

## PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: REFLECTION GROUPS ON SEMI-EUCLIDEAN SPACES

AUTHORS: Baki KARLIGA

PAGES: 98-109

ORIGINAL PDF URL: <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/252502>

# REFLECTION GROUPS ON SEMI-EUCLIDEAN SPACES

Baki KARLIĞA

Department of Mathematics, Arts and Sciences Faculty, Gazi University  
06500 Ankara, TURKEY.

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give a possible construction for subgroups of semi-orthogonal groups generated by reflections in semi-Euclidean space.

## ÖZET

Bu çalışmada semi-Öklidyen uzaydaki yansımalar ile üretilen semi-ortogonal grupların alt-grupları için mümkün yapıyı vereceğiz.

## 1. Introduction

Finite reflection groups on Euclidean space equipped with a positive definite inner product are well developed and documented in a long series of papers and books. The first comprehensive treatment of finite reflection groups was given by H. S. M. Coxeter in 1934. In [ 3 ], he completely classified the groups and derived several of their properties. Later, he included a discussion of the groups in his book [ 4 ]. In 1941, E. Witt presented more algebraic approach in [ 9 ]. Another has more recently appeared in N. Bourbaki's chapters on Lie groups and Lie algebras [ 1 ].

The main aim of this paper is to give a possible construction of reflection groups on semi-Euclidean spaces. The basic definitions and background material required here may be found in R. W. Carter [ 2 ], L. C. Grove and C. T. Benson [ 5 ], J. E. Humphreys [ 6 ], B. O'Neill [ 7 ], D. E. Taylor [ 8 ].

## 2. Reflection Groups on Semi-Euclidean Spaces

Let  $\mathbf{R}_\nu^n$  be *semi-Euclidean space* over the real field  $\mathbf{R}$  equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  which is symmetric, non degenerate bilinear form;

$$\langle x, y \rangle = - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} x_i y_i + \sum_{i=\nu+1}^n x_i y_i$$

where  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\nu$  is an integer with  $0 \leq \nu \leq n$ .

Now let  $V = \mathbf{R}_\nu^n$  and let the *null cone* of the scalar product be the set

$$\Lambda = \{ x \in V \mid \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \}$$

For  $0 \leq \nu \leq n$ , the *signature matrix*  $\varepsilon$  is the diagonal matrix  $(\delta_{ij}\varepsilon_j)$  whose diagonal entries are  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \dots \varepsilon_\nu = -1$  and  $\varepsilon_{\nu+1} = \varepsilon_{\nu+2} = \dots \varepsilon_n = +1$ . By [7], we have  $\langle x, y \rangle = (\varepsilon x, y)$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the corresponding transformation to the signature matrix  $\varepsilon$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a positive definite inner product on  $V$ .

The *semi-orthogonal group* on  $V$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is

$$O_\nu(n) = \{ S \in GL(n, \mathbf{R}) \mid S^t = \varepsilon S^{-1} \varepsilon \}$$

It is easy to show that  $O_\nu(n)$  is isomorphic to

$$O_\nu(V) = \{ \tau \in GL(V) \mid \langle \tau u, \tau v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \text{ for all } u, v \in V \}$$

Then,  $\tau \in O_\nu(V)$  is called a *semi-Euclidean reflection* in  $V$  if  $\tau \neq 1_V$  and  $\tau v = v$  for all  $v \in H$  for some nondegenerate semi-Euclidean hyperplane  $H$  in  $V$ .

The following lemma allows us to give a more explicit description of semi-Euclidean reflections in  $V$ .

2.1. LEMMA. Let  $H$  be a nondegenerate semi-Euclidean hyperplane in  $V$  and let  $u \in V - \Lambda$ . Then there exists a unique semi-Euclidean reflection  $\tau \in O_\nu(V)$  such that

(i)  $\tau h = h$  for all  $h \in H$ ;

(ii)  $\tau^2 = 1_V$ ;

(iii)  $\tau$  is given by the formula

$$\tau v = v - 2 \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u, \text{ for all } v \in V, u \in H^\perp$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in V - \Lambda$  and  $H = \langle u \rangle^\perp$ . Let  $\tau$  be a semi-Euclidean reflection which fixes the elements of  $H$ . By [8],  $V = H^\perp \oplus H$ , it follows that  $\tau^2 = 1_V$ . If  $v \in V$ , let  $v = h + a.u$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , then  $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle h, u \rangle + a \langle u, u \rangle$ , that is,  $a = \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle}$ , and so

$$\tau v = v - 2 \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u \quad \blacksquare$$

From now on, this unique semi-Euclidean reflection  $\tau$  will be denoted by  $\tau_u$ . Let  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $u \in V - \Lambda$ . We note that

- (i)  $\tau_u u = -u$ ;
- (ii)  $\tau_u = \tau_{au}$ ;
- (iii)  $\det \tau_u = -1$ .

2.2. LEMMA. Let  $u \in V - \Lambda$  and  $\sigma \in O_\nu(V)$ . Then  $\sigma \tau_u \sigma^{-1} = \tau_{\sigma u}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \tau_u \sigma^{-1} v &= \sigma \left( \sigma^{-1} v - 2 \frac{\langle \sigma^{-1} v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u \right) \\ &= v - 2 \frac{\langle \sigma^{-1} v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} \sigma u \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma \in O_\nu(V)$ , we have  $\langle \sigma u, \sigma u \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle$ . But  $\langle \sigma^{-1} v, u \rangle = \langle \epsilon \sigma^{-1} v, u \rangle$  and since  $\sigma^{-1} = \epsilon \sigma^t \epsilon$  we have

$$\langle \sigma^{-1} v, u \rangle = \langle \sigma^t \epsilon v, u \rangle = \langle \epsilon v, \sigma u \rangle = \langle v, \sigma u \rangle$$

Then  $\sigma \tau_u \sigma^{-1} v = \tau_{\sigma u} v \quad \blacksquare$

Now let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subgroup of  $O_\nu(V)$  generated by  $\tau_u$ ,  $u \in V - \Lambda$ . Then we have the following definition.

2.3. DEFINITION. Let  $u \in V - \Lambda$ . The two unit vectors  $\pm u$  are called *semi-Euclidean roots* of  $\mathcal{G}$  associated with  $\tau_u \in \mathcal{G}$ .

2.4. LEMMA. Let  $W$  be a semi-Euclidean hyperplane in  $V$  and let  $T \in O_\nu(V)$ . Then  $(TW)^\perp = TW^\perp$ . If  $TW = W$ , then  $TW^\perp = W^\perp$ .

*Proof.* If  $y \in TW^\perp$ , then there exists  $x \in W^\perp$  such that  $y = Tx$ . So  $\langle x, z \rangle = 0$ , for all  $z \in W$ . Since  $T \in O_\nu(V)$ , we have  $0 = \langle x, z \rangle = \langle Tx, Tz \rangle$  for all  $z \in W$ . Then  $\langle y, Tz \rangle = 0$ , for all  $z \in W$ , that is,  $y \in (TW)^\perp$ .

Conversely,  $y \in (TW)^\perp$ , then  $\langle y, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in TW$ . Then we have

$$\langle y, Tu \rangle = \langle T^t y, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in W.$$

Since  $T \in O_\nu(V)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle T^t y, u \rangle \\ &= \langle \epsilon T^{-1} \epsilon y, u \rangle \\ &= \langle \epsilon \epsilon T^{-1} \epsilon y, u \rangle \\ &= \langle T^{-1} \epsilon y, u \rangle \\ &= \langle \epsilon y, (T^{-1})^t u \rangle \\ &= \langle y, (T^{-1})^t u \rangle \\ &= \langle T^{-1} y, u \rangle, \text{ for all } u \in W. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $T^{-1}y \in W^\perp$ , that is,  $y \in TW^\perp$ , so  $(TW)^\perp = TW^\perp$ .

If  $TW = W$ , then  $(TW)^\perp = W^\perp$  and  $TW^\perp = W^\perp$ .

Now, we can give the following lemma.

**2.5. LEMMA.** *If  $\alpha$  is a semi-Euclidean root of  $\mathcal{G}$  and if  $T \in \mathcal{G}$ , then also  $T\alpha$  is a semi-Euclidean root of  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $H = \alpha^\perp$ ,  $H' = TH$  and  $T\alpha = x$ . Then  $H'$  is a semi-Euclidean hyperplane and by the preceding lemma  $H' = (T\alpha)^\perp = x^\perp$ . If  $y = Tz \in H'$ , with  $z \in H$ , then by Lemma 2.2. we have  $T\tau_\alpha T^{-1}y = T\tau_\alpha z = Tz = y$ . Also  $T\tau_\alpha T^{-1}x = T\tau_\alpha \alpha = -T\alpha = -x$ . Hence,  $T\alpha$  is a semi-Euclidean root of  $\mathcal{G}$ . ■

If  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  are subspaces of  $V$ , then it can be easily seen that  $(W_1 + \dots + W_k)^\perp = W_1^\perp \cap \dots \cap W_k^\perp$ .

**2.6. LEMMA.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subgroup of  $O_\nu(V)$  generated by semi-Euclidean reflections along semi-Euclidean roots  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is effective if and only if  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  contains a basis for  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^\perp$ . Since the semi-Euclidean reflection along  $\alpha_i$  acts as the identity transformation on  $\alpha_i^\perp$  and each  $T \in \mathcal{G}$  is a product of the generating semi-Euclidean reflections, we have  $T|_W = 1_W$ , for all  $T \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $V_0(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{G}} V_T$ , where  $V_T$  is the subspace  $\{x \in V \mid Tx = x\}$ ,

then  $W \subseteq V_0(\mathcal{G})$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in V_0(\mathcal{G})$ , then in particular, each generating semi-Euclidean reflection leaves  $x$  invariant, so  $x \in \alpha_i^\perp$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Thus  $x \in W$  and  $W = V_0(\mathcal{G})$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{G}$  is effective if and only if  $W = 0$  or  $W^\perp = V$ . But  $W^\perp = (\bigcap_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^\perp)^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^k \tau_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{\perp\perp}$ . In other words, the set  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  spans  $W^\perp$ , since  $\alpha_i^{\perp\perp}$  is the subspace spanned by  $\alpha_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is effective if and only if  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  spans  $V$ . ■

2.7. DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subgroup of  $O_\nu(V)$  generated by a finite set of semi-Euclidean reflections. Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all semi-Euclidean roots corresponding to the generating semi-Euclidean reflections, together with all images of these semi-Euclidean roots under all transformations in  $\mathcal{G}$ . The set  $\Phi$  is called a *semi-Euclidean root system* for  $\mathcal{G}$ .

2.8. LEMMA. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subgroup of  $O_\nu(V)$  generated by a finite set of semi-Euclidean reflections and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be effective. If the semi-Euclidean root system  $\Phi$  is finite, then  $\mathcal{G}$  is finite.

*Proof.* By the definition of semi-Euclidean root system we have  $T\Phi = \Phi$ , for all  $T \in \mathcal{G}$ . Thus by restricting each  $T \in \mathcal{G}$  to  $\Phi$ , we may consider  $\mathcal{G}$  as a permutation group on  $\Phi$ . By the preceding lemma, since  $\mathcal{G}$  is effective  $\Phi$  contains a basis for  $V$ ; so if  $T|_\Phi$  is the identity map on  $\Phi$  then  $T = 1_{\mathcal{G}}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{G}$  is faithful on  $\Phi$ , so  $\mathcal{G}$  is finite if  $\Phi$  is finite. ■

2.9. DEFINITION. A finite effective subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $O_\nu(V)$  generated by a set of semi-Euclidean reflections is called a *semi-Euclidean reflection group*.

From now on, we assume that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a semi-Euclidean reflection group, with semi-Euclidean root system  $\Phi$ .

It can be easily seen that there is a vector  $t \in V - \Lambda$  such that  $\langle t, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$  for every root  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then the root system  $\Phi$  is partitioned into two subsets;

$$\Phi_t^+ = \{x \in V \mid \langle x, t \rangle > 0\} \text{ and } \Phi_t^- = \{x \in V \mid \langle x, t \rangle < 0\}$$

Geometrically,  $\Phi_t^+$  and  $\Phi_t^-$  are the subsets of  $\Phi$  lying on the two sides of the hyperplane  $t^\perp$ . If  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , then  $-\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $\langle t, -\alpha \rangle = -\langle t, \alpha \rangle$ . Thus  $\alpha \in \Phi_t^+$  if and only if  $-\alpha \in \Phi_t^-$  and so  $|\Phi_t^+| = |\Phi_t^-|$ .

2.10. DEFINITION. Let  $\pi$  be a minimal subset of  $\Phi_t^+$  such that every  $\alpha \in \Phi_t^+$  is a linear combination, with all coefficients non-negative, of elements of  $\pi$ .

Then  $\pi$  is called a  $t$ -base for  $\Phi$ .

2.11. DEFINITION. Let  $\pi = \{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \}$  be a fixed  $t$ -base for  $\Phi$ . A vector  $x \in V$  is called  $t$ -positive if it is possible to write  $x$  as a linear combination of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  with all coefficients non-negative. Similarly,  $x \in V$  is called  $t$ -negative if it is a nonpositive linear combination of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ .

From now on, we shall say positive rather than  $t$ -positive and negative rather than  $t$ -negative.

2.12. LEMMA. Let  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \pi$ , with  $i \neq j$  and  $\lambda_i, \lambda_j$  are positive real numbers, then the vector  $\alpha = \lambda_i \alpha_i - \lambda_j \alpha_j$  is neither positive nor negative.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  is positive. Then we have

$$\alpha = \lambda_i \alpha_i - \lambda_j \alpha_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_k \alpha_k, \text{ with all } \mu_k \geq 0$$

If  $\lambda_i < \mu_i$ , then

$$0 = (\mu_i - \lambda_i) \alpha_i + (\mu_j + \lambda_j) \alpha_j + \sum \{ \mu_k \alpha_k : k \neq i, j \}$$

But

$$0 = \langle t, (\mu_i - \lambda_i) \alpha_i + (\mu_j + \lambda_j) \alpha_j + \sum \{ \mu_k \alpha_k : k \neq i, j \} \rangle$$

and so  $0 \geq \lambda_j < \alpha_j$ ,  $t > 0$ . This is a contradiction. If  $\lambda_i > \mu_i$ , then

$$(\lambda_i - \mu_i) \alpha_i = (\lambda_j + \mu_j) \alpha_j + \sum \{ \mu_k \alpha_k : k \neq i, j \}$$

Since  $\lambda_i - \mu_i \neq 0$ , we may divide by  $\lambda_i - \mu_i$  and express  $\alpha_i$  as a non-negative linear combination of the elements of  $\pi \setminus \{ \alpha_i \}$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\pi$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is not positive. On the other hand, if  $\alpha$  were negative, then  $-\alpha$  would be positive, which is impossible by the above argument with  $i$  and  $j$  interchanged. ■

2.13. LEMMA. Let  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \pi$ , with  $i \neq j$  and let  $\tau_i$  denote the semi-Euclidean reflection along  $\alpha_i$ . If  $\alpha_i$  is timelike (spacelike) and  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \geq 0$  ( $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \leq 0$ ), then  $\tau_i(\alpha_j) \in \Phi_i^+$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5  $\tau_i(\alpha_j) \in \Phi$ , we know that  $\tau_i(\alpha_j) \in \Phi$  is either positive or negative. But

$$\tau_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle} \alpha_i$$

=====

with one coefficient positive. If  $\alpha_i$  is timelike (spacelike), by the preceding lemma, both coefficients must be non-negative, so  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \geq 0$  ( $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \leq 0$ ) and  $\tau_i(\alpha_j) \in \Phi_t^+$ .

2.14. LEMMA.  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in V - \Lambda$ . Let  $U = Sp\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu\}$  be a subspace of  $V$  such that the scalar product is negative definite on  $U$  and let  $W = Sp\{\alpha_{\nu+1}, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  be a subspace of  $V$  such that the scalar product is positive definite on  $W$ . Suppose that  $\langle \alpha, \alpha_i \rangle > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , for some  $\alpha \in V$ . If

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle &\geq 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, i \neq j \\ \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle &\leq 0, \nu+1 \leq i, j \leq m, i \neq j \\ \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle &= 0, 1 \leq i \leq \nu, \nu+1 \leq j \leq m \end{aligned}$$

then  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  is a linearly independent set.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  is a linearly dependent set. Then there is a dependence relation of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i = \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j, \text{ with all } \lambda_i \geq 0, \text{ all } \mu_j \geq 0 \text{ and some } \lambda_i > 0$$

This will proceed in two steps.

(1) Let  $1 \leq k \leq \nu$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i = \sum_{j=k+1}^{\nu} \mu_j \alpha_j + \sum_{j=\nu+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j, \lambda_i \geq 0, \mu_j \geq 0$$

Since the scalar product is negative definite on  $U$ ,

$$0 \geq \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=k+1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \mu_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=\nu+1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$$

Since  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 0, 1 \leq i \leq \nu, \nu+1 \leq j \leq m$ , then

$$0 \geq \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=k+1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \mu_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$$

Since  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \geq 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq \nu, i \neq j$ , we have

$$0 \geq \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i \right\rangle \geq 0$$



and so

$$\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i \rangle = 0$$

Then  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i = 0$ . By our assumption  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha \rangle > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , for some  $\alpha \in V$  and so

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \langle \alpha_i, \alpha \rangle > 0$$

This is a contradiction.

(2) Let  $\nu + 1 \leq k \leq m$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \alpha_i + \sum_{i=\nu+1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i = \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j, \quad \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad \mu_j \geq 0$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \alpha_i = \sum_{j=\nu+1}^k (-\lambda_j) \alpha_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j, \quad \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad \mu_j \geq 0$$

Since the scalar product is negative definite on  $U$  and positive definite on  $W$ ,

$$0 \geq \langle \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \alpha_i \rangle = \langle \sum_{j=\nu+1}^k (-\lambda_j) \alpha_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j, \sum_{j=\nu+1}^k (-\lambda_j) \alpha_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j \rangle \geq 0$$

and so

$$\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \alpha_i \rangle = 0$$

and

$$\langle \sum_{j=\nu+1}^k (-\lambda_j) \alpha_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j, \sum_{j=\nu+1}^k (-\lambda_j) \alpha_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j \alpha_j \rangle = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

If  $1 \leq \ell \leq \nu \leq k$ , since the scalar product is negative definite on  $U$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \alpha_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq \nu \leq k, \quad \lambda_{\ell} > 0$$

and

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i \langle \alpha_i, \alpha \rangle > 0$$

This is a contradiction. By (2.1) and since the scalar product is positive definite on  $W$  we have

$$\sum_{j=\nu+1}^k (-\lambda_j)\alpha_j + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j\alpha_j = 0, \nu+1 \leq \ell \leq k, \lambda_\ell > 0$$

or

$$\sum_{j=\nu+1}^k (\lambda_j)\alpha_j = \sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j\alpha_j, \nu+1 \leq \ell \leq k, \lambda_\ell > 0$$

Hence by our assumption  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \leq 0$  and the scalar product is positive definite on  $W$ , we have

$$0 \leq \langle \sum_{i=\nu+1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=\nu+1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i \rangle = \sum_{i=\nu+1}^k \sum_{j=k+1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \leq 0$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=\nu+1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i = 0$$

and by our assumption  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle > 0$  and so

$$0 = \langle \sum_{i=\nu+1}^k \lambda_i \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle > 0$$

This is a contradiction, so  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$  is a linearly independent set. ■

2.15. THEOREM. If  $\pi$  is a  $t$ -base for  $\Phi_t$ , then  $\pi$  is a basis for  $V$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is effective, by Lemma 2.6  $\Phi_t$  spans  $V$ . Since every  $\alpha \in \Phi_t$  is a linear combination of roots in  $\pi$ ,  $V$  is spanned by  $\pi$ . By Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 2.14,  $\pi$  is linearly independent, so  $\pi$  is a basis for  $V$ . ■

2.16. LEMMA. There is only one  $t$ -base for  $\Phi_t$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 4.1.8 [5]. ■

In order to illustrate the concepts discussed so far, we give the following example:

2.17. EXAMPLE. The seven reflections in  $\mathbf{R}_1^3$  generate  $\mathcal{H}_2^6 + \mathcal{A}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_2^6$  is the dihedral group of order 12 and  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is a cyclic group of order 2. The root system

$$\Phi = \{ \pm(2, 1, 0), \pm(1, 2, 0), \pm(-1, -2, 1), \pm(1, 2, 1), \pm(0, 0, 2), \pm(-\frac{1}{2}, -1, \frac{3}{2}), \pm(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}) \}$$

Choosing  $t = (-1, 1, 6)$ , we have

$$\Phi_t^+ = \{ (2, 1, 0), (1, 2, 0), (-1, -2, 1), (1, 2, 1), (0, 0, 2), (-\frac{1}{2}, -1, \frac{3}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}) \}$$

$$\pi = \{ (2, 1, 0), (1, 2, 0), (-1, -2, 1) \}$$

2.18. LEMMA. Let  $\tau_i$  be the semi-Euclidean reflection along  $\alpha_i \in \pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . If  $\alpha \in \Phi_t^+$ , with  $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ , then  $\tau_i \alpha \in \Phi_t^+$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in \pi$ , by Lemma 2.13  $\tau_i \alpha \in \Phi_t^+$ . If  $\alpha \notin \pi$ , then  $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \alpha_j$  and at least two of the coefficients  $\lambda_j$  are positive; so we can assume that  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_1$  and that  $\lambda_1 > 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i \alpha &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \tau_i(\alpha_j) \\ &= \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_j \alpha_j - 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \right) \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau_i \alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $\tau_i \alpha$  is either positive or negative. But it has at least one positive coefficient  $\lambda_1$ , we conclude that all coefficients are non-negative and so that  $\tau_i \alpha \in \Phi^+$ . ■

2.19. DEFINITION. The semi-Euclidean roots  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  in the base  $\pi$  are called *simple semi-Euclidean roots*. The semi-Euclidean reflections  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  along the simple semi-Euclidean roots are called *simple semi-Euclidean reflections* of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_t$  the subgroup  $\langle \tau_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ . It will be shown (Theorem 2.22) that  $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{G}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{G}$  is generated by its simple semi-Euclidean reflections.

2.20. LEMMA. If  $\alpha \in V$ , there is a transformation  $T \in \mathcal{G}_t$  such that  $\langle T\alpha, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha_i \in \pi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is a finite group, it is possible to choose  $T \in \mathcal{G}_t$  such that  $\langle T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle$  is maximal. If  $\tau_i$  is the semi-Euclidean reflection along  $\alpha_i$ , then by the preceding lemma we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_i \alpha_0 &= \tau_i \left( \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum \{ \alpha \in \Phi^+ : \alpha \neq \alpha_i \} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum \{ \alpha \in \Phi^+ : \alpha \neq \alpha_i \} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum \{ \alpha : \alpha \in \Phi^+ \} - \alpha_i \\
&= \alpha_0 - \alpha_i
\end{aligned}$$

By the maximality of  $\langle T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle$  we have  $\langle T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle \geq \langle \tau_i T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle$ .

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tau_i T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle &= \langle \epsilon \tau_i T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle \tau_i T\alpha, \epsilon \alpha_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle T\alpha, \tau_i \epsilon \alpha_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle T\alpha, \epsilon \tau_i \epsilon \alpha_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle T\alpha, \epsilon \tau_i \alpha_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle \epsilon T\alpha, \tau_i \alpha_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle T\alpha, \tau_i \alpha_0 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\langle T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle \geq \langle T\alpha, \tau_i \alpha_0 \rangle = \langle T\alpha, \alpha_0 - \alpha_i \rangle = \langle T\alpha, \alpha_0 \rangle - \langle T\alpha, \alpha_i \rangle$$

2.21. LEMMA. If  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ , then  $T\alpha \in \pi$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{G}_t$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in \pi$ , we can choose  $T = 1_{\mathcal{G}_t}$ . If  $\alpha \notin \pi$ , then it follows Lemma 2.13, Lemma 2.14 and Theorem 2.15 that  $\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \alpha \rangle < 0$  or  $\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \alpha \rangle > 0$  for some semi-Euclidean root  $\alpha_{i_1} \in \pi$ ; otherwise,  $\pi \cup \{\alpha\}$  would be linearly independent. Let  $a_1 = \tau_{i_1} \alpha = \alpha - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_1} \rangle} \alpha_{i_1}$ . By Lemma 2.18  $a_1 \in \Phi^+$ , and

$$\langle a_1, t \rangle = \langle \alpha, t \rangle - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_1} \rangle} \langle \alpha_{i_1}, t \rangle$$

If  $a_1 \in \pi$ , set  $T = \tau_{i_1} \in \mathcal{G}_t$ . If  $a_1 \notin \pi$ , apply the above process to  $a_1$ , obtaining  $\alpha_{i_2} \in \pi$ , and  $a_2 = \tau_{i_2}(a_1) = \tau_{i_2} \tau_{i_1} \alpha \in \Phi^+$   $\langle a_2, t \rangle < \langle a_1, t \rangle$ . If  $a_2 \in \pi$ , set  $T = \tau_{i_2} \tau_{i_1} \in \mathcal{G}_t$ ; if  $a_2 \notin \pi$ , the process is continued. Since  $\Phi^+$  is finite, the process must terminate with some  $a_k \in \pi$ . Then  $a_k = \tau_{i_k} \dots \tau_{i_1} \alpha$  and if we set  $T = \tau_{i_k} \dots \tau_{i_1} \in \mathcal{G}_t$ , then lemma is proved. ■

2.22. THEOREM. The simple semi-Euclidean reflections  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  generate  $\mathcal{G}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \tau_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi \rangle$  and since  $\tau_{-\alpha} = \tau_\alpha$ , it will be sufficient to prove that if  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ , then  $\tau_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_t$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ . By the preceding lemma

there is a transformation  $T \in \mathcal{G}_t$  such that  $T\alpha \in \pi$ , say  $T\alpha = \alpha_i$ . By Lemma 2.2 we have  $\tau_\alpha = T^{-1}\tau_i T \in \mathcal{G}_t$ . ■

We note that a reflection  $\tau$  is a semi-Euclidean reflection if and only if  $\tau\epsilon = \epsilon\tau$ , that is, a reflection is not a semi-Euclidean reflection in general.

## References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapters 4,5,6, Actualites Sci. Induct 1337, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [2] R. W. CARTER, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley, London, 1989.
- [3] H. S. M. COXETER, Discrete groups generated by reflections, *Annal.Math.*, **35** (1934), 588–621.
- [4] H. S. M. COXETER, *Regular Polytopes*, 2nd ed. Macmillan, New York, 1985.
- [5] L. C. GROVE and C. T. BENSON, *Finite Reflection Groups*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [6] J. E. HUMPHREYS, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] B. O'NEILL, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [8] D. E. TAYLOR, *The Geometry of the Classical Groups*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [9] E. WITT, Spiegelungsgruppen und Aufzählung halbeinfachen Liescher Ringe, *Abhandl. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **14** (1941), 289–337.