

## PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: IMPLEMENTATION IN TURKISH LAW OF OIL POLLUTION CONVENTIONS - SOME  
RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

AUTHORS: Dolunay Özbek

PAGES: 599-608

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/99393>

# IMPLEMENTATION CONVENTION

national legislative ap  
exercise of unilateral U  
rejecting international c  
Law no. 5312 specifical  
international obligation  
of being mindful of m  
similar vain, the appro  
Maritime Traffic Safe  
Marmara Region.

Also, while the US Ac  
innocent passage or tra



## *Implementation in Turkish*

(hereinafter SOLAS), 1  
Preventing Collision  
International Convent  
(hereinafter CLC), tog  
Establishment of an In  
Damage<sup>13</sup> (hereinafter  
conditions, but also o  
whether the flag State

There is also a requir  
vessel and its cargo pri  
the usual practice for

innocent passage and conditions. Moreover, passage, State practice into the territorial sea in non-innocent passage similar grace period in vessel and its cargo; where an objection w terms of the Law, that other hazardous cargo



## *Implementation in Turkish*

to adopt laws and regulations for the regulation of maritime traffic through the Montreux Convention. The passage through such facilities, whether the imposition of such responsibility are in accordance with the Convention.

### **A. Article 5**

Article 5 of Law no.531 on the regulation of coastal facilities within the scope of the Convention, in accordance with the obligations on safety

regarding safety of navigation, the coastal State may require inspection and impose fines. Even the violation of monetary penalties<sup>21</sup> for violations in the territorial sea entails quite severe penalties and proceedings (also see the provisions for expulsions for violations which do not require practice, among them



## *Implementation in Turkish*

Pollution Damage.<sup>26</sup> He  
only required to carry s  
*party State*. Hence, as f  
party to CLC is concern  
“the coastal State may  
provisions of [UNCLOS  
... (a) the safety of navi  
(art.21), the said “other  
States include treaties  
these guarantees is in o  
the CLC to issue such  
States however the su



compensated for any  
the environment, thus  
Secondly, the maintenance  
implementation of the  
to ensure that the co  
authorities but are di

In view of the signif  
international environ  
financial responsibilit  
coastal State prescri  
regulatory measures”

## *Implementation in Turkey*

in view of art.2 of the Convention, Turkey is not required to provide insurance without any formalities. The Mandatory insurance problem is much less significant through the Turkish support of a Black Sea riparian to CLC<sup>37</sup> and thus only in Ukraine might considering that under the Convention freedoms, such as fishing, make a strong claim



without calling at a  
Regulations for the '  
requiring information  
legislation certainly d  
let alone the *right* o  
implementation of Tu  
which is not prejudic  
necessary for the hea  
the Straits (which w  
conduct rules in the fo  
reported that only 4 o  
year 2002 have failed