PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Evaluation of the Presentation of Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus in Children

During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic

AUTHORS: Fatma Özgüç Çömlek, Semine Özdemir Dilek

PAGES: 230-235

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/3519317

DOI: 10.54005/geneltip.1386625

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Evaluation of the Presentation of Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus in Children During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic

Türkiye'nin Güneyindeki İkinci Basamak Bir Sağlık Merkezinde, COVİD-19 Salgını Sırasında ve Sonrasında Tip 1 Diyabet Tanısı Alan Çocukların Tanı Özelliklerinin Değerlendirilmesi

¹Fatma Özgüç Çömlek ⁽¹⁾, ²Semine Dilek Özdemir ⁽¹⁾

¹Pediatric Endocrinology, Selcuk University, Medical Faculty, Konya,

²Pediatric Endocrinology, Adana City Hospital, Adana, Türkiye

Correspondence

Özgüç Cömlek, Fatma Selcuk MedicalFaculty, Pediatric Endocrinology, Konya, Türkiye

E-Mail: fatmaozguc@gmail.com

How to cite?

Özgüç Çömlek F, Özdemir Dilek S. Evaluation of the Presentation of Newly Diagnosed Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus in Children During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic. Genel Tip Derg. 34(2): 230-

ABSTRACT

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has been a global health problem with high morbidity and mortality. In this study, it was aimed to compare the clinical and laboratory findings of patients diagnosed with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D) during the pandemic and after the pandemic.

Method: This is a 30-month-single-center, cross-sectional study. The time between October 2020 and December 2021 was defined as the pandemic period, and between January 2022 and March

and December 2021 was defined as the pandemic period, and between January 2022 and March 2023 as the post-pandemic period. During these periods, clinical and laboratory parameters of pediatric patients diagnosed with T1D were compared at the time of admission. **Results:** While 87 patients were diagnosed during the pandemic period, 86 patients were diagnosed during the post-pandemic period. The rate of male patients diagnosed during the pandemic period was significantly higher (56%, 36%, respectively, p=0.007). Anti-islet Cell antibody (ICA) positivity was statistically significantly higher in those diagnosed during the pandemic period. (52.6%, 18.6%, respectively, p<0.001). There was no difference between the groups in terms of hemoglobin A1C, thyroid autoantibodies and tissue transglutaminase antibodies (p>0.05). C peptide levels were significantly lower in those diagnosed during the pandemic period (0.39±0.4, 0.63±0.6, respectively, p=0.021). Admissions with severe acidosis were more common during the pandemic than those admitted after the pandemic (29.9%, 16.3%, respectively, p=0.151). **Conclusion:** The numbers of children with T1D newly diagnosed in a secondary health center were similar during and after the pandemic. In the pandemic period, admissions with autoantibody

similar during and after the pandemic. In the pandemic period, admissions with autoantibody positivity, low C-peptide and severe acidosis were more common.

Keywords: COVID 19, diabetes mellitus type 1, newly diagnosed

ÖZ

Amaç: COVİD-19 salgını yüksek morbidite ve mortaliteye sahip küresel bir sağlık sorunu olmuştur. Bu çalışmada pandemi sırasında ve pandemi sonrasında tip 1 diyabet (T1D) tanısı alan hastaların klinik ve laboratuvar bulgularının karşıllaştırılması amaçlandı. Yöntem: 30 aylık, tek merkezli, kesitsel bir çalışma şeklinde dizayne edilen bu çalışmada Ekim 2020 ile Aralık 2021 arası pandemi dönemi, Ocak 2022 ile Mart 2023 arası ise pandemi sonrası dönem olarak tanımlandı. Bu iki dönemde başvuran yeni tanı alan T1DM hastalarının klinik ve laboratuvar parameteleri karşılaştırıldı.

Bulgular: Pandemi döneminde 87 hastaya tanı konulurken, pandemi sonrası dönemde 86 hastaya Bulgular: Pandemi döneminde 87 hastaya tanı konulurken, pandemi sonrası dönemde 86 hastaya tanı konuldu. Pandemi döneminde tanı alan erkek hasta oranı anlamlı olarak daha yüksekti (sırasıyla %56, %36, p=0,007). Pandemi döneminde tanı konulanlarda Anti-Adacık Hücre Antikoru (ICA) pozitifliği istatistiksel olarak anlamlı derecede yüksekti. (sırasıyla %52,6, %18,6, p<0,001). Gruplar arasında hemoglobin A1C, tiroid otoantikorları ve doku transglutaminaz antikorları açısından fark yoktu (p>0,05). Pandemi döneminde tanı konulanlarda C peptid düzeyleri anlamlı derecede düşüktü (sırasıyla 0,39±0,4, 0,63±0,6, p) =0,021). Pandemi sırasında şiddetli asidoz nedeniyle başvurular, pandemi sonrasına göre daha sık görüldü (sırasıyla %29,9, %16,3, p=0,151).

Sonuç: İkinci basamak sağlık merkezimizde yeni tnaı koyulan T1D hastası çocukların sayısı pandemi sırasında ve sonrasında benzerdi. Pandemi döneminde otoantikor pozitifliği, C-peptid düşüklüğü ve ciddi asidoz şikayetleriyle başvurular daha sıktı.

Anahtar Kelimeler: covid 19, diabetes mellitus type 1, yeni tanı

Introduction

prevalence of newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes during time of diagnosis.

The World Health Organization declared COVID-19 the pandemic period and the effect of COVID-19 on a pandemic on March 24, 2020, as it caused high the clinical severity of the symptoms at the time of first morbidity and mortality in a short time and turned into admission (3,4). During the pandemic period, some a global health problem (1). The first case was seen in studies showed that there was a significant decrease in Türkiye on March 11, 2020 (2). COVID-19 disease can vitamin D levels in children and it was associated with affect the health of children directly or indirectly, and decreased sun exposure during confinement (5). In this this situation can cause many social, biological and study, the clinical and laboratory characteristics of the economic negativities. Many studies have revealed newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D) patients interesting findings related to the increase in the during and after the pandemic were compared at the

Peer-Review: Double anonymized - Two External Plagiarism Checks: Yes - intihal.net Complaints: geneltip@selcuk.edu.tr Copyright & License: Authors publishing with the journal retain the copyright to their work licensed under the CC BY-NC 4.0



Material and Methods

This descriptive cross-sectional study was approved by the Gaziantep University Faculty of Medicine Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Number:01/2023). All patients younger than 18 years of age who were diagnosed with T1D at Gaziantep Gynecology and Children's Hospital between October 2020 and March 2023 were included in the study. We diagnosed patients with type 1 diabetes according to the International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes 2018 criteria (6). All diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and non-DKA (non-DKA) patients (venous pH>7.3 or bicarbonate >15 mmol) diagnosed as T1D with plasma glucose >200 mg/dl and ketonemia or ketonuria were included in this study. DKA was classified as plasma glucose >200 mg/dl, presence of ketonemia or ketonuria, venous pH <7.3 or bicarbonate <15 mmol/L (mild DKA); pH<7.2 or bicarbonate <10 mmol/L (moderate DKA); pH<7.1 or bicarbonate <5 mmol/L (severe DKA). At the time of diagnosis, HbA1C (%), C-peptide, islet cell antibody (ICA), glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody (GAD), anti-insulin antibody (Anti IA), anti-thyroid peroxidase antibody (Anti TPO) and celiac antibodies (tissue transglutaminase IGA, IGG) were evaluated. At the time of the study, the same micro enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was used to measure autoantibody positivity. We also evaluated the 25(OH) D3, Vitamin B12 and anti-HBS levels of the patients.

According to the data of the Turkish Statistical Institute (TUİK) dated 31 December 2021, the population of Türkiye was 84 million 580 thousand 273 people (7). As of January 2022, covid 19 vaccine (Sinovac or BioNTech) has been applied for 1 year in Türkiye, and approximately 138 million doses of vaccine have been applied to individuals over the age of 18 years (8). For this reason, the period between October 2020 and December 2021 was defined as the pandemic period, and the period between January 2022 and March 2023 was defined as the post-pandemic period. Based on these data, we divided the patients into two groups as those diagnosed during and after the pandemic.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 23.0 package program was used for statistical analysis of the data. Categorical measurements were summarized as numbers and percentages, and continuous measurements as mean and standard deviation (median and min-max where appropriate). Chi-square test was used to compare categorical expressions. Shapiro-Wilk test was employed to determine whether the parameters in the study showed normal distribution. Mann Whitney U test was used for the parameters that did not show normal distribution. Statistical significance level was taken as 0.05 in all tests.

Results

Of the 173 patients included in the study, 80 (46.2%) were male and 93 (53.9%) were female. Their mean age was 15.7 (±3.8) years. Only 38 patients (22%) were refugees. 57 patients (32.9%) were in pubertal period.

Anti-HBs was positive in only 69 patients (39.9%). The numbers of Anti-HBs positive patients were similar in the groups (p=0.59). The mean level of 156 patients whose vitamin D levels were checked was 14.1±7.8 ng/ml. Of the patients, 130 (83.3%) whose vitamin D values were checked had a vitamin D level below 20 ng/ml and had vitamin deficiency. Vitamin D value was higher in male patients than in female patients (p=0.029). Also, Vitamin D levels of pandemic and post-pandemic patients were similar (p=0.59).

Of the patients, 87 (50.3%) were diagnosed during the pandemic period (group 1), and 86 (49.7%) were diagnosed during the post-pandemic period (group 2). The presence of anti-ICA was found higher in group 1 (p<0.001). The co-positivity of Anti GAD and ICA antibodies was higher in group 1 (39/87 [%44.8] and 16/86 [%18.6], respectively) (p<0.001). Again, in group 1, the positivity of 3 antibodies together (Anti-Gad, ICA and Anti insulin) was statistically higher (7/87 [%8], 2/86 [%2.3], respectively) (p=0.001). There was no difference between the groups in terms of hemoglobin A1c, thyroid autoantibodies and tissue transglutaminase antibodies (p>0.05). Potassium and C-peptide values were higher in group 2 compared to group 1 (p=0.037; p=0.021, respectively); Vitamin B12 value was observed low (p=0.011). The low potassium in group 1 was considered due to the high number of patients presenting with DKA. The comparison of other values between the groups is shown in Table 1.

When male and female patients were compared, the presence of Anti-GAD was higher in female patients than in male patients (p=0.046). No significant finding was found between the other parameters in Table 2 and the groups (p>0.05). Height SD and HbA1c values of Turkish patients were higher than those of refugee patients (p=0.020).

The number of people diagnosed with non-DKA was higher in the post-pandemic period than in the pandemic period, but it was not statistically significant (47 [54.7%], 37 [42.5%], respectively) (p=0.15). Similarly, the number of admissions with severe acidosis was higher during the pandemic period than in the post-pandemic period. (respectively 26 [29.9%], 14 [16.3%]) (p=0.15). Among the patients diagnosed during the pandemic period, 49(56.3%) male patients were significantly more than female patients (p=0.007).

While patients in the pandemic period were most diagnosed in November (19 cases) and March (11 cases), the most diagnosed months were January (12 cases) and October (9 cases) in the post-pandemic period. While 11 patients were diagnosed during the pandemic period in the summer season, only 4 patients were diagnosed during the post-pandemic period.

In terms of HbA1c levels, there was no significant difference between the pandemic and post-pandemic periods. During the pandemic period, SARS-CoV-2 PCR test was performed and only 2 patients were positive with resistant severe acidosis and respiratory distress and significantly higher infection indicators.

Table 1 Evaluation of laboratory and clinical characteristics of 2 groups

| Related parameters | Group 1 (n=87) | Group 2 (n=86) | p† |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | n(%) | n(%) | |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 49 (56.3) | 31 (36) | 0.007** |
| Female | 38 (43.7) | 55 (64) | |
| Puberty | 27 (31) | 30 (34.9) | 0.590 |
| Nationality | | | |
| Immigrant | 21 (24,1) | 17 (19.8) | 0.488 |
| Turkish | 66 (75.9) | 69 (80.2) | |
| DM in the family | 7 (8) | 5 (5.8) | 0.563 |
| Anti GAD | 50 (64.1) | 50 (58.1) | 0.434 |
| Anti ICA | 41 (52.6) | 16 (18.6) | <0.001** |
| Anti insulin | 6 (7.7) | 3 (3.5) | 0.238 |
| Celiac antibody | 10 (11.5) | 9 (10.5) | 0.829 |
| Anti TPO | 18 (20.7) | 16 (18.6) | 0.730 |
| Anti HBs | 33 (37.9) | 36 (41.9) | 0.598 |
| Acidosis severity | | | |
| none | 37 (42.5) | 47 (54.7) | 0.151 |
| 1 | 13 (14.9) | 16 (18.6) | |
| 2 | 11 (12.6) | 9 (10.5) | |
| 3 | 26 (29.9) | 14 (16.3) | |
| | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | p‡ |
| Age (years) | 12.,2±3.8 | 9.75±3.81 | 0.519 |
| Height (cm) | 138.2±22.6 | 134.1±23.4 | 0.181 |
| Height SD | 0.023±1.1 | -0.11±1.2 | 0.415 |
| Weight (kg) | 35.6±15.9 | 33.9±19.4 | 0.199 |
| Weight SD | -0.16±1.2 | -0.45±1.1 | 0.075 |
| | | | |
| Laboratory values (at diagnosis) Glucose (mg/dl) | 456.5±106.9 | 478.4±15.0 | 0.685 |
| Sodium (mmol/L) | 133.2±3,8 | 145.1±107.3 | 0.447 |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | 3.60±0.5 | 3.74±0.4 | 0.037* |
| C peptide (ng/ml) | 0.39±0,4 | 0.63±0.6 | 0.021* |
| HbA1c (%) | 12.9±1.6 | 12.5±2.0 | 0.265 |
| Insulin (µIU/mL) | 2.91±2.8 | 3.58±2.9 | 0.079 |
| Vitamin D (ng/ml) | 13.7±7.1 | 14.5±8.4 | 0.598 |
| Vitamin B12 (pg/ml) | 465.4±224.1 | 386.9±188.1 | 0.011* |
| | | | - |

^{*} p<0,05, **p<0,001, †: chi-square, ‡: Mann Whitney U

GAD: Glutamic acid decarboxylase, ICA: islet cell antibody, TPO: thyroid peroxidase

Discussion

In this study, the clinical and laboratory characteristics of newly diagnosed patients were evaluated during and after the COVID-19 epidemic for a total of 30 months. Similar to the publications reported from our country, no difference was found in the frequency of patients diagnosed between the two periods (9). However, it has been shown that applications with severe diabetic ketoacidosis are more common during the pandemic period. Additionally, in our study, we found significantly higher antibody positivity and low C-peptide levels in patients diagnosed during the pandemic period.

Although its etiology is not clear, type 1 diabetes mellitus is a multifactorial disease. Especially in individuals with genetic predisposition, exposure to infectious agents (especially viruses) at an early age is affected by environmental factors such as toxins, food, chemicals, as well as triggering factors such as psychosocial stress (10,11).

Table 2 Laboratory and clinical characteristics of the patients by gender

| Related parameters | Male (n=80) | Female (n=93) | p† |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | n(%) | n(%) | |
| Puberty | 27 (33.8) | 30 (32.3) | 0.835 |
| Nationality | | | |
| Immigrant | 18 (22.5) | 20 (21.5) | 0.875 |
| Turkish | 62 (77.5) | 73 (78.5) | |
| DM in the family | 6 (7.5) | 6 (6.5) | 0.787 |
| Anti GAD | 40 (53.3) | 60 (67.4) | 0.046* |
| Anti ICA | 29 (38.7) | 28 (31.5) | 0.334 |
| Anti insulin | 6 (8) | 3 (3.4) | 0.195 |
| Celiac antibody | 5 (6.3) | 14 (15.1) | 0.065 |
| Anti TPO | 14 (17.5) | 20 (21.5) | 0.509 |
| Anti HBs | 36 (45) | 33 (35.5) | 0.202 |
| Acidosis severity | | | |
| none | 40 (50) | 44 (47.3) | 0.417 |
| 1 | 13 (16.3) | 16 (17.2) | |
| 2 | 12 (15) | 8 (8.6) | |
| 3 | 15 (18.8) | 25 (26.9) | |
| | Mean ± SD | $\textbf{Mean} \pm \textbf{SD}$ | p‡ |
| Age (years) | 10.40±3.90 | 9.40±3.65 | 0.151 |
| Height (cm) | 140.2±21.3 | 132.7±24.0 | 0.097 |
| Height SD | 0.05±1.1 | -0.13±1.1 | 0.313 |
| Weight (kg) | 36.6±16.7 | 33.2±18.5 | 0.100 |
| Weight SD | -0.19±1.2 | -0.41±1.2 | 0.255 |
| | | | |
| Laboratory values (at diagnosis) Glucose (mg/dl) | 478.8±131.3 | 457.6±30.2 | 0.364 |
| Sodium (mmol/L) | 145.3±111.4 | 133.8±3.8 | 0.208 |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | 3.67±0.5 | 3.68±0.4 | 0.890 |
| C-peptide (ng/ml) | 0.45±0.4 | 0.58±0.6 | 0.534 |
| HbA1c (%) | 12.4±1.9 | 12.9±1.7 | 0.105 |
| Insulin (µIU/mL) | 2.88±2.5 | 3.57±3.2 | 0.231 |
|) (I) (D) (D) | 15.2±7.1 | 13.2±8.3 | 0.029* |
| Vitamin D (ng/ml) | 10.227.1 | | |

^{*} p<0,05, **p<0,001, †: chi-square, ‡: Mann Whitney U

GAD: Glutamic acid decarboxylase, ICA: islet cell antibody, TPO: thyroid peroxidase

The relationship between SARS-CoV-2 infection and incipient T1D development is unclear. A populationbased study conducted in Germany between January 2020 and June 2021 among individuals younger than 18 years old showed that the observed incidence was significantly higher than the expected incidence of the new type of T1D (12). However, this study did not provide evidence to prove that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a direct impact on this increased incidence. In a study of 92 centers worldwide, no increase in pediatric new-onset T1DM was observed during the COVID-19 pandemic (13). In our study, the prevalence of newly diagnosed T1D patients during the active pandemic and post-pandemic periods was similar. Our results are in line with two large cohort studies that found no evidence of a direct link between SARS-CoV-2 infection and incipient T1D development

A recent international multicenter study based on data from 13 national diabetes registries reported a higher prevalence of diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) at diagnosis of T1D among people younger than 18 years of age than the estimated prevalence in 2020 and 2021. However, the observed prevalence was not significant in all countries included in this study (16). In our study, although the number of patients with severe DKA was moderately high in the pandemic period, there was no statistically significant difference.

SARS-CoV-2 causes effects not only in the lungs but also in many organs in the body, including endocrine organs. Viral tropism in these tissues is mediated by receptors for the coronavirus spike protein such as angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2). It is known that SARS-CoV-2 can multiply by infecting the cells of the exocrine pancreas and pancreatic islets through these pathways (17).

Many studies have shown that COVID-19 is a risk factor for autoimmune disease (18,19). The studies by Chang et al. (20) and Tesch et al. (21) have comprehensively demonstrated the existence of various new-onset autoimmune conditions (rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, celiac disease, etc.) after COVID-19. Again, in some studies, the relationship between endocrine autoimmune diseases such as thyrotoxicosis and hashimoto thyroiditis and COVID-19 was discussed (22,23). Although there are different debates on the relationship between diabetes autoimmunity and Covid 19, Schiaffini et al. (24) reported in their study that COVID-19 infection would not cause diabetes autoimmunity even if it had an effect on the T1D clinic. During the echovirus 16 epidemic in Cuba, an increase in the co-occurrence of ICA and anti-GAD positivity was observed in children (25). It has been shown that having more than one antibody positivity increases the risk of developing type 1 diabetes compared to a single diabetes autoantibody positivity (26,27). In our study, ICA antibody positivity and other diabetes antibodies co-existent were found significantly higher in patients diagnosed during the pandemic period.

In another study, Sepa et al. (28) reported a high anti-GAD positivity among children participating in their study, and also noted that exposure to psychosocial stressors in children, with or without a family history of diabetes, may be considered as a risk factor for autoimmune type 1 diabetes. Accordingly, it can be thought that the reason for the high antibody positivity in patients diagnosed during the pandemic period in our study can be attributed to the psychosocial stress created by the pandemic.

It has been reported that the new diagnosis season of patients in Türkiye is mainly in winter and autumn months (29). However, in our study, there was no decrease in the number of patients diagnosed during the summer season, especially during the pandemic, and 11 patients were diagnosed with T1D, while the number of patients after the pandemic was only 4 patients. We thought that this may be due to the fact that the pandemic period Covid 19 infections continued in the summer period.

C-peptide, a molecule produced in insulin equimolar concentration, has become an established biomarker of insulin secretion in diabetic patients. Measurement of the C-peptide level can be helpful in clinical practice, particularly in patients currently scheduled to receive exogenous insulin therapy, to assess the residual function of insulin-producing β -cells (30). Significantly lower C-peptide levels in the pandemicera patients suggested that the beta-cell reserve in the pancreas was more severely affected.

Various mechanisms by which vitamin D is effective in regulating immune response support, the role of vitamin D in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diabetes, and vitamin D as a protective compound for diabetes have been suggested. The epidemiological evidence and observational studies suggesting that adequate vitamin D is associated with a reduced risk of developing type 1 diabetes further support this concept (31). We evaluated 25(OH)vitamin D levels in 156/173 (90.1%) of our patients. Severe vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/ml) was present in 83.3% (130/156) of our patients whose vitamin D levels were checked without any difference between pandemic or postpandemic periods. This situation underlines the need to evaluate Vitamin D levels in children with T1D diagnosed.

Type 1 diabetes (T1DM) is predicted to result in impaired immunological response to vaccines. HBV vaccine is routinely administered to every newborn child according to the national vaccination schedule. Although there are different data regarding the humoral immune response to hepatitis B vaccine in patients with type 1 diabetes, only 39% of all our newly diagnosed patients had an adequate Anti-HBs response (32).

It has been stated that SARS-CoV-2 may cause an increase in aldosterone secretion and a decrease in angiotensin II by decreasing ACE2 expression, which may lead to an increase in renal potassium loss (33). The significant low potassium in patients diagnosed during the pandemic may be explained by a COVID-19 infection that was experienced without being symptomatic. Unfortunately, we did not routinely evaluate our patients for the presence of COVID-19 infection.

Studies evaluating vitamin B12 deficiency are mostly related to type 2 diabetes mellitus and metformin treatment (34). There is no definitive information on the relationship between T1D and B12 deficiency. In our study, although there was a significant difference in vitamin B12 levels between the two groups, there was no deficiency in either group.

In conclusion, our study, in which we detected serious vitamin D deficiency in all groups, revealed the need to evaluate vitamin D, especially in extraordinary periods such as pandemics, when sunlight exposure is highly restricted. Moreover, we found significantly high antibody positivity and low levels of C-peptide in patients diagnosed during the pandemic period. Again, although it was not statistically significant, the

presence of severe acidosis was higher at the time of diagnosis during the pandemic period. In order to clearly demonstrate the relationship between Covid 19 and T1D, larger series of reports are needed.

Limitations of the study

Covid 19 PCR test was not performed on the patients who did not have any signs.

As it was a single center study, we could not include all newly diagnosed T1D children in Gaziantep in our study. However, since our hospital is a state hospital, it is a hospital that is easily accessible and preferred by the public, and it also accepts patients from neighboring provinces when necessary. Therefore, our findings may represent Southern Türkiye with different ethnicities and cosmopolitan population structure.

Declarations

Funding and/or Conflicts of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

Data availability

Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethical Statement

Approval for the study was received from Gaziantep University Faculty of Medicine ethics committee unit.

Author Contributions

Concept- Fatma Özgüç Çömlek, Design- Fatma Özgüç Çömlek Supervision- Fatma Özgüç Çömlek Materials, Fatma Özgüç Çömlek, data Collection and /or Processing- Fatma Özgüç Çömlek, Semine Özdemir Dilek, Analysis and /or interpretation- Fatma Özgüç Çömlek; Writer- Fatma Özgüç Çömlek ;Critical Review- Fatma Özgüç Çömlek, Semine Özdemir Dilek

References

1.Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, et al. World Health Organization declares global emergency: a review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). Int J Surg 2020;76:71–6.

2.Republic of Turkish Ministry of Health Covid-19 Information page. General Coronavirus Table. Available from: https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/EN-69532/general-coronavirus-table.html.

3.Rabbone I, Schiaffini R, Cherubini V, Maffeis C, Scaramuzza A. Has COVID-19 delayed the diagnosis and worsened the presentation of type 1 diabetes in children? Diabetes Care 2020;43:2870–2.

4.Ho J, Rosolowsky E, Pacaud D, Huang C, Lemay JA, Brockman N, et al. Diabetic ketoacidosis at type 1 diabetes diagnosis in children during the COVID-19 pandemic. Pediatr Diabetes 2021; 22:552–7.

5.Orhan Kılıç B, Kılıç S, Gül Ateş E. Vitamin D Status in Turkish Children During the Covid-19 Pandemic; A Single Center Experience. Genel Tıp Derg. 2023;33(4):390-3.

6.Wolfsdorf JI, Glaser N, Agus M, Fritsch M, Hanas R, Rewers A, et al.ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: diabetic ketoacidosis and the hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state. Pediatr Diabetes 2018;27(19 Suppl):155–77.

7.https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/GetKategori?p=nufus-ve-demografi-109&dil=1

8.Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health Covid 19 Vaccination information platform. Available from: https://covid19asi.saglik.gov. tr/?_Dil=2

9. Jalilova A, Ata A, Demir G, Işıklar H, Atik Altınok Y, Özen S, Darcan Ş, Gökşen D. The Effect of the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic on Presentation with Diabetic Ketoacidosis in Children with New Onset Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2023 Aug 23;15(3):264-267.

10. Rewers M, Ludvigsson J. Environmental risk factors for type 1 diabetes. Lancet 2016;387:2340–8.

11.Karavanaki K, Tsoka E, Liacopoulou M, Karayianni C, Petrou V, Pippidou E, et al. Psychological stress as a factor potentially contributing to the pathogenesis of Type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Endocrinol Invest 2008;31:406–15.

12.Kamrath C., Rosenbauer J., Eckert A.J., Siedler K., Bartelt H., Klose D., et al. Incidence of type 1 diabetes in children and adolescents during the Covid-19 pandemic in Germany: results From the DPV Registry. Diabetes Care. 2022;45:1762-71

13.Reschke F., Lanzinger S., Herczeg V., Prahalad P., Schiaffini R., Mul D., et al. The Covid-19 pandemic affects seasonality, with increasing cases of new-onset type 1 diabetes in children, from the worldwide SWEET Registry. Diabetes Care. 2022

14.McKeigue P.M., McGurnaghan S., Blackbourn L., Bath L.E., McAllister D.A., Caparrotta T.M., et al. Relation of incident type 1 diabetes to recent Covid-19 infection: cohort study using e-health record linkage in Scotland. Diabetes Care. 2022

15.Birkebaek N.H., Kamrath C., Grimsmann J.M., Aakesson K., Cherubini V., Dovc K., et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on long-term trends in the prevalence of diabetic ketoacidosis at diagnosis of paediatric type 1 diabetes: an international multicentre study based on data from 13 national diabetes registries. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2022 S2213-8587 (22)00246-7.

16.Fierabracci A, Arena A, Rossi P. COVID-19: A Review on Diagnosis, Treatment, and Prophylaxis. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21:5145. doi: 10.3390/ijms21145145

17.Schiaffini R, Campana A, Deodati A, Peschiaroli E, Lanzillotta MF, Fierabracci A. SARS-CoV-2 infection as possible downstream disease precipitator in autoantibody-positive insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a case report. Ital J Pediatr. 2022 Feb 23:48(1):33

18. Pascolini S, Vannini A, Deleonardi G, Ciordinik M, Sensoli A, Carletti I, et al. COVID-19 and immunological dysregulation: can autoantibodies be useful? Clin Transl Sci 2021;14:502–8.

19. Verdoni L, Mazza A, Gervasoni A, Martelli L, Ruggeri M, Ciuffreda M, et al. An outbreak of severe Kawasaki-like disease at the Italian epicentre of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic: an observational cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1771–8.

20.Chang, R. et al. Risk of autoimmune diseases in patients with COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study. eClinicalMedicine 56, 101783 (2023).

21.Falko Tesch, Franz Ehm, Annika Vivirito, Danny Wende et al. Incident autoimmune diseases in association with a SARS-CoV-2 infection: A matched cohort study. medRxiv 2023.01.25.2328501

22.Lania A, Sandri MT, Cellini M, Mirani M, Lavezzi E, Mazziotti G.Thyrotoxicosis in patients with COVID-19: the THYRCOV study. Eur J Endocrinol 2020;183:381–7.

23.Tee LY, Harjanto S, Rosario BH. COVID-19 complicated by Hashimoto's thyroiditis. Singapore Med J 2021;62:265.

24.Schiaffini R, Campana A, Deodati A, Peschiaroli E, Lanzillotta MF, Fierabracci A. SARS-CoV-2 infection as possible downstream disease precipitator in autoantibody-positive insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a case report. Ital J Pediatr. 2022 Feb 23;48(1):33.

25.Cabrera-Rode E, Sarmiento L, Tiberti C, Molina G, Barrios J, Hernández D, et al. Type 1 diabetes islet associated antibodies in subjects infected by echovirus 16. Diabetologia 2003;46:1348–53.

26.Kulmala P, Savola K, Reijonen H, Veijola R, Vähäsalo P, Karjalainen J, et al. Genetic markers, humoral autoimmunity, and prediction of

type 1 diabetes in siblings of affected children. Childhood diabetes in Finland study group. Diabetes 2000;49:48–58.

27.Knip M. Prediction and prevention of type 1 diabetes. Acta Paediatr 1998;425:54–62.

28.Sepa A, Wahlberg J, Vaarala O, Frodi A, Ludvigsson J. Psychological stress may induce diabetes-related autoimmunity in infancy. Diabetes Care 2005;28:290–5.

29.Ardicli D, Kandemir N, Alikasifoglu A, Ozon A, Gonc N. Clinical characteristics of type 1 diabetes over a 40 year period in Turkey: secular trend towards earlier age of onset. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2014 Jul;27(7-8):635-41.

30. Jamiołkowska-Sztabkowska M, Głowińska-Olszewska B, Bossowski A. C-peptide and residual β -cell function in pediatric diabetes - state of the art. Pediatr Endocrinol Diabetes Metab. 2021;27(2):123-133

31. Maddaloni E, Cavallari I, Napoli N, Conte C. Vitamin D and Diabetes Mellitus. Front Horm Res. 2018;50:161-176.

32.Bouter KP, Diepersloot RJ, Wismans PJ, Gmelig Meyling FH, Hoekstra JB, Heijtink RA, van Hattum J. Humoral immune response to a yeast-derived hepatitis B vaccine in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med. 1992 Jan-Feb;9(1):66-9.

33. Cömlek FÖ, Sönmez G. Management Difficulties in the Coexistence of Covid-19 Infection and Diabetic Ketoacidosis (Case Report; Two Children with Newly Diagnosed Diabetes). Ann Afr Med. 2023 Jul-Sep;22(3):388-391.

34.Aroda VR, Edelstein SL, Goldberg RB, Knowler WC, Marcovina SM, Orchard TJ, Bray GA, Schade DS, Temprosa MG, White NH, Crandall JP; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Long-term Metformin Use and Vitamin B12 Deficiency in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016 Apr;101(4):1754-61.