \exp(-1)\phi^2(\|x_k\|_H).$$

Hence, Equality (3.4) implies

(3.5) 
$$|\mathcal{W}(x_k, x)| \le \frac{\exp(1)}{\phi^2(||x_k||_H)} \exp\left[-\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_{m,k}(x)\right]$$

such that  $A_{m,k}(x) = \frac{1-|\langle x_m, x \rangle_H|^2}{|1-\langle x_m, x \rangle_H|^2} \frac{(1-\|x_m\|_H^2)(1-\|x_k\|_H^2)}{1-|\langle x_m, x_k \rangle_H|^2}$ . Let us reorder the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , for obtaining an increasing sequence  $(\|x_k\|_H)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then by using the fact that  $\frac{1-|\langle x_m, x_k \rangle_H|^2}{1-|\langle x_m, x_k \rangle_H|^2} \ge \frac{1-\|x_m\|_H^2}{8(1-|\langle x_k, x \rangle_H|^2)}$  whenever  $\|x_m\|_H \ge \|x_k\|_H$ , for the proof see Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 in [8], and Inequality (3.5) becomes

(3.6) 
$$|\mathcal{W}(x_k, x)| \le \frac{\exp(1)}{\phi^2(||x_k||_H)} \exp\left[-\frac{\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{T}_k}{8}\right]$$

such that  $\mathfrak{X} = \frac{1 - \|x_k\|_{H^1}^2}{1 - |\langle x_k, x \rangle_H|^2}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}_k = \sum_{m \ge k} \left(\frac{1 - \|x_m\|_{H^1}^2}{|1 - \langle x_m, x \rangle_H|}\right)^2$ . Let  $b_m(x) = \left(\frac{1 - \|x_m\|_{H^1}^2}{|1 - \langle x_m, x \rangle_H|}\right)^2$ , then thanks to the triangle inequality, we have  $b_k(x) \le 4\mathfrak{X}^2$ , and we observe that the function  $g_{\mathfrak{X}}(\tau) = \mathfrak{X}^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{X}\tau}{8}\right)$  for  $\tau > 0$ , is at most equal  $h(\tau) = \chi^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{X}\tau}{8}\right)$  $\min\left(1, \frac{256}{\exp(2)\tau^2}\right)$ . Accordingly, Inequality (3.6) becomes

$$b_{k}(x) |\mathcal{W}(x_{k}, x)| \leq \frac{4 \exp(1)\mathfrak{X}^{2}}{\phi^{2}(||x_{k}||_{H})} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{T}_{k}}{8}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{4 \exp(1)}{\phi^{2}(||x_{k}||_{H})} h\left(\mathfrak{T}_{k}\right).$$
(3.7)

Now, from the definition of  $\mathcal{V}$  and the use the properties of the convex function u, we have  $|\mathcal{V}(x_k, x)| \leq \exp(u(\widetilde{\psi}(x)) - u(\widetilde{\psi}(x_k))))$ . Furthermore, since that the inverse of  $\phi$  is logarithmically convex, let us choose  $u(\widetilde{\psi}(x)) = -c \log(\phi(||x||_H))$  and we have

(3.8) 
$$|\mathcal{V}(x_k, x)| \le \phi^c(||x_k||_H)\phi^{-c}(||x||_H).$$

We recall that  $G_k$  satisfies

(3.9) 
$$|G_k(x)| \le \left(\frac{1 - ||x_k||_H^2}{1 - \langle x_k, x \rangle_H}\right)^4 |\mathcal{W}(x_k, x)| |\mathcal{V}(x_k, x)| \phi^{-2}(||x_k||_H).$$

Whence, by using Inequalities (3.7)-(3.9) we obtain

(3.10) 
$$\phi^{c}(\|x\|_{H})\phi^{4-c}(\|x_{k}\|_{H})|G_{k}(x)| \leq 4\exp(1)b_{k}(x)h\left(\mathfrak{T}_{k}\right)$$

The function  $h(\tau)$  decreases on  $[\mathfrak{T}_{k+1},\mathfrak{T}_k]$ , then by using Inequality (3.10), we have

(3.11) 
$$\phi^{c}(\|x\|_{H})\phi^{4-c}(\|x_{k}\|_{H})|G_{k}(x)| \leq 4\exp(1)\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{k+1}}^{\mathfrak{T}_{k}}h(\tau)d\tau.$$

Therefore, by using the definition of  $h(\tau)$  and Inequality (3.11), we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \phi^{c}(\|x\|_{H}) \phi^{4-c}(\|x_{k}\|_{H}) |G_{k}(x)| \leq 4 \exp(1) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathfrak{T}_{k+1}}^{\mathfrak{T}_{k}} h(\tau) d\tau$$
$$\leq 4 \exp(1) \int_{0}^{\infty} h(\tau) d\tau$$
$$= 47.0886.$$

We recall that  $G(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k G_k(x)$ , then from (3.12), we have

$$|G(x)| \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |v_k| |G_k(x)| \le ||v||_{l^{\infty}_{\phi^{c-4}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi^{4-c}(|x_k|) |G_k(x)| \le 47.0886 ||v||_{l^{\infty}_{\phi^{c-4}}} \phi^{-c}(||x||_H).$$

Thus,  $||G||_{\infty,\phi} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}_H} \phi^c(||x||_H) |G(x)| \le 47.0886 ||v||_{l^{\infty}_{\phi^c-4}} < \infty$ , i.e.,  $G \in B^{\infty}_{\phi^c}(\mathbb{B}_H)$ , consequently the sequence  $\Gamma$  is interpolated by the function G, furthermore an upper bound of the interpolation constant is equal to 47.0886. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

### On an extension

We are asking whether it possible to state an analogue result of Theorem 2.2, for a proper subspace of a suitable weighted Bergman space of infinite order on  $\mathbb{B}_H$  and containing a proper subspace of  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_H)$ . E.g., interpolating sequences for a proper space of  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  has been conducted by Dyakonov [1]. Also, we are asking whether our result remains true for a function belonging to a Bloch-type space on  $\mathbb{B}_H$ , see, e.g., [9].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. M. Dyakonov: A free interpolation problem for a subspace of  $H^{\infty}$ , Bull Lond Math Soc., **50** (2018), 477–486.
- [2] B. Berndtsson: Interpolating sequences for H<sup>∞</sup> in the ball, Nederl Akad Wetensch Indag Math., 47 (1) (1985), 1–10.
  [3] M. El Aïdi: On the interpolation constant for weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 64 (6) (2019), 1043–1049.
- [4] P. Galindo, A. Miralles: Interpolating sequences for bounded analytic functions, Proc Amer Math Soc., 135 (10) (2007), 3225–3231.
- [5] K. Goebel, S. Reich: Uniform convexity, hyperbolic geometry, and nonexpansive mappings, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1984.
- [6] P. Jones:  $L^{\infty}$ -estimates for the  $\delta$  problem in a half-plane, Acta Math., **150** (1983) 137–152.
- [7] X. Massaneda: Interpolation by holomorphic functions in the unit ball with polynomial growth Ann Fac Sci Toulouse Math., 6 (2) (1997), 277–296.
- [8] A. Miralles: Interpolating sequences for  $H^{\infty}(B_H)$ , Quaest Math., 39 (6) (2016), 785–795.
- [9] T. T. Quang: Banach-valued Bloch-type functions on the unit ball of a Hilbert space and weak spaces of Bloch-type, Constr. Math. Anal., 6 (1) (2023), 6–21.

MOHAMMED EL AÏDI UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, SEDE BOGOTÁ FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS EDIFICIO 404, BOGOTÁ , D.C. COLOMBIA ORCID: 0000-0002-3032-0879 *E-mail address*: melaidi@unal.edu.co

(3.12)