PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: The Effects of Annealing Parameters on Earing for Aluminum Alloy

AUTHORS: Kamil DELIKANLI

PAGES: 0-0

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/204145



Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi YEKARUM e-DERGİ (Journal of YEKARUM) Yıl 2014/Cilt 2/Sayı 3



The Effects of Annealing Parameters on Earing for Aluminum Alloy

Kamil DELİKANLI

Makine Mühendisliği Bölümü, Mühendislik Fakültesi, Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi, Isparta, TÜRKİYE Yazarın e-posta adresi: <u>kamildelikanli@sdu.edu.tr</u>

ABSTRACT:

The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of annealing parameters on the earing problem in deep drawing of AA 1060 alloy. It is also objected to minimize the percent earing by changing annealing parameters. In experiments, AA 1060 aluminum sheets with 1,5 mm thickness were annealed at different conditions as follow: temperatures were changed from 225° C to 400° C at 10 different points, annealing time were changed from 0 to 60 minutes at 5 different periods. Then heat-treated specimens were tested at Zwick tensile test machine. From test results, percent earing values were determined. After comparing all test results each other, it was seen that the percent earing was determined as 8,49 for untreated AA 1060 alloy but the percent earing was found as 3,62 for specimens which were annealed at optimum treating parameters as 300° C temperature and 30 minutes time. An obvious reduction was determined in percent earing. Finally, it was determined in this study that earing-anisotropy ratio relationship given by some researchers for different aluminum alloys in invalid for AA 1060.

Keywords: AA 1060, Annealing, Earing

1. INTRODUCTION

AA 1060 aluminum alloy is widely used in electrical and technical industry because of its at least 99.00 percent purity. In spite of its high corrosion resistance, good heat conduction properties and high workability, strength of AA 1060 is low. In deep drawing processes, some problems occur. The sources of the problems may be explained as fallow: deep drawing machine properties die and punch design and mechanical properties of used sheet metal. There are lots of parameters which cause the same deep drawing problem at the same time. Therefore generally, it is impossible to determine which parameter has an effect on the problem, at that time. In spite of this, according to the main reasons, deep drawing problems may be divided into two main groups. The first group is machines and process parameters. Used sheet-metal properties may be accepted as a second group [1]. In this study, the second group problem sources were investigated.

Earing: Earing is the formation of a wavy edge on the top a drawn cup. Every wavy part of the edge of cup is called ear. This problem is often-seen deep drawing problem. Although, after deep drawing two, four, six or eight ears may be formed. Four-ear formed cup have been seen most widely [2,3,4,5]. According to the direction of ears to the rolling direction, earing can be divided into two types [6,7,8].

- i) 0° , 90° earing
- ii) 45° earing.

The main reason which causes earing is anisotropic characteristics of blank sheet which has crystallographic texture. The direction and rate of crystallographic texture effect the direction and dimension of ears [8]. Greater ears means to use much more sheet material and additional edge cutting process [9]. Although formation of ear depends on the average anisotropy, ear shape and direction is a function of the planar anisotropy and earing can be directly correlated with the planar anisotropy [3,10,11,12,13].

Anisotropy behavior of materials has most significant effect on deep draw ability of

sheet. Metals have undergone extensive plastic deformation, show anisotropy behavior in mechanical properties and plastic deformation on different directions due to preferred grain orientation at structure. Even in the case of untextured metals showing isotropic or almost isotropic yielding behavior, ductility can be very anisotropic [14].

2. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

In this study, cold-rolled AA 1060 aluminum sheets 1,5 mm in thickness produced by Etibank Seydisehir Aluminum Corp. were used aluminum sheets which were heattreated between 225°C-400°C temperature range at different annealing durations as 0, 30, 45 and 60 minutes. Then, according to TSE 138, tensile test specimens were prepared from annealed sheets at the directions which make 0° , 45° and 90° angle to rolling direction tensile test specimens were tested at Zwick made testing machine. Using tensile test results anisotropy values were determined at 0° , 45° and 90° directions. On the other hand, deep drawing specimen sheets 55 mm inner diameter were prepared and cups 30 mm inner diameter were produced by deep drawing. The purpose of this small scale in deep drawing process was to measure earing nature of the tested sheets. To be able to determine percent earing, equation (1) was use.

% Earing =
$$[(H_{1 ave} - H_{0 ave}) / H_{1 ave}] * 100$$
 (1)

Where; H_{1 ave} : Average ear height

H_{0 ave} : Average ditch height

Calculated anisotropy values from test results depending on the annealing temperatures were given in table 1. Changing in Erichsen depth values with annealing temperatures are shown in Figure 1.and Figure 2. As a second parameter, annealing time is also given in that figure. The relationships between percent earing and annealing temperature were plotted in Figure 3. and Figure 4. To be able to compare the results, there different curves were plotted in the same figure.



Figure 1. Erichsen test results



Figure 2. Annealing temperature and Erichsen depth relationship for AA 1060 alloy.

Table 1. Calculated anisotropy values from test
results depending on the annealing
temperatures for AA 1060.

Annealing Temp. (°C)	Annealing Time (min)	R _o	R ₄₅	R ₉₀	R _{ave}	ΔR
225	0	0,440	2,130	1,060	1,440	-1,380
	15	0,470	2,370	1,990	1,550	-1,640
	30	0,690	2,330	1,430	1,695	-1,270
	45	0,600	2,300	1,400	1,650	-1,300
	60	0,370	1,590	1,340	1,223	-0,735
250	0	0,620	1,510	1,150	1,198	-0,625
	15	0,480	1,440	1,530	1,223	-0,435
	30	0,580	0,910	1,590	0,998	-0,175
	45	0,600	1,500	1,590	1,298	-0,405
	60	0,610	1,560	1,940	1,418	-0,285
300	0	0,420	1,200	1,520	1,085	-0,230
	15	0,620	1,700	0,800	1,205	-0,990
	30	0,710	0,520	0,700	0,613	0,185
	45	0,780	0,470	0,800	0,630	0,320
	60	0,840	0,410	0,860	0,638	0,440
360	0	0,800	0,600	0,770	0,683	0,185
	15	0,910	0,440	1,010	0,708	0,520
	30	0,800	0,720	1,010	0,813	0,185
	45	0,990	0,760	0,880	0,847	0,185
	60	0,890	0,590	1,060	0,783	0,385
400	0	0,950	0,550	0,810	0,715	0,330
	15	0,880	0,630	0,880	0,755	0,250
	30	1,000	0,560	0,780	0,725	0,330
	45	0,870	0,470	0,820	0,658	0,375
	60	0,990	0,630	1,030	0,820	0,380





Figure 3. Earing test results.



Figure 4. Annealing temperature and % earing relations for AA 1060 alloy.

3. RESULTS

For AA 1060 aluminum sheets, foolowing results were concluded.

1. Erichsen depth which is an important indicator of deep drawability increases with the annealing temperatures. It was determined that the maximum value of Erichsen depth is 23.09 mm for 360°C annealing temperature and 0 minute annealing time.

- 2. High amount of deformation takes place in 0 and 90-degree respect to rolling direction and in this area thickness of cup wall is less than bottom of cup. Minimum cup height is observed at 45 degree.
- 3. Average vertical anisotropy value (R_{ave}) for AA 1060 was given as 0.74 in some research reports. These values for aluminum alloys are at 0.60 - 0.85 range. R_{ave} value shows the deep drawing characteristic of material. If $R_{ave} > 0.85$, the deep draw ability of materials is good and if Rave < 0.60, the deep draw ability of materials is poor [14,15]. In this study, Rave values were determined between 1.198 and 0.658 range. These results show that determined Rave values indicate good deep draw ability of tested material.
- 4. It was determined that percent earing decrease gradually values for temperatures higher than 300°C. If the earing value for 300°C was compared to the earing value for 225°C, 35 percent used materials was saved. This result means that in mass production used material saving will be increased. In this study, it was also concluded that percent earinganisotropy rate ($R_{ave} / \Delta R$) relationship for aluminum alloys which was given by some researches[10] is not valid for AA 1060 alloy which has 99.60 percent purity.

<u>REFERENCES</u>

[1]. Çetin, R., Şimsek, A.T.; "Alüminyum Soğuk Levhaların Derin Çekilmesinde Karşılaşılan Problemler ve Ingot Homojenizasyonunun Bu Problemlerin Çözümüne Katkısı", Selçuk Üniversitesi Dergisi, 1988, Konya. [2]. Kayali, E.S., Ensari, C.; "Metallerde Plastik Şekil Verme İlke ve Uygulamaları", ITU Kimya-Metalurji Fakultesi Yayın No:86-1, 1985, Istanbul.

[3]. Dieter, G.E.; "Mechanical Metallury", Mc-Graw Hill, Kogakusha Ltd, 1976, Tokyo.

[4]. Yegerova, L.S., Kalinkin, G.; "Aluminyum Alasımları", EATGB Tercume Yayinlari No:147, 1978, Seydisehir.

[5]. Altenpohl, D.; "Aluminum Viewed from Within, an Introduction into the Metallurg of Alumiium Fabrication", Aluminium Verlag, 1982, Dusseldorf.

[6]. Falkenstain, R., P.; "Formability of Aluminum Sheet Alloys (II)", Aluminum, 58, pp.701-709, 1982.

[7]. Higgins, R.A.; "Engineering Metallurgy, Part II, Metallurgical Process Technology", Hodder and Stoughton, 1974, Hong Kong.

[8]. Honeycombe, R.W.K.; "Plastic Deformation of Metals", Edward Arnold, 1968, London.

[9]. Deliküçük, Y.; "Al-Mn İşlem Alaşımlarında Tav Parametrelerinin Derin Çekilebilirliğe Etkileri", S.U.FBE., 1989, Konya.

[10]. Rogers, R.W., Anderson, W.A.; "Effect of Plastic Anisotropy on Drawing Characteristics of Aluminum Alloy Sheet", Drawing and Sheet Metal Forming, pp 185-188, 1985.

[11]. Öztürk, T., Orhaner, F.O., Kalay, G.;
Etial-52 Levhaların
Biçimlendirilebilirliği", 5. Metalurji
Kongresi, 1988, Ankara.

[12]. Delikanli, K.; "Soğuk Hadde ÜrünüTeknikAlüminyumunDerinÇekilmesindeTavlamaSuresive

Sıcaklığının Şekillendirilme Kabiliyetine Etkileri", Selçuk Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Doktora Tezi, 1992, Konya.

[13]. Chekhonin, P., Beausir, B. and etc.; "Mechanical Anisotropy of Aluminum Laminates Produced by ARB" Materials Science Forum Vols. 702-703 (2012) pp 151-156, 2012

[14]. Çimenoğlu, H., Kayalı, E.S.;"AlüminyumAlaşımlarınınŞekillendirilebilirliğiniEtkileyenFaktörler", II. UluslararasıAlüminyumSanayii Kongresi, 1984, Seydişehir.

[15]. Zhao, Z., Mao, W., Roters, F. and Raabe, D.; "A texture optimization study for minimum earing in aluminum by use of a texture component crystal plasticity finite element method", Elsevier, Acta Materialia vol. 52. pp, 1003–1012, 2004