Invited Review / Davetli Derleme
doi: 10.18678/dtfd.1497730

Duzce Med J, 2024;26(S1):1-13
Düzce Tıp Fak Derg, 2024;26(S1):1-13

Ethical Principles and Rules in Experimental Animal Studies: A Comprehensive Review

Deneysel Hayvan Çalışmalarında Etik İlke ve Kurallar: Kapsamlı Bir İnceleme

Kardelen KOCAMAN KALKAN[1]
0000-0002-5749-5687
Meral KEKEÇOĞLU[2]
0000-0002-2564-8343

[1]Experimental Animals Application and Research Center, Düzce University, Düzce, Türkiye

[2]Department of Biology, Düzce University Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Düzce, Türkiye

Corresponding Author
Sorumlu Yazar
Meral KEKEÇOĞLU
meralkekecoglu@duzce.edu.tr

Received / Geliş Tarihi : 03.04.2024
Accepted / Kabul Tarihi : 14.05.2024
Available Online / Çevrimiçi Yayın Tarihi : 07.06.2024

ABSTRACT

When doing scientific research including animal experiments, it is crucial to prioritize ethical issues due to the many moral, legal, and scientific aspects involved. This study provides an in-depth analysis of the core ethical concepts and regulations that govern experimental investigations using animals. The 3R principle (replacement, reduction, refinement), which prioritizes the ethical treatment of animals especially in scientific research, is emphasized. Tracing the historical development of ethical rules sheds light on the important events that shaped the creation of important principles. The review examines the complex relationship between scientific investigation and ethical concerns, focusing on the idea of informed consent in relation to animal care. Also, this explores the ethical dilemmas that arise from experimental techniques and sheds light on how researchers ensure the well-being of the animals involved. The crucial importance of an ethical committee is emphasized in guaranteeing strict ethical standards. The examination of ethical concerns related to certain animal models, and analysis of differing perspectives among the scientific community is done. At the same time, it examines the latest developments in experimental animal research, providing insight into the future of ethical issues in this ever-evolving area. To summarize, this review not only synthesizes the main discoveries and ethical concerns in experimental animal studies but also highlights potential future paths. It supports the idea of continuing to balance scientific progress in the field of experimental animal studies with ethical obligations by suggesting additional areas of research and ethical review.
Keywords: Animal experimentation; ethics; replacement; reduction; refinement.

ÖZ

Hayvan deneyleri de dahil olmak üzere bilimsel araştırma yaparken, birçok ahlaki, hukuki ve bilimsel yön nedeniyle etik konulara öncelik vermek çok önemlidir. Bu çalışma, hayvanlar kullanılarak yapılan deneysel araştırmaları yöneten temel etik kavramların ve düzenlemelerin derinlemesine bir analizini sunmaktadır. Özellikle bilimsel araştırmalarda hayvanlara etik muameleye öncelik veren 3R ilkesi (yer değiştirme, azaltma, iyileştirme) vurgulanmaktadır. Etik kuralların tarihsel gelişiminin izini sürerek önemli ilkelerin oluşumunu şekillendiren önemli olaylara ışık tutmaktadır. Bu derleme, hayvan bakımıyla ilgili bilgilendirilmiş onam fikrine odaklanarak bilimsel araştırma ile etik kaygılar arasındaki karmaşık ilişkiyi incelemektedir. Ayrıca, deneysel tekniklerden kaynaklanan etik ikilemleri araştırarak ve araştırmacıların ilgili hayvanların refahını nasıl sağladıklarına ışık tutulmaktadır. Etik kurulların, katı etik standartların garanti edilmesindeki hayati önemi vurgulanmaktadır. Belirli hayvan modelleriyle ilgili etik kaygıları inceleyerek bilim camiasındaki farklı bakış açılarını analiz etmektedir. Aynı zamanda, deneysel hayvan araştırmalarındaki en son gelişmeleri inceleyerek sürekli gelişen bu alandaki etik konuların geleceğine dair fikir verilmektedir..
Özetlemek gerekirse, bu derleme yalnızca deneysel hayvan çalışmalarındaki ana keşifleri ve etik kaygıları sentezlemekle kalmamakta, aynı zamanda gelecekteki potansiyel yolları da vurgulamaktadır. Ek araştırma ve etik inceleme alanları önererek, deneysel hayvan çalışmaları alanındaki bilimsel ilerlemeyi etik yükümlülüklerle dengelemeye devam etme fikrini desteklemektedir.
Anahtar kelimeler: Hayvan deneyleri; etik; yer değiştirme; azaltma; iyileştirme.

INTRODUCTION

The Significance of Experimental Animal Studies in Scientific Research

Experimental animal research is crucial for improving scientific understanding and achieving medicinal advances. These investigations are crucial instruments for researchers who aim to comprehend biological processes, experiment with theories, and formulate novel therapies. Animal models serve as a bridge between basic research and therapeutic applications, enabling scientists to study intricate processes in living species before applying the findings to human situations. The utilization of experimental animals provides distinct benefits, allowing researchers to change variables, regulate surroundings, and examine physiological reactions in manners that are frequently impracticable or immoral in experiments involving humans (1). Experimental animal research plays a crucial role in advancing medical knowledge, providing significant insights into the genetic causes of illnesses, and evaluating the safety and effectiveness of proposed treatments (1,2). While recognizing the valuable contributions of animal research to scientific progress, it is imperative to also address the ethical implications involved with these experiments. Maintaining a careful equilibrium between scientific investigation and ethical duty is a constant difficulty, leading to continual debates on improving research methods and adopting other techniques. This study explores the ethical principles and regulations that govern experimental animal studies, acknowledging their importance in scientific exploration and the necessity of maintaining ethical standards in the quest for knowledge.

The Ethical Dilemmas Associated With Using Animals in Research

The use of animals in scientific study is accompanied by several ethical difficulties, which provoke extensive debates among scientists and the wider population (4). The core of these conflicts is the conflict between the indisputable scientific advantages gained from animal research and the moral need to protect the welfare of live creatures. An important ethical problem arises from the inherent worth of animal lives. Animals employed in research, being aware beings capable of experiencing pain and discomfort, give rise to ethical concerns regarding the moral grounds for their involvement in studies (4). Finding a middle ground between expanding scientific understanding and acknowledging the intrinsic worth of animal life may be a tricky balancing act (2,3,5). Another ethical quandary emerges due to the possible anguish animals may experience during experimental operations. The ethical ramifications of causing discomfort or agony for research reasons are substantial, ranging from invasive operations to exposure to potentially toxic drugs (3,5). Researchers have the challenge of balancing the need to prevent harm with the goal of attaining scientific objectives, which leads to an ongoing search for improved procedures and alternatives. When doing ethical research with people, obtaining informed permission is essential. However, applying this principle becomes more complex when dealing with animals. Due to the fact that animals are unable to provide explicit agreement, it is crucial to carefully examine their well-being. Researchers must traverse the ethical landscape of assuring the compassionate care of animals, while also addressing problems of autonomy and ethical accountability (5,6). Furthermore, the choice of animal models in itself poses an intricate ethical quandary. Selecting species that accurately reflect human physiology and illness, while also recognizing the distinct characteristics of each species, necessitates a conscientious and ethical approach (2,4,6). The inclusion of species-specific responses and the applicability of findings to human situations introduce additional ethical intricacies to the selection process. It is important to recognize the changing ethical issues in experimental animal studies as we deal with these moral difficulties. Researchers, ethicists, and regulatory agencies work together to create recommendations that aim to tackle these problems while promoting scientific progress (3,5). This study examines the ethical principles and regulations that govern experimental animal experiments, aiming to clarify the intricate relationship between scientific advancement and ethical accountability.

The Purpose and Scope of the Review

This paper aims to thoroughly examine the ethical complexities inherent in experimental animal studies within the field of scientific inquiry. The study seeks to provide a comprehensive summary of the historical progression, fundamental ethical principles, and developing patterns in this important field by combining current knowledge. Our primary focus is to analyze ethical concerns related to the utilization of animals in scientific research. This encompasses a thorough examination of issues pertaining to the well-being of animals, deliberations on obtaining informed permission, and the ethical rationales for their involvement in research. The review aims to offer valuable insights into the ethical aspects that come with scientific advancement through these research. Additionally, this research aims to assess the efficacy of oversight systems, namely the function of ethical committees, in guaranteeing compliance with ethical norms and guidelines in experimental animal experiments. The review explores current discussions and disagreements among scientists, contributing to a thorough understanding of the ethical issues related to certain animal models and experimental methods. This review has a wide range, encompassing several aspects of the ethical environment in experimental animal experiments. The review starts by examining the historical backdrop, delving into the origins and development of ethical norms. This establishes a solid foundation for a comprehensive understanding of the ethical framework that governs such investigations. A substantial part of the paper is focused on analyzing the three core ethical concepts of replacement, reduction, and refinement. This analysis seeks to clarify the use of these principles in order to reduce the impact on animals, highlighting the changing tactics used by researchers to maintain ethical standards. The issues of informed consent and animal welfare are of utmost importance within the context. The paper examines the ethical ramifications associated with the notion of informed consent in the realm of animal welfare, highlighting the researcher's duty to provide compassionate care during trials. The scope includes the assessment of supervision and compliance methods, providing insight into the procedures carried out by

committees to authorize and supervise animal experiments. The study also includes discussions on current debates and new trends in ethical concerns. This recognizes the ever-changing ethical duties in the field of experimental animal studies. The review seeks to make a relevant contribution to the continuing discussion about the ethical implications of experimental animal studies by addressing several complex factors. It aspires to provide helpful insights for researchers, ethicists, and policymakers.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF ETHICAL GUIDELINES

The Historical Development of Ethical Guidelines for Experimental Animal Studies

The ethical concerns pertaining to experimental animal experiments have experienced a substantial evolution throughout history. The development of ethical principles in this field demonstrates a deliberate attempt to reconcile the quest for scientific knowledge with the ethical handling of animals used in research. Centuries ago, there were documented cases of doing scientific experiments on animals without taking ethical issues into account (7). Nevertheless, with the growth of the scientific community and increased social consciousness about animal care, ethical issues started to arise. An important milestone in the historical progression of ethical norms occurred in 1959 with the release of William Russell and Rex Burch's influential paper, "The Principles of Humane Experimental Technique" (7). This revolutionary publication proposed the "3 Rs" - Replacement, Reduction, and Refinement - as fundamental principles for doing ethical research using animals. The principles promote the exploration of alternative ways to replace animal testing and lower the overall number of animals involved. They also emphasize the improvement of experimental procedures to minimize possible harm and enhance animal welfare (7). During the latter part of the 20th century, there was a significant increase in worldwide recognition of ethical concerns associated with animal research (7,10). The usage of animals in scientific research has led to the emergence of ethical principles and laws, prompting nations and institutions to build frameworks to control this practice. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the European Union, among other prominent institutions, have formulated recommendations that underscore the significance of ethical issues in experimental protocols (9). The release of the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals" by the Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (ILAR) in 1963, along with later updates, emphasized the need for ethical standards. This guide has since become a fundamental text, influencing the moral framework of experimental animal studies by offering extensive rules for the proper care, utilization, and ethical treatment of animals in study (10). Over the past few decades, there has been an increasing focus on the importance of openness, accountability, and public involvement in conversations on the ethical aspects of animal research (7-10). The progress in technology and scientific approaches has prompted a renewed emphasis on seeking alternatives to animal utilization whenever feasible (4). As we examine the historical progression of ethical principles in experimental animal research, it becomes clear that ethical issues have shifted from being a secondary concern to being a fundamental principle of competent scientific investigation. The continuous dedication to improving ethical standards demonstrates a shared recognition of the moral responsibility to responsibly care for animals while pushing the boundaries of knowledge via research (8). Having a historical background is essential for comprehending the ethical norms that govern modern experimental animal investigation.

Landmark Events or Studies That Prompted the Establishment of Ethical Principles

The development of ethical norms in animal studies has been shaped by significant events and studies that have provided insight into the treatment of animals in scientific studies. The ethical concerns surrounding the use of animals in research have received considerable attention, resulting in the creation of standards and principles designed to ensure compassionate care and reduce unnecessary pain. Below are many significant milestones in the discourse around ethical concerns in animal studies.

The Cruelty to Animals Act (1876): This was an early piece of law in the United Kingdom that aimed to regulate the treatment of animals used in studies (11). The objective was to prevent the avoidable distress of animals and mandate a permit for conducting experiments. Although considered basic by contemporary criteria, it was a major milestone in acknowledging the moral ramifications of animal experimentation.

"The Principles of Humane Experimental Technique" by William Russell and Rex Burch (1959): This landmark paper presented the ideas of "Replacement, Reduction, and Refinement" as ethical guidelines for doing animal research. The text highlights the significance of seeking substitutes for animal utilization, decreasing the number of animals employed, and improving experimental techniques to minimize possible damage (12).

The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1963, undergone updates): This handbook, created by the ILAR, offers thorough suggestions for the ethical treatment and use of laboratory animals (13). It gained widespread recognition as a valuable resource for organizations and academics engaged in animal studies.

The Declaration of Helsinki (1964, revised in 1975 and 2008): Although its main focus is on human research, the Declaration of Helsinki has also had an impact on ethical issues in animal experiments. The principles of international ethical guidelines for medical research involving human participants have been taken into account in discussions addressing the ethical treatment of animals in medical and scientific investigations (14).

The Animal Welfare Act (1966): The Animal Welfare Act in the United States was a key legal measure that established guidelines for the compassionate treatment of animals employed in research, exhibition, and commerce. The implementation of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) was required to supervise and assess the ethical components of animal research methods (15).

The Phenomenon of Public Outcry and Animal Welfare Activism: Multiple instances of animal cruelty and public awareness initiatives resulted in heightened examination of animal research protocols. Notable instances, like the Silver Spring monkeys in the 1980s, sparked debates on the moral handling of animals in scientific studies and the necessity for openness.

Ethical Guidelines in the United States (1985): The IACUC in the United States was created in accordance with the Animal Welfare Act. The IACUC is tasked with ensuring that research institutes and facilities adhere to ethical principles for the treatment and use of animals in research, experimentation, and teaching (16).

Guiding Principles for the Care and Use of Animals (2011): This document is a set of principles that provide guidance on how to properly care for and use animals. Several institutions, including the NIH in the United States and the European Union, have created extensive protocols for the ethical implementation of animal research (17). The recommendations provide criteria for housing, care, and experimental methods to guarantee the welfare of animals participating in scientific research (17).

The Progress of Alternatives and Technology: Technological advancements, including the creation of in vitro models, computer simulations, and other alternative approaches, have offered researchers alternate options for employing animals in certain investigations (18). This has facilitated the improvement of ethical principles, advocating for the utilization of alternatives whenever feasible. These events and developments, along with others, have together shaped the creation and progression of ethical norms in experimental animal experiments (18). These actions demonstrate an increasing recognition of the moral obligations linked to utilizing animals in research and a continuous dedication to reducing harm and safeguarding the welfare of animals participated in scientific inquiries.

KEY ETHICAL PRINCIPLES

Within the field of scientific investigation, the ethical treatment of animals used in experiments is of utmost importance (19). This not only reflects our moral responsibilities but also ensures the integrity of the study. The core of this discussion revolves around a group of fundamental ethical principles that act as guiding principles in the planning, execution, and supervision of animal experiments (20). The ethics of compassion, respect for life, and scientific rigor serve as the basis for researchers as they traverse the intricate landscape of testing. It is crucial to comprehend and uphold these principles in order to build trust, maintain credibility, and promote progress in both knowledge and ethical standards in the field of experimental animal studies. This includes taking into account animal welfare and pursuing scientific advancement responsibly.

Principle of Replacement

The principle of replacement is a fundamental principle in ethical standards for scientific research using animals (19). It promotes the use of alternative methods that can replace or minimize the necessity for animal testing, wherever possible. This philosophy acknowledges the inherent worth and well-being of animals and strives to reduce their utilization in scientific research by pursuing alternative methodologies that can accomplish scientific goals without subjecting animals to experimental procedures (18-21). An essential approach to adopting the principle of replacement is the creation and application of alternative methodologies, such as in vitro models, computer simulations, and non-animal testing approaches (22). These techniques present feasible substitutes for conventional animal testing and can give an important understanding of biological processes, medication reactions, and disease causes without the necessity of using animals as test subjects. For instance, researchers can utilize cell cultures, organoids, and tissue engineering techniques to investigate intricate biological systems within a regulated setting (21,22). Similarly, computer simulations and mathematical models can accurately replicate physiological processes and forecast results with surprising precision (22). Moreover, the principle of replacement urges researchers to investigate novel methods that utilize advancements in technology and technique in order to decrease dependence on animal models. For example, employing cells and tissues obtained from humans, microfluidic devices, and bioinformatics tools can yield more pertinent and applicable data in comparison to conventional animal models (18-22). This enhances the scientific accuracy and ethical soundness of research projects. The principle of replacement is effectively implemented through collaboration and knowledge-sharing among members of the scientific community. Through the collaborative sharing of resources, data, and knowledge, researchers may expedite the progress and acceptance of alternative methodologies, assist the validation and standardization efforts, and encourage the general adoption of ethical and sustainable research practices. The principle of replacement demonstrates a dedication to ethical management, advancement in science, and responsible development in research (21,22). Researchers may respect ethical standards, improve animal care, and progress knowledge by adopting alternative methods and minimizing dependence on animal testing. This approach ensures scientific rigor and ethical integrity.

The Importance of Finding Alternative Methods to Replace Animal Use

It has the ability to optimize research procedures, decrease the amount of time and money needed for investigations, and alleviate issues regarding animal welfare rules and public scrutiny. Furthermore, giving priority to different methodologies improves the credibility and reliability of scientific research (23,24). Demonstrating a dedication to scientific integrity, openness, and accountability, enhances public trust in the scientific community and its efforts. Overall, the pursuit of alternative approaches to substitute animal utilization is not only a moral obligation but also a driving force for scientific advancement, effectiveness, and trustworthiness. By adopting alternative methodologies, researchers may enhance their understanding, advocate for ethical research protocols, and ultimately contribute to the improvement of both human and animal well-being (24).

Highlight Advancements in Technology and Other Methodologies

The progress in technology and other strategies has greatly increased the range of alternative ways accessible to researchers, providing inventive alternatives that can efficiently substitute or decrease the requirement for animal experiments. A significant progress may be observed in the domain of computational modeling and simulation. Scientists may use high-performance computing and advanced software algorithms to develop complex models of biological systems, accurately predicting the behavior

of molecules, cells, and organisms (25). These models allow researchers to replicate intricate physiological processes, medication interactions, and disease mechanisms, offering vital insights without the necessity of animal experiments (25). Advancements in in vitro methods have transformed biomedical research by providing meticulously controlled experimental settings that replicate some elements of human physiology. Organ-on-a-chip technologies mimic the shape and function of human organs in small devices that control the flow of fluids (26). This enables researchers to investigate drug reactions, toxicity, and disease mechanisms in an environment that closely resembles the human body (26). Compared to traditional animal models, these systems have benefits in terms of scalability, repeatability, and ethical issues. In addition, advancements in molecular and cellular biology have resulted in the creation of new procedures, including gene editing tools such as CRISPR-Cas9, stem cell technologies, and tissue engineering approaches (27,28). These techniques enable researchers to modify genes, construct tissues, and produce organoids that closely match the architecture and function of humans. Through the utilization of these methodologies, scientists are able to explore disease processes, evaluate therapeutic treatments, and examine biological phenomena with unparalleled accuracy and specificity. Furthermore, improvements in imaging technology have augmented our capacity to see and examine biological processes in real time and with great precision. Methods such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), and multiphoton microscopy allow researchers to monitor cellular dynamics, tissue shape, and physiological changes in living creatures without causing harm or damage (29). These imaging techniques provide valuable options instead of intrusive treatments and make it easier to conduct long-term investigations, hence minimizing the necessity for animal trials that result in the animal's death (29,30). In summary, the combination of technological advancements and scientific creativity has driven the progress of alternative approaches that not only substitute animal experimentation but also provide clear benefits in terms of precision, applicability, and ethical concerns (23). By adopting these technological innovations, researchers may enhance their understanding, expedite the process of drug discovery, and advocate for ethical and conscientious research methodologies.

Principle of Reduction

The principle of reduction is a crucial ethical principle in scientific research that pertains to animals. It highlights the need of limiting the number of animals utilized in tests, while yet attaining substantial scientific results (19). This concept recognizes that although certain experimentation may be required to further knowledge and solve significant scientific inquiries, it is crucial to strive towards limiting the total number of animals engaged in order to minimize possible harm and maximize efficiency (19,20). An effective approach to using the principle of reduction entails meticulous experimental design and rigorous statistical analysis. By utilizing rigorous statistical techniques and experimental designs, researchers may guarantee that investigations have sufficient statistical power to identify significant effects with the most minimal sample size (31). These methods, such as factorial designs, randomization, and stratification, are used to enhance the effectiveness of studies and minimize the requirement for a large number of animals. In addition, researchers might employ methodologies such as longitudinal studies, which include gathering data from the same animals over a period of time, in order to minimize the number of animals required for research purposes (31). Longitudinal studies enable researchers to collect various measures from individual animals, offering vital insights into developmental processes, illness progression, and therapy effects without requiring new subjects. The principle of reduction is effectively implemented through collaboration and data exchange among members of the scientific community (32). Researchers can prevent redundant experiments and enhance their own study by exchanging data and resources, thereby using existing datasets. This not only lowers the overall quantity of animals required for experiments but also fosters transparency, efficacy, and cooperation among the scientific community (38). Technological and methodological advancements provide further possibilities for decreasing the use of animals in research. For instance, the advancement of in vitro models, computer simulations, and non-invasive imaging tools enables researchers to investigate biological processes and disease pathways without relying on animal trials. These alternative strategies provide benefits in terms of effectiveness, scalability, and ethical concerns, further reinforcing the objectives of the principle of reduction (4,18,23). To summarize, the principle of reduction emphasizes the ethical need to decrease the utilization of animals in scientific research while yet attaining significant scientific results. Researchers may respect ethical standards, enhance animal welfare, and progress knowledge in a responsible and sustainable manner by using tactics such as meticulous experimental design, teamwork, and the utilization of alternative methodologies.

Strategies to Minimize the Number of Animals Used in Experiments

Researchers utilize many ways to limit the number of animals utilized in studies while still generating dependable and significant data. Scientists continuously improve experimental procedures to enhance efficiency and reduce unpredictability (32). This encompasses the process of establishing uniform processes, strengthening the methods used to gather data, and increasing the accuracy of measurements, thereby minimizing the necessity for doing experiments many times (20,31). By employing strong statistical approaches, researchers may plan trials with suitable sample sizes, guaranteeing enough statistical power to identify significant effects. Through meticulous experimental design and rigorous data analysis, researchers can reduce the number of animals needed while still obtaining statistically meaningful findings. Carefully planned experimental design is essential for reducing the number of animals used in research. By utilizing methods like as factorial designs, randomized controlled trials, and cross-over studies, researchers are able to optimize the amount of data obtained from each animal while lowering the overall number of animals required. Collaborative endeavors to exchange and repurpose data and resources across scientists can decrease duplication and eliminate the

necessity for further research. Researchers can utilize preexisting databases and research outcomes to inform their own investigations, so eliminating redundant experimentation (18). Researchers prioritize the use of non-invasive approaches wherever feasible to collect data. Non-invasive imaging techniques, behavioral evaluations, and remote monitoring technologies allow researchers to investigate physiological processes and behaviors in animals without using intrusive methods (18,19). This approach reduces the necessity for euthanasia and minimizes animal discomfort. Embracing alternative approaches, such as in vitro models, computer simulations, and tissue engineering, provides feasible alternatives to conventional animal experiments. By employing these techniques, researchers can investigate study inquiries with a reduced or nonexistent number of animal subjects, so avoiding animal use while still progressing in scientific understanding (33). Conducting longitudinal studies enables researchers to collect many measurements over time from the same animals, hence minimizing the requirement for new volunteers. Moreover, advocating for the adoption of data-sharing procedures fosters transparency and collaboration among scientists, so enabling the more effective utilization of animal resources. By implementing these strategies and embracing a culture of responsible research practices, researchers can minimize the number of animals used in experiments while upholding scientific rigor and ethical standards.

Examples of Successful Reduction Practices

Longitudinal studies involve researchers in domains like psychology and neuroscience who observe and monitor the same group of animals for a prolonged duration. Researchers can minimize the number of animals required for their studies by gathering data from the same patients over several time intervals (34). This approach allows them to get vital knowledge on developmental processes, illness progression, and treatment effectiveness. In preclinical studies focusing on surgical operations, the improvement of surgical methods has resulted in substantial decreases in the number of animals used (34). Researchers have created less invasive surgical techniques and improved anesthetic methods to decrease post-operative pain and discomfort. This has resulted in more effective surgeries with better recovery results and lower fatality rates.

Cell culture and in vitro models are widely used in biomedical research as substitutes for animal testing. Cell-based assays, organoids, and tissue engineering techniques enable researchers to investigate biological processes, medication responses, and disease causes in a controlled setting (35). This reduces the need for animal models and provides pertinent and applicable data. Computer modeling and simulation have become effective methods for minimizing the necessity of animal experimentation in areas such as pharmacology, toxicology, and biomechanics (25). Scientists employ computer simulations to forecast drug interactions, evaluate toxicity, and replicate physiological processes, allowing them to choose potential therapeutic candidates for additional testing and minimize the need for animals in preclinical investigations. The area of biomedical research has been transformed by the progress made in non-invasive imaging methods, including MRI, PET, and ultrasound (29). These techniques enable researchers to observe anatomical features, track the development of diseases, and evaluate the effectiveness of treatments in living animals without the need for intrusive surgeries or death. This reduces animal pain and minimizes the number of animals required in studies. By integrating these reduction strategies into their research procedures, scientists may accomplish their scientific goals while limiting the utilization of animals, advocating for ethical research practices, and propelling the advancement of alternative approaches.

Principle of Refinement

The principle of refinement encompasses the moral duty to consistently improve experimental techniques and housing circumstances in order to promote the well-being of animals and reduce their suffering (36). This principle recognizes that although a certain amount of testing may be required for scientific advancement, it is crucial to minimize the pain and discomfort that animals may endure throughout the process (18-21,36). Refinement strategies refer to a diverse set of methods that try to optimize the care, management, and experimental procedures for laboratory animals in order to enhance their well-being. An essential component of refinement is the creation and execution of enrichment programs aimed at improving the overall physical and psychological well of animals. Enrichment activities encompass facilitating social contact, stimulating the environment, and promoting species-specific behaviors like nesting or foraging. Enhancing the living habitat of laboratory animals can diminish stress, ease monotony, and enhance general well-being, consequently augmenting the quality of data acquired from trials.

Moreover, refining standards prioritizes the implementation of humane endpoints and minimally intrusive strategies to mitigate pain and discomfort experienced during experimental operations. Scientists diligently observe animals for indications of pain or discomfort and take action when needed to ease their distress. Furthermore, advancements in anesthetic, analgesia, and surgical methods allow researchers to conduct surgeries with enhanced accuracy and reduce postoperative discomfort, thereby assuring that animals endure less injury. Another crucial element of refining entails optimizing experimental techniques to minimize the number of animals used for research projects. Scientists utilize statistical methodologies, experimental design strategies, and efforts to share data in order to optimize the knowledge obtained from each animal while lowering the total number of animals needed. Through meticulous experimental design and meticulous data analysis, researchers can attain scientific goals while minimizing the number of animals used, therefore diminishing the total impact on animal welfare. Essentially, the principle of refinement emphasizes the significance of consistently enhancing and refining research methods to reduce the distress experienced by laboratory animals. By using refining procedures, researchers exhibit a dedication to ethical behavior, advance the welfare of animals in their custody, and improve the caliber and reliability of scientific research.

Efforts to Improve the Welfare of Animals during Experimentation

Efforts to enhance the welfare of animals during experiments are diverse, involving several approaches that seek to reduce stress, pain, and suffering while enhancing their

general state of being (37). These endeavors demonstrate a dedication to upholding ethical standards, ensuring compassionate care, and practicing appropriate research methods in scientific investigations that include animals. One primary method for enhancing animal well-being during experiments is the adoption of refining procedures. Refinement refers to a range of methods used to improve experimental techniques, housing conditions, and care protocols in order to reduce suffering and improve the well-being of laboratory animals (38). This may involve creating stimulating surroundings that encourage natural behaviors, adjusting living conditions to assure comfort and social interaction, and employing humane endpoints and minimally invasive approaches to minimize pain and suffering during experimental operations. Moreover, progress in veterinary care and anesthetic techniques has played a crucial role in achieving notable enhancements in animal welfare during the process of experimentation (37). Researchers collaborate closely with veterinary practitioners to create customized care plans and pain management techniques that prioritize the health and well-being of animals during the whole course of research. This may entail the use of analgesics, anesthetics, and post-operative care protocols to mitigate pain and suffering linked to surgical operations and other treatments. Collaboration and knowledge-sharing among scientists are essential for enhancing animal care in experimental research. Scientists cooperate to provide optimal methods, standardized protocols, and standards for the ethical care of animals in research environments. This encompasses the exchange of resources, data, and knowledge to promote the use of improved methodology, alternative techniques, and new approaches that emphasize the well-being of animals while still accomplishing scientific goals (18). In research settings, regulatory supervision and institutional oversight committees have a crucial role in ensuring the protection of animal welfare. Ethical committees are responsible for evaluating research procedures, examining the ethical and scientific rationale for animal experimentation, and ensuring adherence to applicable rules and standards (16). These regulatory agencies play a vital role in overseeing and assessing the well-being of animals involved in research, as well as fostering ethical behavior among researchers. Efforts to enhance the well-being of animals during experiments are driven by a dedication to ethical behavior, scientific thoroughness, and empathetic treatment. Researchers may mitigate the effects on animal welfare, advance knowledge, and promote ethical research procedures by employing refining tactics, cooperating with veterinary specialists, sharing information and resources, and adhering to regulatory monitoring (37,38).

Highlight Studies that have Implemented Refinement Strategies

A published study utilized advanced surgical procedures to decrease pain and misery in rats undergoing stereotaxic surgery for neural implantation (39). The researchers enhanced anesthetic methods, utilized precise surgical techniques, and delivered post-operative care to minimize pain and guarantee the welfare of the animals during the experimental process (39). Enrichment programs were created by researchers studying monkey behavior to encourage natural behaviors and improve the welfare of the animals (40). Another research included environmental enrichment strategies such as introducing new toys, creating chances for foraging, and promoting social interaction. These measures were aimed at reducing stress and enhancing the psychological well-being of the lorises while they were in captivity (41). The utilization of in vitro models as substitutes for animal testing in toxicity research was investigated in a paper published (42). The scientists created a liver microtissue model in three dimensions using cells obtained from humans. This model was used to evaluate the way drugs are processed and their potential harm, showing that it is possible to replace animal trials with in vitro methods that are more ethical and scientifically appropriate.

Another study emphasized the need for collaborative efforts in the scientific community to share resources and data (43). The aim is to prevent redundant experiments and decrease the use of animals in research. The study facilitated efficiency, transparency, and responsible resource usage in neuroscience research by exchanging genetically modified mouse lines, research tools, and data repositories. These examples demonstrate how researchers from several disciplines have employed refining tactics to enhance the well-being of animals during experiments. Researchers may reduce the impact on animals and achieve scientific aims by giving priority to animal welfare, using improved procedures, and collaborating to share resources and expertise.

INFORMED CONSENT AND ANIMAL WELFARE

Within the field of animal studies, the notion of informed consent assumes a distinct nature when compared to research involving people, owing to the inherent disparities in cognitive capacities and communication between humans and animals. Although animals are unable to give informed permission in the same manner as people, ethical concerns surrounding their well-being and care remain of utmost importance. Researchers adhere to ethical principles and animal welfare guidelines to ensure that animals participate in experiments willingly, with the least intrusion, and with the greatest regard for their wellbeing, rather than seeking express agreement from them (45). This approach is based on the acknowledgment of animals who are entitled to ethical consideration and safeguarding against avoidable harm. The utilization of animals in research is regulated by ethical rules and regulations that prioritize the concepts of reduction, refinement, and replacement. These principles aim to reduce harm and enhance animal welfare. Ethical committees have a vital function in evaluating research procedures, evaluating the scientific and ethical reasons for animal use, and ensuring that studies are carried out in compliance with applicable laws and guidelines (44,45). Scientists utilize many methodologies to ensure the well-being of animals and reduce any potential harm throughout the process of conducting experiments. This involves applying techniques to improve the quality of care, such as introducing enrichment programs to encourage natural behaviors, ensuring suitable housing and husbandry settings, and utilizing anesthetics and analgesics to reduce pain and discomfort during experimental operations (45). In addition, researchers are increasingly acknowledging the significance of transparency and communication in relation to their study methodologies and results (49).

Researchers may promote confidence and responsibility in the scientific community and prioritize animal welfare in research procedures by providing precise information about their techniques, results, and any potential negative impacts or limits of their investigations. Although animals are unable to give informed permission in the same manner as people, it is the responsibility of researchers to prioritize their well-being and reduce their distress in study environments. Researchers may maintain principles of animal care and guarantee that research is performed in a scientifically rigorous and morally acceptable way by following ethical guidelines, employing refining tactics, and fostering transparency and communication (3,5,16).

How Researchers Ensure the Well-Being of Animals during Experiments

Scientists utilize a range of tactics to guarantee the welfare of animals during studies, prioritizing ethical concerns, compassionate care, and the goals of reducing, refining, and replacing animal use:

Optimized Housing and Husbandry: Animals are given housing circumstances that are specifically designed to meet their species-specific requirements, which include adequate space, environmental enrichment, and availability of food and water (46). Researchers guarantee that housing facilities are hygienic, properly cared for, and devoid of environmental factors that cause stress in order to enhance the physical and psychological welfare of animals.

Minimization of Stress and Discomfort: Researchers utilize refining tactics to decrease stress and pain in animals during experiments, aiming to reduce their negative experiences. This may entail familiarizing animals with experimental methods through habituation and training, employing positive reinforcement approaches to alleviate anxiety, and reducing handling and constraint to prevent undue stress (47).

Implementation of Enrichment Programs: Enrichment programs are put into action with the aim of fostering innate behaviors and providing cognitive stimulation for laboratory animals. This may include providing toys, environmental enrichment devices, and social housing to enhance the quality of life and prevent boredom and stereotypic behaviors in animals housed in captivity (41).

Use of Minimally Invasive Techniques: Researchers utilize minimally invasive techniques and procedures to reduce pain and distress in animals during experimentation (18,19,29). This may involve the use of anesthesia and analgesia to alleviate pain, sedation to minimize anxiety, and minimally invasive surgical techniques to reduce tissue trauma and facilitate recovery.

Regular Monitoring and Health Checks: Continuous monitoring and health assessments are conducted on animals during the whole length of trials to evaluate their physical condition and overall welfare (48). Researchers do regular health assessments, track the consumption of food and drink, and study behavioral signs of stress or illness to promptly identify and address any potential health problems.

Adherence to Ethical Guidelines and Regulations: Researchers comply with ethical norms and laws that control the utilization of animals in research, in order to guarantee the compassionate care and well-being of animals. Ethical committees evaluate research procedures, analyze the scientific and ethical reasons for animal utilization, and guarantee that studies are carried out in compliance with applicable laws and norms (16).

Transparency and Reporting: Researchers uphold transparency and accountability by precisely documenting their methodologies, findings, and any possible detrimental consequences or constraints of their investigations (49). Through the act of sharing data and findings with the scientific community, researchers enable the process of peer review, replication, and validation of study findings. This ensures that animal welfare issues continue to be a primary focus in research procedures.

In order to guarantee the welfare of animals during studies, it is necessary to use a holistic strategy that gives priority to ethical concerns, humane treatment, and the adoption of refining tactics aimed at reducing harm and promoting animal welfare. By embracing these concepts and implementing these procedures, researchers may maintain ethical standards, advocate for the conscientious utilization of animals in research, and progress scientific understanding in a way that is both scientifically rigorous and morally sound.

Challenges and Ongoing Debates Regarding Animal Consent and Welfare

The challenges and ongoing arguments around animal consent and welfare in research settings are a result of many ethical, scientific, and societal factors. An obstacle arises when attempting to analyze animal behavior and evaluate their well-being in research environments. Although researchers make efforts to reduce stress and pain, precisely assessing an animal's subjective experience and well-being can be challenging. Controversies emerge over the sufficiency of existing techniques for evaluating animal welfare and the necessity for additional impartial criteria to guarantee the ethical treatment of animals. The pursuit of scientific progress frequently clashes with the imperative to safeguard animal welfare throughout research endeavors. Scientists encounter ethical quandaries while devising research that may entail potentially detrimental methods or treatments. Ensuring a balance between scientific goals and animal welfare necessitates a meticulous evaluation of the possible advantages and disadvantages of research projects. The ethical use of animals in research is a subject of controversy, namely concerning the reasons for their usage and the ethical consequences of experimentation. There are concerns regarding the moral standing of animals, their ability to feel pain and suffering, and the ethical obligations of researchers and organizations towards them (18). These disputes have an impact on discussions on the ethical guidelines that govern animal research and the necessity for stricter ethical standards and oversight procedures. There is a continuous discussion about the creation and acceptance of alternate approaches to animal research. Although alternatives such as in vitro models, computer simulations, and tissue engineering show potential for decreasing the usage of animals, concerns persist over their accuracy, dependability, and capacity to be applied to human biology (4,18,23). Controversies can emerge over the ethical ramifications of employing alternative techniques and the degree to which they may substitute established animal models (50). Regulatory oversight and enforcement involve the task of ensuring that ethical norms and rules for the use of animals in research are

followed. This task is accompanied by continuous problems. Although there are legislative frameworks in place to safeguard animal welfare and uphold ethical behavior, the methods of enforcement might differ throughout jurisdictions, resulting in variations in the supervision and implementation of these regulations. The debates revolve around the sufficiency of existing rules, the necessity for more rigorous enforcement methods, and the function of regulatory agencies in advancing ethical research procedures. The opinions and involvement of the general public on animal research and welfare have a significant impact on policy choices, funding priorities, and discussions on ethical matters. Discussions on the ethical treatment of animals in research frequently mirror wider societal values, cultural views, and ethical frameworks. It is crucial to involve the public in conversations on animal welfare, ethical issues, and the use of animals in research in order to foster openness, accountability, and responsible research methodologies (50). To summarize, the issues and current discussions around animal consent and welfare in research settings underscore the many ethical, scientific, and societal factors involved in utilizing animals for research purposes. To tackle these issues, it is necessary to adopt a multidisciplinary strategy that gives importance to ethical concerns, scientific rigor, and stakeholder participation. This method ensures that animals are treated humanely and research is conducted responsibly.

OVERSIGHT AND COMPLIANCE OF THE ANIMAL STUDIES

Effective oversight and compliance systems are essential for guaranteeing the ethical conduct of animal research. These systems are specifically created to protect the well-being of animals, ensure the honesty and accuracy of scientific work, and maintain high ethical standards in research environments (50). Effective monitoring and compliance in animal research are influenced by several crucial components. Institutional animal ethics committees have the responsibility of evaluating and authorizing research methods that involve animals to guarantee adherence to ethical principles and regulations (16,50). These committees comprise scientists, veterinarians, ethicists, and community representatives who appraise the scientific and ethical rationales for animal utilization, evaluate the potential hazards and advantages of research studies, and guarantee that experiments are carried out in compliance with applicable laws and guidelines. Ethical principles and regulations establish the structure for ethical behavior and supervision in the field of animal research. The guidelines, such as the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Animal Welfare Act, provide a framework for the concepts of reduction, refinement, and replacement (13,15,17). They also provide criteria for the housing, care, pain management, and death of animals used in research. To add, researchers must provide comprehensive study protocols to these committees for evaluation and authorization prior to undertaking animal experiments. The protocol review process entails assessing the scientific justification, experimental methodology, methods, and strategies for minimizing pain and discomfort in animals. The committee evaluates the possible hazards and advantages of research studies and guarantees that experiments comply with ethical principles and regulatory obligations.

Institutions have the responsibility of delivering training and educational programs to researchers, animal care workers, and committee members in order to guarantee their proficiency in animal handling, husbandry, and welfare methods (51). The training sessions encompass subjects such as appropriate animal care and handling, ethical issues in animal research, and adherence to regulatory compliance standards. Institutions guarantee that staff engaged in animal research possess a comprehensive understanding of ethical norms and regulatory requirements by actively promoting education and training. Moreover, regular monitoring and inspections of animal facilities and research laboratories are mandatory for institutions to guarantee adherence to ethical principles and legislation. Inspections are carried out by internal compliance officers, external regulatory authorities, or accrediting bodies to evaluate the state of animal facilities, the health and well-being of animals, and the execution of appropriate husbandry and care methods. Organizations must keep comprehensive documentation of animal care and utilization endeavors, encompassing research procedures, records of animal housing and care, veterinarian care records, and personnel training records. These records function as proof of adherence to ethical norms and regulatory requirements and are susceptible to scrutiny by regulatory bodies during inspections and audits (16,50,51). Essential for guaranteeing the ethical conduct of research with animals is adequate supervision and compliance methods. Institutions may ensure ethical standards, protect animal welfare, and encourage responsible research procedures by implementing strong monitoring systems, following ethical rules and legislation, offering training and education programs, and encouraging openness and responsibility.

The Role of Ethical Committees in Ensuring Ethical Standards

Ethical committees have a crucial function in guaranteeing ethical standards and the compassionate care of animals in research environments. These committees have the responsibility of evaluating, authorizing, and supervising animal research plans. They play a crucial role in ensuring the well-being of animals, maintaining scientific standards, and ensuring compliance with regulations at research institutes. The main duty of them is to assess planned animal research to ensure it complies with ethical standards, and regulatory obligations, and minimizes harm to animals. This entails a comprehensive examination of study protocols, encompassing the scientific justification, experimental structure, techniques, and strategies to mitigate pain and suffering in animals. These committees evaluate the possible dangers and advantages of research studies during the review process, taking into account variables such as the need for animal usage, the suitability of experimental techniques, and the effectiveness of efforts to reduce potential damage. The committee also assesses the credentials and training of researchers and animal care workers engaged in the study to guarantee proficiency in animal handling and welfare protocols (38). After a research plan is authorized, it maintains oversight of the project to guarantee continuing adherence to ethical norms and regulatory requirements. The ethical committee not

only has regulatory powers but also acts as a valuable resource and support system for researchers. It offers advice on ethical issues, animal care procedures, and regulatory compliance needs. The committee also has a vital role in educating the research community about ethical norms and fostering a culture of responsible conduct in animal research (16). In summary, regulatory organizations play a crucial role in guaranteeing the ethical execution of animal research. These committees play a crucial role in preserving public confidence in the honesty of scientific research and protecting the well-being of research animals by adhering to ethical standards, advocating for animal welfare, and enforcing regulatory compliance.

CURRENT ISSUES AND DEBATES

Contemporary ethical concerns in experimental animal research involve a variety of intricate and multidimensional factors that mirror the changing scientific, ethical, and societal viewpoints. These problems elicit discussion and examination among scientists, ethical discussions, and circles involved in crafting policies.

Debates Surrounding the Use of Specific Animal Models

The debates about the utilization of certain animal models in research are intricate and nuanced, encompassing a wide range of viewpoints, ethical concerns, and scientific goals (53). Various animal species are employed in research for a range of objectives, including fundamental biology study, disease modeling, and medication development. Several crucial discussions revolve on the use of certain animal models, encompassing. One of the main topics of discussion is the choice of suitable animal species for research purposes. When selecting animal models, researchers must take into account criteria such as the degree of evolutionary relatedness to humans, similarities in physiology, ease of genetic manipulation, availability, and ethical issues (54). Controversies emerge over the appropriateness of certain species for specific scientific inquiries, the transferability of discoveries to humans, and the ethical ramifications of employing certain species in study. A significant point of disagreement revolves over the degree to which conclusions drawn from animal research may be applied to people. Critics contend that variations in physiology, anatomy, metabolism, and genetics between people and animals might restrict the applicability of study findings, resulting in possible inconsistencies and inefficiencies in the creation of drugs and their translation to clinical use (53,54). Advocates of animal models argue that, despite their limitations, studies conducted on animals offer essential knowledge about biological systems, disease processes, and therapeutic treatments that may be applied to human health. The ethical discussions over the utilization of particular animal models revolve around concerns related to animal welfare, the experience of suffering, and the moral standing of animals. Critics express apprehensions over the ethical ramifications of using sentient creatures in research, especially when it involves invasive or detrimental operations (55). The ethical rationale behind utilizing specific animals, such as non-human primates, dogs, or pigs, in research is being closely examined, with demands for increased attention to alternative options, improvement measures, and ethical supervision. The advancement and acceptance of alternative techniques for animal testing question the dependence on particular animal models in scientific investigation. Controversies emerged regarding the verification, dependability, and significance of alternative techniques in comparison to animal models, along with the moral need to promote non-animal procedures wherever possible (53-56). Issues around certain animal models are influenced by concerns over the scientific validity and repeatability of animal experiments. Factors such as differences in animal strains, environmental conditions, experimental procedures, and biased publication practices might affect the dependability and replicability of study results. The objective of advocating for enhanced experimental design, reporting standards, and replication studies is to strengthen the rigor and reliability of animal research. Discussions about the utilization of particular animal models in research are marked by intricate ethical, scientific, and practical factors. To address these arguments, it is necessary to carefully analyze the selection of species, the capacity to translate findings to humans, the ethical implications, alternative approaches, and the scientific validity (21). Researchers may traverse these controversies and make well-informed judgments concerning the use of animal models in research by participating in discourse, fostering openness, and incorporating ethical and scientific principles.

Advancing Ethical Standards in Animal Testing: Identifying Gaps, Unanswered Questions, and Future Directions

Divergent viewpoints, beliefs, and interests give rise to conflicting opinions about the ethical aspects of different research methods. In order to address these conflicts, active engagement in discussions, thoughtful deliberation, and inclusion of relevant stakeholders are essential to negotiate complex moral dilemmas, promote ethical decision-making, and uphold the principles of benevolence, personal autonomy, justice, and respect for all research participants (53-56). The ethical considerations surrounding animal experimentation are intricate and diverse, with several areas of uncertainty and unresolved inquiries that necessitate more investigation. Although progress has been made in adopting alternative approaches and minimizing animal experimentation, there is still a want for stronger frameworks and norms to guarantee the ethical treatment of animals in scientific research. There is a significant lack of information on the lasting impacts and dependability of alternative testing techniques in comparison to conventional animal models (56). Although organoids, computer simulations, and in vitro models hold potential, further study is required to verify their effectiveness, consistency, and applicability to human physiology (18,23). Furthermore, it is crucial to carefully contemplate the ethical ramifications of employing these alternatives, taking into account their influence on study results and regulatory determinations. Moreover, there is a need for additional investigation into the ethical quandaries associated with the utilization of genetically modified animals in scientific studies. Concerns emerge over the well-being of these animals, the possibility of unforeseen outcomes, and the ethical obligations of researchers and regulatory entities. It is crucial to address these matters in order to establish ethical principles that effectively reconcile scientific advancement with the well-being of animals. Another aspect that deserves consideration is the

influence of public opinion and stakeholder participation on the development of animal testing policies (55). Gaining insight into social views, beliefs, and concerns about animal research is essential for promoting openness, responsibility, and public confidence. Future studies should prioritize the evaluation of public views, investigation of stakeholder viewpoints, and facilitation of conversation to enhance the ethical decision-making processes. To effectively address these gaps and unsolved problems, it is crucial to foster multidisciplinary collaboration, engage in ethical thought, and maintain continuing communication among scientists, politicians, ethicists, and the public. By adopting a comprehensive strategy that places importance on the well-being of animals, rigorous scientific methods, and the values of society, we may work towards implementing more ethical and sustainable practices in the field of biomedical research.

CONCLUSION

Ultimately, ethical norms and regulations in experimental animal studies are essential elements of conscientious scientific investigation and compassionate care for research animals. This thorough examination has investigated fundamental ethical factors, such as the concepts of replacement, reduction, and refinement. These principles highlight the significance of decreasing the utilization of animals, diminishing their suffering, and improving their well-being in research procedures. We have analyzed the function of ethical committees, in guaranteeing adherence to ethical norms and regulatory obligations. Additionally, we have explored methods to enhance openness, accountability, and stakeholder involvement in animal research. Furthermore, this study has emphasized current ethical concerns, discussions, and opposing perspectives about the utilization of certain animal models, alternative techniques, genetic manipulation, and clinical trials, emphasizing the intricate nature of ethical decision-making in research. To overcome ethical difficulties, encourage responsible behavior in animal research, and protect scientific integrity, animal welfare, and ethical practice, researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders must address these ethical considerations. To advance ethical principles and cultivate a culture of compassion, respect, and ethical stewardship in experimental animal research, it is crucial to engage in constant discourse, collaboration, and ethical reflection.

Ethics Committee Approval: Since our study was a review, ethics committee approval was not required.

Conflict of Interest: None declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: None declared by the authors.

Acknowledgments: None declared by the authors.

Author Contributions: Idea/Concept: MK; Design: KKK; Data Collection/Processing: KKK; Analysis/Interpretation: KKK, MK; Literature Review: KKK; Drafting/Writing: KKK, MK; Critical Review: MK.

REFERENCES

1. Domínguez-Oliva A, Hernández-Ávalos I, Martínez-Burnes J, Olmos-Hernández A, Verduzco-Mendoza A, Mota-Rojas D. The importance of animal models in biomedical research: current insights and applications. Animals (Basel). 2023;13(7):1223.
2. Houvenaghel A. Animal experiments: legal, scientific and ethical aspects. Verh K Acad Geneeskd Belg. 2000;62(2):103-17. Dutch.
3. Kiani AK, Pheby D, Henehan G, Brown R, Sieving P, Sykora P, et al.; International Bioethics Study Group. Ethical considerations regarding animal experimentation. J Prev Med Hyg. 2022;63(2 Suppl 3):E255-66.
4. Fernandes MR, Pedroso AR. Animal experimentation: A look into ethics, welfare and alternative methods. Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992). 2017;63(11):923-8.
5. Monsó S, Benz-Schwarzburg J, Bremhorst A. Animal morality: what it means and why it matters. J Ethics. 2018;22(3):283-310.
6. Gluck JP, Bell J. Ethical issues in the use of animals in biomedical and psychopharmocological research. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2003;171(1):6-12.
7. Petetta F, Ciccocioppo R. Public perception of laboratory animal testing: Historical, philosophical, and ethical view. Addict Biol. 2021;26(6):e12991.
8. Franco NH. Animal experiments in biomedical research: a historical perspective. Animals (Basel). 2013;3(1):238-73.
9. National Research Council (US) Committee to Update Science, Medicine, and Animals. Science, medicine, and animals. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2004.
10. Arnason G. The emergence and development of animal research ethics: a review with a focus on nonhuman primates. Sci Eng Ethics. 2020;26(4):2277-93.
11. Finn MA, Stark JF. Medical science and the Cruelty to Animals Act 1876: A re-examination of anti-vivisectionism in provincial Britain. Stud Hist Philos Biol Biomed Sci. 2015;49:12-23.
12. Tannenbaum J, Bennett BT. Russell and Burch's 3Rs then and now: the need for clarity in definition and purpose. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2015;54(2):120-32.
13. Albus U. Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals (8th edn). Lab Anim. 2012;46(3):267-8.
14. Goodyear MD, Krleza-Jeric K, Lemmens T. The declaration of Helsinki. BMJ. 2007;335(7621):624-5.
15. Cardon AD, Bailey MR, Bennett BT. The animal welfare act: from enactment to enforcement. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2012;51(3):301-5.
16. Prentice ED, Blackburn ME, Dixon RS. Fostering collaborative roles and responsibilities for members of an IACUC or oversight body. In: Weichbrod RH, Thompson GA, Norton JN, editors. Management of animal care and use programs in research, education, and testing. 2nd ed. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press/Taylor & Francis; 2018. Chapter 3.

17. Institute of Medicine (US); National Research Council (US). International animal research regulations: impact on neuroscience research: workshop summary. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2012. 7, core principles for the care and use of animals in research.
18. Mahalmani V, Prakash A, Medhi B. Do alternatives to animal experimentation replace preclinical research? Indian J Pharmacol. 2023;55(2):71-5.
19. Richmond J. Refinement, reduction, and replacement of animal use for regulatory testing: future improvements and implementation within the regulatory framework. ILAR J. 2002;43 Suppl:S63-8.
20. Sneddon LU, Halsey LG, Bury NR. Considering aspects of the 3Rs principles within experimental animal biology. J Exp Biol. 2017;220(Pt 17):3007-16.
21. Díaz L, Zambrano E, Flores ME, Contreras M, Crispín JC, Alemán G, et al. Ethical considerations in animal research: the principle of 3R's. Rev Invest Clin. 2020;73(4):199-209.
22. Hubrecht RC, Carter E. The 3Rs and humane experimental technique: implementing change. Animals (Basel). 2019;9(10):754.
23. Husain A, Meenakshi DU, Ahmad A, Shrivastava N, Khan SA. A review on alternative methods to experimental animals in biological testing: recent advancement and current strategies. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2023;15(4):165-71.
24. Doke SK, Dhawale SC. Alternatives to animal testing: A review. Saudi Pharm J. 2015;23(3):223-9.
25. Badyal DK, Modgill V, Kaur J. Computer simulation models are implementable as replacements for animal experiments. Altern Lab Anim. 2009;37(2):191-5.
26. Ingber DE. Human organs-on-chips for disease modelling, drug development and personalized medicine. Nat Rev Genet. 2022;23(8):467-91.
27. Lee H, Yoon DE, Kim K. Genome editing methods in animal models. Anim Cells Syst (Seoul). 2020;24(1):8-16.
28. Arora T, Mehta AK, Joshi V, Mehta KD, Rathor N, Mediratta PK, et al. Substitute of animals in drug research: an approach towards fulfillment of 4R's. Indian J Pharm Sci. 2011;73(1):1-6.
29. Ohno Y, Koyama H, Lee HY, Yoshikawa T, Sugimura K. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET)/MRI for lung cancer staging. J Thorac Imaging. 2016;31(4):215-27.
30. Catana C. Principles of simultaneous PET/MR imaging. Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am. 2017;25(2):231-43.
31. Flecknell P. Replacement, reduction and refinement. ALTEX. 2002;19(2):73-8.
32. Lewis DI. Animal experimentation: implementation and application of the 3Rs. Emerg Top Life Sci. 2019;3(6):675-9.
33. Tannenbaum J, Bennett BT. Russell and Burch's 3Rs then and now: the need for clarity in definition and purpose. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2015;54(2):120-32.
34. de Boo J, Hendriksen C. Reduction strategies in animal research: a review of scientific approaches at the intra-experimental, supra-experimental and extra-experimental levels. Altern Lab Anim. 2005;33(4):369-77.
35. Goldberg L. Charting a course for cell culture alternatives to animal testing. Fundam Appl Toxicol. 1986;6(4):607-17.
36. Musk GC. Refinements to animal models for biomedical research. Animals (Basel). 2020;10(12):2425.
37. Clutton RE. An anglocentric history of anaesthetics and analgesics in the refinement of animal experiments. Animals (Basel). 2020;10(10):1933.
38. Prescott MJ, Lidster K. Improving quality of science through better animal welfare: the NC3Rs strategy. Lab Anim (NY). 2017;46(4):152-6.
39. Ferry B, Gervasoni D. Improving stereotaxic neurosurgery techniques and procedures greatly reduces the number of rats used per experimental group-A practice report. Animals (Basel). 2021;11(9):2662.
40. Coleman K, Novak MA. Environmental enrichment in the 21st century. ILAR J. 2017;58(2):295-307.
41. Alejandro J, Yamanashi Y, Nemoto K, Mouri K, Huang CW, Bercovitch FB, et al. Reducing stress and stereotypic behaviors in captive female pygmy slow lorises (Nycticebus pygmeaus). Am J Primatol. 2023;85(7):e23495.
42. Movia D, Bruni-Favier S, Prina-Mello A. In vitro alternatives to acute inhalation toxicity studies in animal models-a perspective. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2020;8:549.
43. NIMH Collaborative Data Synthesis for Adolescent Depression Trials Study Team including; Perrino T, Howe G, Sperling A, Beardslee W, Sandler I, et al. Advancing science through collaborative data sharing and synthesis. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2013;8(4):433-44.
44. Mohan S, Huneke R. The role of IACUCs in responsible animal research. ILAR J. 2019;60(1):43-9.
45. Budda ML, Pritt SL. Evaluating IACUCs: Previous research and future directions. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2020;59(6):656-64.
46. Prager EM, Bergstrom HC, Grunberg NE, Johnson LR. The importance of reporting housing and husbandry in rat research. Front Behav Neurosci. 2011;5:38.
47. National Research Council (US) Committee on Recognition and Alleviation of Distress in Laboratory Animals. Recognition and alleviation of distress in laboratory animals. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2008. 4, Avoiding, minimizing, and alleviating distress.
48. FELASA working group on revision of guidelines for health monitoring of rodents and rabbits; Mähler Convenor M, Berard M, Feinstein R, Gallagher A, Illgen-Wilcke B, et al. FELASA recommendations for the health monitoring of mouse, rat, hamster, guinea pig and rabbit colonies in breeding and experimental units. Lab Anim. 2014;48(3):178-92.
49. Carbone L. Open transparent communication about animals in laboratories: dialog for multiple voices and multiple audiences. Animals (Basel). 2021;11(2):368.
50. King WW, Paramastri YA, GuillÁ©n J. Compliance and regulatory programs. In: Weichbrod RH, Thompson GA, Norton JN, editors. Management of animal care and use programs in research, education, and testing. 2nd edition. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press/Taylor & Francis; 2018. Chapter 7.

51. Wege-Rost T. Medical-ethical decision-making-contribution of the clinical ethics committee by ethics consultation and development of ethical guidelines. Med Klin Intensivmed Notfmed. 2023;118(3):175-9. German.
52. Hansen LA. Institution animal care and use committees need greater ethical diversity. J Med Ethics. 2013;39(3):188-90.
53. Ashall V, Millar K, Hobson-West P. Informed consent in veterinary medicine: Ethical implications for the profession and the animal 'patient'. Food Ethics. 2018;1(3):247-58.
54. Rollin BE. Animal research: a moral science. Talking point on the use of animals in scientific research. EMBO Rep. 2007;8(6):521-5.
55. Saraf SK, Kumaraswamy V. Basic research: Issues with animal experimentations. Indian J Orthop. 2013;47(1):6-9.
56. Ferdowsian HR, Beck N. Ethical and scientific considerations regarding animal testing and research. PLoS One. 2011;6(9):e24059.