

PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Physicochemical and Sensory Quality Properties of Vinegar Produced by Traditional Method from Persian Mazafati Date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.)

AUTHORS: Gökhan AKARCA, Oktay TOMAR, Abdullah ÇAGLAR, Ömer ISTEK

PAGES: 429-434

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1153989>

Physicochemical and Sensory Quality Properties of Vinegar Produced by Traditional Method from Persian Mazafati Date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.)

Gökhan Akarca^{1*}, Oktay Tomar², Abdullah Çağlar³, Ömer İstek⁴

¹ Kocatepe University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Food Engineering, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey (ORCID: 0000-0002-5055-2722)

² Kocaeli University, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Science, Arslanbey Campus, 41285, Kocaeli, Turkey (ORCID: 0000-0001-5761-7157)

³ Kocaeli University, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Science, Arslanbey Campus, 41285, Kocaeli, Turkey (ORCID: 0000-0002-9716-8795)

⁴ Kocatepe University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Food Engineering, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey (ORCID: 0000-0003-2195-1166)

(First received 7 April 2020 and in final form 16 June 2020)

(DOI: 10.31590/ejosat.715708)

ATIF/REFERENCE: Akarca, G., Tomar, O., Çağlar, A. & İstek, Ö. (2020). Physicochemical and Sensory Quality Properties of Vinegar Produced by Traditional Method from Persian Mazafati Date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). *European Journal of Science and Technology*, (19), 429-434.

Abstract

In this study, some physical, chemical and sensory quality properties of vinegar produced from Iranian mazafati date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) by conventional method were investigated. At the end of the study, brix (°Brix), density (g/cm³) and color (L*, a*, b*) values were determined to be 3.63±0.07, 1.014±0.05, L* (27.50±0.87), a* (1.58±0.29) and b* (1.61±0.17), respectively. Furthermore, it was determined that the mean conductivity value was 4.92±0.04 µS/cm, pH was 3.44±0.05 and total acidity was 11.88±0.12 g/L. The total antioxidant and total phenolic content values of the samples were determined to be 103.42±6.43 µg trolox equivalent (TE)/mL and 231.37±44.44 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/L, respectively. Nevertheless, the presence of alcohol was not detected in any of the samples after six months of storage. The mineral material contents of date vinegar samples were determined as K (163.25±0.67 ppm), P (39.43±0.50 ppm), Na (31.68±0.08 ppm) and Ca (9.63±0.18 ppm), respectively. The sensory analysis scores of the samples were given by the panelists as follows: color (6.75±0.35), aroma (5.25±0.41), odor (3.75±0.27), appearance (6.75±0.15) and general appreciation (6.45±0.52). Carotenoids, phytosterols, B-group vitamins, and phosphorus in the composition of date vinegar produced by conventional method are known to be extremely useful components for human health. Furthermore, it is considered that date vinegar can be useful for the prevention of various diseases (cholesterol-lowering, antioxidant properties, cancer, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases) due to bioactive components it contains.

Keywords: Date, Vinegar, Fermentation, Phytosterols, Phosphorus.

İran Mazafati Hurmasından (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) Geleneksel Yöntemle Üretilen Sirkenin Fizikokimyasal ve Duyusal Kalite Özellikleri

Öz

Bu çalışmada İran mazafati hurmasından (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) geleneksel yöntemle üretilen sirkenin bazı fiziksel, kimyasal ve duyusal kalite özelliklerinin belirlenmesi araştırılmıştır. Araştırma sonunda; briks (°Briks), yoğunluk (g/cm³) ve renk (L*, a*, b*)

* Corresponding Author: Kocatepe University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Food Engineering, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey (ORCID: 0000-0002-5055-2722), gakarca@aku.edu.tr

değerleri sırasıyla 3.63 ± 0.07 , 1.014 ± 0.05 , L^* (27.50 ± 0.87), a^* (1.58 ± 0.29) ve b^* (1.61 ± 0.17) olarak tespit edilmiştir. Ayrıca iletkenlik değerleri ortalama 4.92 ± 0.04 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, pH 3.44 ± 0.05 , toplam asitlik 11.88 ± 0.12 g/L olarak belirlenmiştir. Örneklerin toplam antioksidan ve toplam fenolik madde değerleri ise sırasıyla 103.42 ± 6.43 μg troloks eşdeğeri (TE)/mL ve 231.37 ± 44.44 mg gallik asit eşdeğeri (GAE)/L olarak tespit edilmiştir. Buna karşın altı aylık depolama sonucunda numunelerin hiçbirisinde alkol varlığı tespit edilememiştir. Hurma sirkesi örneklerinin mineral madde içerikleri sırasıyla K (163.25 ± 0.67 ppm), P (39.43 ± 0.50 ppm), Na (31.68 ± 0.08 ppm) ve Ca (9.63 ± 0.18 ppm) olarak belirlenmiştir. Panelistlerce örneklerin duyu analizi skorları ise; renk (6.75 ± 0.35), aroma (5.25 ± 0.41), koku (3.75 ± 0.27), görünüş (6.75 ± 0.15) ve genel beğeni (6.45 ± 0.52) olarak verilmiştir. Geleneksel yöntemle üretilen hurma sirkesinin bileşimindeki karotenoidler, fitosteroller, B grubu vitaminler ve fosforun insan sağlığı açısından son derece yararlı bileşenler olduğu bilinmektedir. Ayrıca hurma sirkesi içerdiği biyoaktif bileşenler sayesinde çeşitli hastalıkların (kolesterolü düşürmesi, antioksidan özelliği, kanser, diyabet ve kardiyovasküler hastalıklar) önlemesi için faydalı olabileceği düşünülmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Hurma, Sirke, Fermantasyon, Fitosteroller, Fosfor.

1. Introduction

Vinegar is produced by a two-stage fermentation process, ethyl alcohol and acetic acid fermentation of raw materials containing starch and/or sugar. Vinegar is formed by fermenting sugars and other carbohydrates to ethanol by yeasts and fermenting the formed ethanol to acetic acid by acetic acid bacteria. During fermentation, acetic acid is oxidized to water in the presence of liquid oxygen-containing alcohol after the activity of acetic acid bacteria. Kinds of vinegar with different properties are obtained by adding various fruits and their extracts, aromatic parts of plants, their extracts or natural aromas of them (Treck & Teuber, 2002; Garcia-Garcia et al., 2006; Budak, 2010).

Date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) is considered as one of the oldest and primary products in the Arabian Peninsula, the Middle East, and North Africa. Furthermore, it is also grown in Australia, Mexico, South America, South Africa, and the United States, especially Southern California, Arizona and Texas (Chao & Krueger, 2007; Al-Harrasi et al., 2014; Hazzouri et al., 2015).

The date fruit is a food source with high nutritional value. The date is rich in carbohydrates, dietary fibers, proteins, minerals and group B vitamins. It contains Thiamine (B_1), Riboflavin (B_2), Niacin (B_3), Pantothenic (B_5), Pyridoxine (B_6) and Folate (B_9) of Vitamin B groups. The date fruit involves calcium, iron, magnesium, selenium, copper, phosphorus, potassium, zinc, sulfur, cobalt, fluorine, manganese and boron as minerals (Chao & Krueger, 2007; Al-Harrasi et al., 2014).

In Arab communities, by-products of date fruits are usually and commonly used in the form of jam, jelly, fruit juice, syrup, fermented drinks, and vinegar. These products are rich in bioactive compounds. Therefore, vinegar has gained industrial value. It has been demonstrated by various studies that date vinegar is good for health in terms of antioxidant properties, cholesterol-lowering, cancer, diabetes and the prevention of cardiovascular diseases due to its phytochemical properties (Chao & Krueger, 2007; Al-Harrasi et al., 2014; Hafzan et al., 2017).

In this study, dry matter, pH, ash, brix, density, conductivity, alcohol determination, color, total acidity, total antioxidant, total phenolic, mineral matter and sensory analysis values of vinegar produced from Iranian mazafati date by conventional method were determined.

2. Material and Method

2.1. Material

Iranian mazafati dates used in the study were obtained from local markets operating in the central district of Afyonkarahisar province.

2.2. Methods

The kernels of Iranian mazafati date were removed, they were washed, cleaned, and then aired in the laboratory environment for 1 day. The dates prepared were added in 1/3 of 10 L jars. Then, a mixture of 50 g flower honey and 50 g grape molasses was added for the fermentation process to take place. It was ensured to create fermentation conditions by adding 150 mL of date vinegar, which had been previously produced by conventional method and 50 g chickpeas. Water was added to the product prepared to complete 10 L. The samples in the jars prepared were covered with cheesecloth to be aired for 30 days. Furthermore, they were mixed twice a day to be aired. This process was continued for approximately 30 days until a vinegar mother appeared on the surfaces of the jars. After the formation of the vinegar mother following the fermentation process, filtration was performed from the raw material. Then, the jars were sealed and stored without exposing to light at room temperature for 6 months. Their analyses were then performed under laboratory conditions. This study was conducted in triplicate were used for each repetition.

2.3. Analyzes

While dry matter analysis of date vinegar samples was performed according to AOAC 930.15 in the oven (Ecocell 55, Germany), they were analyzed for pH values according to AOAC 981.1 with Hanna (HI 2215, Germany), for ash content according to AOAC 930.35 with an electromag ash furnace (M 1811, Turkey) and for density according to AOAC 985.19 (AOAC, 2000a; AOAC, 2000b; AOAC, 2016a; AOAC, 2016b). The soluble dry matter content of date vinegar samples was determined by a hand refractometer (Atago Refractometer N-1E, Japan) according to Haroun 2006 and conductivity was determined according to Aadil 2015. Alcohol determination was performed according to Taslipinar 2018. The color determination was performed according to Voss 1992 and total

acidity determination was performed according to Anonymous 1990 and Unal 2007. The total antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content were determined according to Bertoncelj et al. 2007. Mineral matter analysis was performed in the microwave burning unit (Berghof Speedwave MWS-2, Germany) according to Kadas 2011. The sensory analyses of the samples were evaluated according to Taslipinar 2018.

3. Results and Discussion

The physical and chemical analyses of date vinegar samples (Dry matter, pH, ash, brix, density, conductivity, alcohol determination, color, total acidity, total antioxidant, total phenolic, mineral material and sensory analysis) are presented in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3.

As a result of the study, it was determined that the mean density of date vinegar was $1.014 \pm 0.05 \text{ g/cm}^3$ and the mean brix values were 3.63 ± 0.07 °Brix (Table 1). Similarly, to the results of our study, Dabija and Hatnean (2014) determined that the density of apple cider vinegar was $1.08 \pm 0.05 \text{ g/cm}^3$ and the brix value was 3.60 ± 0.00 °Brix.

In our study, the mean color values of vinegar samples were determined as L^* (27.50 ± 0.87), a^* (1.58 ± 0.29) and b^* (1.61 ± 0.17) (Table 1). In their study, Siddeeg et al. (2019) determined the color values of date vinegar as L^* (45.44 ± 0.17), a^* (-0.33 ± 0.34) and b^* (3.14 ± 0.33). It is considered that the difference between them was due to different date species used in the studies.

It was determined that the dry matter values of date vinegar varied in the range of $3.45 \pm 0.04\%$ on average (Table 2). In the study carried out by Bakir et al. (2016), the dry matter values of grape and apple cider vinegar were determined to be 3.8 ± 0.30 , $4.3 \pm 0.40 \text{ g/L}$, respectively. It is considered that the difference between that study and the results of our study was due to the fact that water-insoluble dry matters (starch, cellulose, etc.) were less in date vinegar.

The mean pH values of vinegar samples were determined to be 3.44 ± 0.05 (Table 2). In a study carried out by Zakaria and Mokhtar (2014), the pH value of apple cider vinegar was found to be 3.10 ± 0.00 in parallel with our results.

The mean ash content of our samples was determined to be $3.4 \pm 0.11 \text{ g/L}$ (Table 2). In the study of Dabija and Hatnean (2014), the ash content in apple cider vinegar was determined to be $3.25 \pm 1.25 \text{ g/L}$. This difference between the studies is considered to be due to higher mineral matter content of apple cider vinegar compared to date vinegar.

The mean conductivity values of date vinegar samples were determined to be $4.92 \pm 0.04 \text{ }\mu\text{S/cm}$ (Table 2). In the study carried out by Siddeeg et al. (2019), it was determined that the conductivity value of date vinegar was $3.10 \pm 0.15 \text{ }\mu\text{S/cm}$, which was lower than our results. This difference between the studies is considered to be due to the types of dates used in the studies and, production and post-production storage times.

No alcohol was detected in any of the vinegar samples after six months of storage (Table 2). In a study carried out by Bayram et al. (2018), it was determined that the alcohol values of apple cider vinegar were below 0.5%. There is a difference between that study and our study. This difference between the studies is due to the difference in storage time after production.

In this study, the mean total acidity values of date vinegar samples were found to be $11.88 \pm 0.12 \text{ g/L}$ (Table 2). In the study carried out by Dabija and Hatnean (2014), it was determined that the total acidity value of apple cider vinegar was $6.45 \pm 2.55 \text{ g/L}$, which was lower than our results. The differences between the two studies were due to the differences in raw materials used in production, fermentation time and storage time after fermentation.

The mean total antioxidant and total phenolic values of vinegar samples were determined to be $103.42 \pm 6.43 \text{ (}\mu\text{g TE/mL)}$ and $231.37 \pm 44.44 \text{ (mg GAE/L)}$, respectively (Table 2). In their study, Bakir et al. 2016 determined that the total antioxidant values of grape and apple cider vinegar were 1612 ± 244 and $1087 \pm 149 \text{ (mg TEAC/100mL)}$, respectively and their total phenolic content was 842 ± 171 and $459 \pm 58 \text{ (mg GAE/100mg)}$, respectively. There are differences between the values obtained as a result of our study and the results obtained due to the fact that date vinegar is rich in carotenoids, phytosterols and bioactive components and that total antioxidant and total phenolic values are higher.

In our study, the mean mineral material values of date vinegar were determined as Potassium; $163.25 \pm 0.67 \text{ ppm}$, Phosphorus; $39.43 \pm 0.50 \text{ ppm}$, Sodium; $31.68 \pm 0.08 \text{ ppm}$, Calcium; $9.63 \pm 0.18 \text{ ppm}$ and Magnesium; $2.58 \pm 0.30 \text{ ppm}$, respectively (Table 3). In the study on the mineral matter content of apple cider vinegar, Dabija and Hatnean (2014) determined the mineral matter values as Aluminum; $237.71 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$, Sodium; $37.69 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$, Calcium; $32.03 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$, Strontium; $14.91 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$ and Nickel; $13.41 \text{ }\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively. The difference between that study and the results of our study was due to the fruits used as raw materials.

The mean sensory analysis scores (color, aroma odor, appearance and general appreciation) given to vinegar samples by the panelists were 6.75 ± 0.35 , 5.25 ± 0.41 , 3.75 ± 0.27 , 6.75 ± 0.15 and 6.45 ± 0.52 respectively (Table 1). Similarly, in a study carried out by Siddeeg et al. 2019, the sensory analysis color, aroma, odor, appearance and general appreciation values of date vinegar were found to be 6.85, 6.90, 7.60, 7.50 and 6.00 respectively.

Table 1. Physical and Sensory Analysis Results of Date Vinegar

Analyzes								
Samples	Brix (°Brix)	Density (g/cm ³)	L*	Color a*	b*	Color	Aroma	S
Date Vinegar	3.63±0.07	1.014±0.05	27.50±0.87	1.58±0.29	1.61±0.17	6.75±0.35	5.25±0.41	3.7

Table 2. Chemical Analysis Results of Date Vinegar

Analyzes						
Samples	Dry Matter Content (%)	pH	Ash (g/L)	Conductivity (μS/cm)	Alcohol (%)	Total Acidity (g/L)
Date Vinegar	3.45±0.07	3.44±0.05	3.4±0.11	4.92±0.04	-	11.88±0.12

Table 3. Mineral Analysis Results of Date Vinegar

Analyzes								
Samples	Na (ppm)	Mg (ppm)	K (ppm)	Ca (ppm)	P (ppm)	Fe (ppm)	B (ppm)	Mn (ppm)
Date Vinegar	31.68±0.08	2.58±0.30	163.25±0.67	9.63±0.18	39.43±0.50	0.75±0.00	0.31±0.00	0.47±0.00

4. Conclusions

In this study, physical, chemical and sensory quality properties of date vinegar produced by conventional method were determined.

In physical analyses, it was determined that there were differences only in color values and it is considered that it was due to the fact that more than one varieties of date were used and the geography where it was grown was effective. In chemical analyses, dry matter, ash, alcohol, total acidity, and mineral values were found to be different from other studies. The difference between the studies was due to the differences in the types of dates used and in storage conditions.

Furthermore, the total antioxidant and total phenolic values of date vinegar were found to be quite high. This feature is due to the fact that date fruit is rich in carotenoids, phytosterols and group B vitamins.

Nowadays, most of the diseases are treated using chemical and synthetic drugs. These drugs have side effects that seriously threaten human health. This result directs human beings towards natural, herbal treatment methods. In particular, the antioxidant capacity of date vinegar content and high total phenolic ratio suggest that it can be used in the treatment of many diseases. Furthermore, the fact that date vinegar is a strong source of phosphorus was determined by this study. It is known that the foods with the highest levels of phosphorus are sea products, goat's milk and dairy products produced from it. For people who do not consume these foods, date vinegar can be recommended as an alternative source.

It has been determined that date vinegar is an extremely useful food for human health from many aspects, such as lowering bad cholesterol, having antioxidant properties, preventing cancer, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, due to phytochemicals and phosphorus it contains. In addition to all these, it is clear that date vinegar with functional features should be promoted in a way to reach the large masses and, necessary studies should be conducted to move the production from home conditions to industrial dimension.

5. Acknowledge

This research was presented as an oral presentation at the 5th International Eurasian Congress on Natural Nutrition, Healthy Life & Sport, Ankara, NATURAL 2019.

References

- Aadil, R. M. (2015). A potential of ultrasound on minerals, microorganisms, phenolic compounds and colouring pigments of grapefruit juice. *Int. J. Food Sci. Tech*, 50, 1144-1150.
- Al-Harrasi, A., Rehman, N. U., Hussain, J., Khan, A. L., Al-Rawahi, A. & Gilani, S. A. (2014). Nutritional assessment and antioxidant analysis of 22 date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) varieties growing in sultanate of oman. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med*, 7(1), 591-598.
- Anonymous. (1990). Recueil des Methodes Internationales D'Analyse des Vins et des Mouts, Office International de labak Vigne et du Vin, Paris, 368s.
- AOAC. (2000a). Official methods of analysis of the (17th ed.). 930.35 (d) Vinegars. Washington: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- AOAC. (2000b). Official methods of analysis of the (17th ed.). 985.19. Washington: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- AOAC. (2016a). Official methods of analysis (20th ed.). 930.15. Washington, DC: Association of Analytical Chemists.
- AOAC. (2016b). Official methods of analysis of the (20th ed.). 981.12. Washington: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Bakir, S., Toydemir, G. Boyacioglu, D., Beekwilder, J. & Capanoglu, E. (2016). Fruit antioxidants during vinegar processing: changes in content and in vitro bio-accessibility. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*, 17(10), 1658.
- Bayram, M., Kaya, C., Yucel, E. E., Er, B., Gulmez, E. & Terzioglu, E. (2018). Some quality properties of rice vinegar and various commercial vinegar samples. *Akademic Food Journal*, 16(3), 293-300.
- Bertoncelj, J., Dobersek, U., Jamnik, M. & Golob, T. (2007). Evaluation of the phenolic content, antioxidant activity and colour of Slovenian honey. *Food Chem*, 105(2), 822-828.
- Budak, H. N. (2010). A research on compositional and functional properties of vinegars produced from apple and grape. Ph.D. Thesis. Suleyman Demirel University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Isparta, Turkey.
- Chao, C. C. T. & Krueger, R. R. (2007). The date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.): Overview of biology uses and cultivation. *Hortscience*, 42(5), 1077-1082.
- Dabija, A. & Hatnean, C. A. (2014). Study concerning the quality of apple vinegar obtained through classical method. *J. Agroaliment. Processes Technol*, 20(4), 304-310.
- Garcia-Garcia, I., Cantero-Moreno, D., Jimenez-Ot, C., Baena-Ruano, S., Jimenez-Hornero, J., Santos-Duenas, I., Bonilla-Venceslada, J. & Barja, F. (2006). Estimating the mean acetification rate via on-line monitored changes in ethanol during a semicontinuous vinegar production cycle. *J. Food Eng*, 80(2), 460-464.
- Hafzan, Y., Saw, J. W. & Fadzilah, I. (2017). Physicochemical properties, total phenolic content, and antioxidant capacity of homemade and commercial date (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) vinegar. *Int. Food Res. J*, 24(6), 2557-2562.
- Haroun, M. I. (2006). Determination of phenolic and flavonoid profiles of some floral and honeydew honeys produced in Turkey. Ph.D. Thesis. Ankara University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Ankara, Turkey.
- Hazzouri, K. M., Flowers, J. M., Visser, H. J., Khierallah, H. S., Rosas, U., Pham, G. M., Meyer R. S., Johansen, C. K., Fresquez, Z. A., Masmoudi, K., Haider, N., El Kadri, N. Idaghdour, Y., Malek, J. A., Thirkhill, D., Markhand, G. S., Krueger, R. R., Zaid, A. & e-ISSN: 2148-2683

- Purugganan, M. D. (2015). Whole genome re-sequencing of date palms yields insights into diversification of a fruit tree crop. *Nat. Commun*, 6, 8824.
- Kadas, Z. (2011). Determination of bioactive properties and metabolic effects of hawthorn vinegar. M.Sc. Thesis. Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Bolu, Turkey.
- Siddeeg, A., Zeng, X. A., Rahaman, A., Manzoor, M. F., Ahmed, Z. & Ammar, A. F. (2019). Quality characteristics of the processed dates vinegar under influence of ultrasound and pulsed electric field treatments. *J. Food Sci. Technol*, 56(9), 4380-4389.
- Taslipinar, E. S. (2018). Effect of vinegar production process on bioactivity of some traditional fruits. M.Sc. Thesis. Yildiz Technical University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, İstanbul, Turkey.
- Treck, J. & Teuber, M. (2002). Genetic and restriction analysis of the 16S-23S rDNA internal transcribed spacer regions of the acetic acid bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett*, 208, 69-75.
- Unal, E. (2007). A study on vinegar production from Dimrit grape by different methods. M.Sc. Thesis. Çukurova University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Adana, Turkey.
- Voss, D. H. (1992). Relating colorimeter measurement of plant color to the royal horticultural society colour chart. *Hortscience*, 27(12), 1256-1260.
- Zakaria, F. & Mokhtar, S. I. (2014). Comparisons of the proximate values, mineral elements and heavy metals contents in three local fruits vinegars with the apple cider vinegar. *International Conference on Food Innovation*, Penang, Malaysia.