PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Sems-i Tebrîzî'nin Dili: Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî

AUTHORS: Hülya KÜÇÜK

PAGES: 79-108

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/286455

AKADEMİAR DERGİSİ YIL:2016 - SAYI:1 - s. 79-108

SHAMS OF TABRÎZ'S TONGUE: MAWLÂNÂ JALÂL AL-DÎN RÛMÎ

Şems-i Tebrîzî'nin Dili: Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî

Prof. Dr.

HÜLYA KÜÇÜK

N.E. University, Theology Faculty, KONYA

Abstract

Shams of Tabrīzī's intimate and mystical relationship with Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī is all known by all the researchers interested in the matter. But the point that many researchers are missing is that Shams was incapable of expressing his mystical visions, and it was narrated some sources that Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī was divinely invested to express them, and the love displayed by Shams, more than any particular ideas that he expressed, assured his elevated status in the eyes of Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī as a king of spirituality. The result of this extraordinary mystical encounter was an intellectually sophisticated literary legacy most of which is displayed in his Kulliyyāt-i Shams yā Dīwān-i Kabīr and partly in the Mathmawī.

Keywords: Shams of Tabrīz, Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī, mystical relationship, Kulliyyāt-i Shams yā Dīwān-i Kabīr, The Mathnawī.

Özet

Şems-i Tebrîzî'nin Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî'yle yakın ilişkisi, konuyla ilgilenen bütün araştırmacıların bildiği bir husustur. Ama araştırmacıların vurgulamadığı bir nokta vardır ki o da Şems'in tasavvufî tecrübesini ifâde etmede güçlük çektiği ve Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî'nin, onun bu duygularını aktarmada "İlâhî bir takdirle görevlendirildiğinin" bazı kaynaklarda zikredildiği hususudur. Şems'in Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî'ye karşı sergilediği sevgi, onun Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî gözünde "maneviyatın sultanı" olmasına sebep olmuştur. Bu olağanüstü ilişkinin sonucu, edebî bir üslupta, Külliyyât-ı Şems veya Divân-ı Kebîr ve kısmen de Mesnevî'de karşımıza çıkmaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Şems-i Tebrîzî, Mevlânâ Celâleddîn Rûmî, Mistik ilişki, Külliyyât-ı Şems veya Divân-ı Kebîr, Mesnevî'.

 ${f T}$ his article will reconsider a number of questions that are central to the relationship between Shams of Tabrīz (d. 645/1247), whose full name was Shams al-Dīn Muhammad ibn 'Alī,¹ and Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī (d. 672/1273). Shams's intimate and mystical relationship with Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī is all known by all the researchers interested in the matter; therefore, I will not go further into explaining this relationship. I will simply say that they first met in Aleppo or in Damasqus and the effective meeting occured in Konya in 642/1244. The exact date can be found in Maqālāt of Shams.² At the time of meeting, Shams was an old man, probably in his sixties, and Rumī was thirty-eight. Some passages in these books say that they retired into seclusion for six months, while some say it was three months. During this meeting, Shams asked question after question as tough he were examining Rumi.3 After this meeting and seclusion, Shams illuminated and influenced Rūmī so much that he turned into an ecstatic Sufi, changed his lifestyle and became enraptured with love, entrusting his disciples' education to his close friends.

What I shall argue here is that as Shams was incapable of expressing his mystical visions, Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī was believed to be divinely invested to express them, and the love displayed by Shams, more than any particular ideas that he expressed, assured his elevated status in the eyes of Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī as a king of spirituality. The result of this extraordinary mystical encounter was an intellectually sophisticated literary legacy that emerged from experiences in which intellect seems to have played only a secondary role.

Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī: The Tongue of Shams

Rūmī was an heir to the mystical culture of Imām al-Ghazālī's (d.

Ahmad Sipahsālār, Risāla-i Farīdūn b. Ahmad Sipahsālār dar ahwāl-i Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn Mawlawī, ed. Sa'īd Nafīsī, Tehran: Kitābkhāna-i va Chāpkhāna-i Iqbāl 1325 A.Hsh./1946, 149.

Shams-i Tabrizī, *Maqālāt*, nd, np, Mawlānā Museum Archive, no. 2145, 33b-34a. See Sipahsālār, *Risāla*, 124-34; Shams al-Dīn Ahmad al-Aflākī, *Manāqib al-ʿārifīn*, ed. Tahsin Yazıcı, 2 vols., Ankara: TTK, (v. I) 1976–(v. II) 1980, II, 618-621 (4/6-9); For an adequate evaluation of Shams-Rūmī encounter and relation, see Semih Ceyhan, "The Sun of Muhammedan Light", Şems. Güneşle Aydınlananlar/Enlightened by the Sun, Istanbul: Nefes, 2010, 25-48: 30.

505/1111) brother Ahmad al-Ghazālī (d. 520/1125), Hakīm Sanā'ī (d. 526/1131),⁴ Farīd al-Dīn 'Attār (d. 618/1121) and Shams of Tabrīz (d. 645/1247). According to Sultān Walad, all these Sufis are from the same "light". Whoever wants to understand Rūmī should read the works of these Sufis, that is, Sanā'ī, 'Attār and Shams.⁵ Here it must first be kept in mind these Sufis, especially Ahmad al-Ghazālī, were known as the Sufis who established the rules of the Tarīq-i Shuttār. According to them, everything, even the devil, is a devout lover of God. The devil did not want to bow to man because he felt himself incapable of bowing to another "being" other than God. In fact, to the devil, God was the only being in the whole universe. In order not to bow to man, he/the devil even ventured to be in hell forever, i.e, he did not care about being in hell as long as he did not have to bow to man.6 Thus, as a close follower of Ahmad Ghazālī, Rūmī's way of Sufism was definitely *Tarīq-i Shuttār*, the way of love.⁷

As is already known, Hakīm Sanā'ī's İlāhīnāma (or Hadīqa8) and Farīd al-Dīn 'Attār's Mantiq al-Tayr and Musībatnāma were books that appealled to Rūmī's disciples. It is even known that one of the reasons that led Rūmī to compose the *Mathnawī* was the request of Chalabi Husām al-Dīn's (d. 683/1284)9 to write a poem in the meter of the poems written by these Sufi-poets as a present for his close friends. Upon this request, Rūmī said: "Yes, I too received a revelation from God to do so" and took the first 18 couplets from his headgear and gave them to Chalabi Husām al-Dīn. 10

Sultan Walad defines the way of Shams and Rūmī as the way of love: "Shams of Tabrīz and my father Mawlānā (may God bless their deeper souls) are of the Khawāss al-khwāss/elite of elite lovers of al-

For his biography, see J.T.P. de Bruijn, "Sanā'ī, " *EP*, IX, 3-5.

Sultān Walad, *Intihā-nāma*, ed. Muhammed Ali Hazānadārlu, Tehran: Intishārāt-i Rawzāna, Chāpkhāna-i Laylā, 1376, 10-13.

See S. Uludağ, "Ahmed el-Gazzâlî," *DİA*, II (İstanbul, 1989), 70.

Abdulbāki Gölpınarlı, *Mevlânā Celâleddin*, İstanbul: İnkılap ve Aka, 1999, 130-34; idem.,

⁸ Idem, Mevlânâ Celâleddîn, 118.

Sipahsālār, Risāla, 142.

⁴ He is known as the forerunner of Persian literature and founder of the Persian *Mathnawi*.

Mevlânâ'dan sonra Mevlevîlik, İstanbul: İnkılap ve Aka, 1953, 186.

¹⁰ See A. A. Konuk, *Mesnevî-i Şerîf Şerhi*, vols. 1-2. eds. S. Eraydın - M. Tahralı, İstanbul: Gelenek, 2004, I, 89; II, 67-68.

Haqq /the Real. They were one united person and light. Although they were two separate people physically, they were united as one person spiritually." Sultan Walad goes on citing a couplet of his father and says that they must be considered as the same person:

(On the day of meeting, If you see someone other than the beloved, know that he is someone else and I, the lover, am someone else)¹¹ That is, the one you see, is someone other than my beloved. Since you should see us being united.

A very important point researchers missing while examining Shams of Tabrīz's¹² life was that he was evidently incapable of speaking about "what he has witnessed in the 'ālam al-ghayb/invisible realm". He first mentioned this to Baba Kamāl of Tabrīz/Jandī (d. 672/1273),¹³ one of his early masters, at one of Baba Kamāl's¹⁴ sermons, which Shams and his close friend Fakhr al-Dīn 'Irāqī (d. 688/1289)¹⁵ used to listen to. Contrary to Shams, 'Irāqī used to compose poems and recite them extensively to his master. The master inquired Shams about the matter and asked if he was having visions

¹² He was also called Kāmil of Tabrīz. See al-Aflākī, *Manāqib*, II, 614-5.

¹¹ Sultān Walad, Intihānāma, 10-13.

He was one of the substitutes for Najm al-Dīn al-Kubrā (d. 618/1221²). For information on his life, see Devin De Weese, "Baba Kamal Jandi and the Kubravī Tradition among the Turks of Central Asia," Der Islam, 71-1 1 (1994), 58-94; Süleyman Gökbulut, Necmeddîn-i Kübrâ Hayatı, Eserleri, Görüşleri, İstanbul: İnsan, 2010, 160-68.

¹⁴ His other masters were Abū Bakr Sallabāf and Khalwatī Rukn al-Dīn Sajāsī. See Gölpinarlı, Mevlânâ Celâleddin, 50.

narlı, Mevlânâ Celâleddin, 50.

15 One of renowned representative of Theosophical Sufism, or rather Sufism of love, and a close friend of Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī and Sadr al-Dīn Qūnawī (d. 673/1274). Best known for his Lama'āt, a work consisting of his lyrical poems. He became affiliated with Bahā' al-Dīn Zakariyya in Multan, and undertook many khalwats (seclusions) in which he prefered to say lyrics instead of worshipping and praying. But when his friends informed his case to the sheikh, he/ the sheikh did not forbid him to do so and, quite the contrary, he supported him by saying that he is exempt. Later on, he became famous for his lyrics and received the sympathy of his sheikh. He even married the sheikh's daughter and succeeded him after his death in 661/1262 or 666/1267. People became jealous of him and denounced him to the Sultān of the time by saying that he was saying lyrics and engaging himself with beautiful persons (an allusion to his school of Jamāliya/Being enrapt with beautiful people, seeing God's beautiful names and attributes in them). Being made aware of the consequences of these accusations, Iraqī left Multan on pilgrimage first, and then for Anatolia. See Orhan Bilgin, "Fahreddin Irâkî," DİA, XII (Ankara 1995), 84-6.

like Fakhr al-Dīn 'Irāqī and why he did not engage in poems as he did. He responded: "I do have visions but I am incapable of expressing them." Upon this response, his master offered the following prayer to Shams: "May God the Glorious assign a friend to you, a friend who can describe the realities and the gnosis on your behalf. Rivers of wisdom may flow from his heart to his tongue, his words may dress this wisdom in letters and sounds, and the adornment of the dress may belong to you." 16 The friend who would possess these qualities was, of course, no-one other than the renowned Sufi-poet and thinker Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī.

Shams says: "I swear that God has not yet brought to the face of the earth someone who could speak as eloquently as Rūmī could. He is the second best in speaking eloquently after Prophet Muhammad."¹⁷ Shams regards himself as a worm on Rūmī's leather costume, clarifying the reason for this metaphor by saying: "I am not given a portion in speaking well and eloquently. May God endow Rūmī blessed rewards."18 There cannot be another statement that better clarifies the reason that Rūmī was created for him. This was acknowledged by Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī himself who once kissed Shams' head, saying to him: "I was I. But now I am you. God created me for you. I sold this world to you (meaning: I'm not interested in the world anymore. You are my only interest)."19 This reminds us of the renowned story in the Mathnawī: A certain man knocked at his friend's door. His friend asked, "Who is there?"

Al-Aflākī, Manāqib, II, 636.

¹⁶ 'Abd al-Rahmān Jāmī, *Nafahāt al-uns min hadarāt al-quds*, transl. and commentary: Lāmiī Chalabi, İstanbul: Ma'rifat nd, 520-1. Other sources relating this event say that Shams, during one of his travels from Dasht to Turkmenistan as a merchant, was attacked by thieves and had to take refuge in Baba Kamāl Jandī's dargāh, and he used this opportunity for his mystical training. See Kemāl ald-Dīn Husayn Khārazmī, *Jawāhir al- asrār wa zawāhir al-anwār*, ed. M. J. Sharī'at (Tahrān: Asātir, 1384 HSh), I/ 103-104 (This is quoted in: Süleyman Gökbulut, *Necmeddîn-i Kübrâ*, 162n-163; idem., *Harezmî'nin Mesnevî Şerhi*, [İzmir: Tibyân, 2013], 81-82); M. Cemaleddin Hulvî, *Lemezât-i Hulviyye* Ez Lemezât-i Ulviye (Yüce velilerin Tatlı Halleri), ed. M. S. Tayşî, İstanbul: Tercüman Gazetesi, 1993, 294

Shams, Maqālāt, 119a; idem (Şems-i Tebrîzî), Makâlât, transl. Mehmet Nuri Gencosmanoğlu, İstanbul: Ataç, 2006(Hereafter: Turkish Translation), 295. It is likely that he compared himself to a worm since they were probably talking about worms at that time. See Shams-i Tabrizī, *Maqālāt*, 131a/ (Turkish translation), 323.

19 Shams, *Maqālāt*, 75b/(Turkish translation), 206.

and he answered, "Yes." His friend said, "Begone!" and did not open the door, until the time that he answered, "Thou, O charmer of all hearts!"²⁰

Shams utters frequently that he can not speak eloquently. He says: "One of the leading personalities stated something. But I feel sorrow that I am not able to quote it properly."21 He is of the idea that his words are waiting to be discovered by Rūmī: "Although my words are not so bright, they are under a black veil (they are waiting to be discovered by someone). This sun stayed behind the curtains. And this sun is towards Rūmī. Since his face is across it". 22 Shams states that his words are hidden in his inner world, and whoever wants to listen should come near to him. However, there is a doorkeeper of this inner world, 23 who is none other than Rūmī. Shams compares Rūmī to a diver and himself to the pearl-merchant in the following famous story: "There was a merchant looking for a specific pearl that he saw once in a dream. Wherever he went, divers (of the region) used to show up to exhibit their pearls in front of him in the hope of having found the specific pearl he was looking for. However, the kind of pearl he was looking for was a secret between him and the divers. Now, Rūmī is the diver and I am the pearl merchant. And (the features of) the pearl is (a secret) between us."24 He sees himself as Mishkāt al-anwār/the niche for light25 to Rūmī in his following statement: "Rūmī is (like) the moon. Visions cannot reach the sun of my being, they can only reach to the moon. They cannot see the sun (in Arabic: shams) out of its utmost resplendence. The

²⁰ See Rūmī, Jalāl al-Dīn. Mathnawī-yi ma'nawī, ed. R. A. Nicholson (London & Leiden: Luzac, 1933), I: 3056. The translation is taken from R. A. Nicholson, Selected Poems from the Divani Shams-i Tabriz, Great Britain: Cambridge University, 1898, 93.

²¹ Shams, Magālāt, 77b/(Turkish translation), 211.

²² Shams, *Maqālāt*, 48a/(Turkish translation), 142.

²³ Shams, Maqālāt, 94b/ (Turkish translation), 247.

Shams, Maqālāt, 16a/idem, Maqālāt -i Shams-i Tabrīzī, ed. A.M. Mowahhid, I-II, Tahrān: Khārizmi, 1990, I, 115/Shams-i Tabrizi, Me and Rumi. The Autobiography of Shams-i Tabrizi, transl. William W. Chittick, Louisville: Fons Vitae, 2004, 182–3.

This is an allusion to the Qur'anic verse al-Nūr 24:35, wherein it is emphasized that God is the Light of the heavens and the earth. Renowned Abū Hâmid al-Ghāzalī (d. 505/1111) and Muhyī l-Dīn İbn al-'Arabī (d. 638/1241) have works titled Mishkāt al-amvār, although their contents are quite different from each other: al-Ghazālī's work is a kind of interpretation of the aforementioned verse, while İbn Arabī's work is a special collection of hadīths.

moon cannot reach the sun; but the sun can reach the moon. God says in the Qur'an: 'Visions cannot comprehend Him. But He comprehends visions'."26 Shams sees Rūmī as God's walī (saint or friend), and himself as al-walī of al-walī (friend of the friend); that is, he considers Rūmī closer to God.27

Shams praises Rūmī with the following words, as someone who appreciates his inner qualities: "Rūmī has a beautiful face. I have both beautiful features and ugly ones. Rūmī saw the beautiful ones only." 28 He sees Rūmī as manifestation of God's attributes of Luft/ goodness and himself as consisting of God's attributes of goodness and Qahr/evil.²⁹ He does not even deem himself as a saint: "There are some people/saints who are known by people (not veiled, under the cuppolas of God). Rūmī thinks that I'm one of them. No. They are people sought by God. I'm still seeking. In fact, according to me, God is the one who is seeking, we are not seeking Him." 30 But he strongly believes that Rūmī is God's friend. Only beautiful words befit him/Rūmī.³¹ His knowledge is very high, and so is his speech.³² He speaks comprehensibly and when he talks, people listen to and accept his words.³³

We also see that there are some topics that Shams thinks that he should not speak about. He thinks that if he speaks, Rūmī may be offended, but out of his modesty, he/Rūmī does not do this.34 So Shams needs Rūmī's words. Shams says: "People say that I benefit from Rūmī's words. [...] Rūmī's words are to me. Yes, I benefit a lot from his words; since they act as signs for me. They help me to find the way. But they are for me, only for me. Not for someone else."35 "His words drive me away from this world of joy and desires to the

Shams, Maqālāt, 16a/ (Turkish translation), 71-72. See also al-Aflākī, Manāqib, II, 636.
 See Shams, Maqālāt, 1b/ (Turkish translation), 34/Me and Rumi, 212.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 2b/ (Turkish translation), 39/Me and Rumi, 189.

²⁹ Aflakī, Manāqib, II, 659.

³⁰ Shams, *Maqālāt*, 19a/(Turkish translation), 78/ *Me and Rumi*, 304. ³¹ Shams, *Maqālāt*, 20b/(Turkish translation), 82.

³² Shams, Maqalat, 124b/ (Turkish translation), 308/Me and Rumi, 209.

Shams, Maqālāt, 54b/(Turkish translation), 159.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 54b/(Turkish translation), 202-203.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 65b/(Turkish translation), 185.

fire [of love]³⁶."³⁷ "There is no sheikh towards whom man needs to walk to learn from him, even if this walk/journey took a hundred thousand years. Rūmī is an exception; he is worthy of showing this kind of esteem/being walked towards him for a long time."³⁸

According to Rūmī, Shams was the manifestation of the *sirr*/secret of the absolute unity. And when these *sirrs* are expressed they should be told still in a veiling way. The following dialogue takes place between Rūmī and Chalabi Husām al-Dīn (d. 683/1284) who requests Rūmī to tell the secret explicitly:

I said to him: "It is better that the secret of the Friend should be disguised: do thou hearken (to it as implied) in the contents of the tale. It is better that the lovers' secret should be told in the talk of others."

He said: "Tell it forth openly and nakedly and without unfaithfulness, do not put me off o trifler! Lift the veil and speak nakedly, for I do not wear a shirt when I sleep with the Adored One."

I said: "If He should become naked in (thy) vision, neither thou remain, nor thy bosom, nor thy waist." ³⁹

Here, Avni Konuk (d. 1938, one of the commentators of the *Mathnawī*) says: "Shams is the manifestation of the *sirr* of the absolute unity; if this *sirr* is told nakedly without the clothing of tales and stories, and manifest in an explicit image, your You-ness does not stay in your view, and the manifest world which is your side and your environment dissappear, and your intellect, which is a bond between your spirit and body, vanishes." ⁴⁰ Rūmī continues as follows:

Ask for meaning, but ask with a certain measure: a straw cannot support the mountain.

If the Sun (in Arabic: Shams), by whom this world is illuminated, should approach a little (nearer), all will be burned.

³⁶ Note, however, that it is not clear what kind of love he is talking about. Since he once said: "We spent our life in playing with women's love. We set God's book behind us. How will we render account of this behaviour? In this verse there are verses hidden. Even man is a verse in this book, "SeeMaqālāt, 93a/(Turkish translation), 244. However, this can be the topic of another paper.

³⁷ Shams, Maqālāt, 67b/(Turkish translation), 189.

³⁸ Shams, Maqālāt, 80b/(Turkish translation), 218.

³⁹ Rūmī, Mathnawī-yi ma'nawī, I: 135-143.

⁴⁰ A. Avni Konuk, *Mesnevî-i Şerîf Şerhi*, I, 134-7.

Do not seek trouble and turmoil and bloodshed: say no more concerning Shams of Tabrīz!

This has no end: tell of the beginning. Go, tell all of this tale again!

Avni Konuk interprets this last verse as follows: "That is, there is no end to the words about the sirr of Shams, for the secret of unity is inexhaustable; therefore let us talk about the beginning and come back and tell the complete story." 41

Rūmī sees himself as being "aware of the nūr (light) of al-Hagq," whereas he sees Shams as being "aware of the sirr of al-Hagq". 42 According to Shams, Rūmī is the one who is drunk because of the purity of his sirr. 43 Rūmī thinks of Shams in nearly the same way: Shams is the King of spirituality according to Rūmī. The other spirits with respect to him are like bodies; he can settle all difficult issues; meanings receive spiritual nourishment from him; other meanings with respect to his meaning are just like meaningless words. The meanings he utters can be comprehended by the ones who leave forms and look at meanings, for meaning is "the spirit of the spirit of the spirit."44

According to statements by Sultān Walad, Shams is Rūmī's guide (murshid) and both are eternal beloveds of al-Hagg/the Real. The Real has accepted their prayers and made them secure of the fear of torment. The lessons they received from *al-Haqq* are totally different.⁴⁵ The door of sirr is opened by meeting with a different wali (saint), for it is only through this meeting that the real path will be found, the path will be completed and maturity attained. As a matter of fact, when Rūmī met Shams, "sirrs became disclosed to him in a way things becomes clearly manifested in daylight," and by this meeting, he/Rūmī "saw what was impossible to be seen," heard "what was impossible to be heard."46

Shams had no poems and works authored by himself. His only work Maqālāt contains his words and sermons compiled by Sultān Walad, as

45 Ibid., 215.

⁴¹ Shams, Maqālāt, I, 134-7.

⁴² al-Aflākī, *Manāqib*, II, 614. ⁴³ Shams, *Maqālāt*, 40a/(Turkish translation), 123.

⁴⁴ Sultān Walad, Intihānāma, 214.

⁴⁶ Sultān Walad, Mathnawī-i Waladī ba bahr-i khafīf ma'rūf be Waladnāma, ed. Jalāl Humāī Tahran: Iqbāl, 1355 H.Sh/1936, 41/ Sultān Veled, İbtidâ-nâme, transl. A. Gölpınarlı, Konya: Konya ve Mülhakatı Eski Eserleri Sevenler Derneği, 2001, 40.

mentioned earlier. There are some other works like Marghūb al-qulūb, a Mathnawī of 138 couplets, attributed to him. Nevertheless they are forgeries.⁴⁷ He himself says: "I never had the custom of writing. Since I never write anything down, the words stay with me. At every instant they show me another face."48 And He feels it a pity that he has no poems and works that make him feel the joy or excitement of saying/uttering words. He says: "Unfortunately, no such a poet is born yet. Who am I then?" This is so sad. Nevertheless he does not perceive this case a shame. Since, first of all, although the plain of words is so all spacious, meaning is narrow in its spaciousness. And still, there are meanings beyond the plain of meaning, such the spaciousness of expression becomes narrow. It pulls it down, it pulls it under, both its words and sounds, so no expression remains."49 Secondly, Jesus spoke immediately after he was born, but the Prophet Muhammad did not speak until his 40th year. "So what?" he asks and adds: "This was not a lack for him. Quite the contrary, it was of his being a perfect man."50 He says that whomever feels joy from his own poems, feels joy from other people's poems.⁵¹ Does this mean that he does not feel excitement from other people's poems? Probably "Yes," as he expressed that he had no poems of his own to be excited about by reading them.

Here, Rūmī is someone who reads Shams' letter/writing. According to Shams, men, sometimes should read other people's letters: Shams says, "Only ignorant person reads his own letters all the time. He does not read his friend's letters"52 and, "The wise is someone who does not read his own letters all the time."53 He explains the reason therefore as follows: "Do not see your own words as superior to other peoples' words. This would be something that would make you gain progress."54 In all these phrases, he imples that although he himself cannot write a

⁴⁷ See Gölpınarlı, *Mevlânâ Müzesi Yazmalar Kataloğu*, II, 257; Önder et al., Mevlâna Bibliyografyası, II, 261.

⁴⁸ Shams, Me and Rumi, 192.

Shams, Maqālāt, 10b, 82b/(Turkish translation), 59, 222/ Me and Rumi, 193.

Shams, Maqālāt, 10b/(Turkish translation), 59/ Me and Rumi, 70.

Shams, Maqālāt, 82a/(Turkish translation), 221.

⁵² Shams, *Maqālāt*, 10b/(Turkish translation), 59. 53 Shams, *Maqālāt*, 66a/(Turkish translation), 186. 54 Shams, *Maqālāt*, 164a/(Turkish translation), 401.

letter, there is another person writing on his behalf, that is "reading his letters embedded in his inner self," and this is again Rūmī, as Shams explicitly utters in another passage of his *Maqālāt*: "If I have a strong desire, Rūmī suffices me. Do not forget that you read your own letters all the time, not the dearest one's letters. Let us read your friends' letters (as Rūmī did). If you can do this, it will be more beneficial. All your problems originate from your reading your own letters. The vision comes out of knowledge and Gnosis. There is another way of knowledge and Gnosis after this vision. After this vision there are many long visions. And there is another which is more short but its name became tainted. There should be another name for it." 56

Ten Reasons for Shams to need a tongue other than his own

In contradiction to what has been said above, Shams also says that he can speak many languages: He can translate any language into Persian. He can talk in accordance with anyone's nature.⁵⁷ He says that there are people only on the one quarter of the world (the rest is empty in his view) and whatever they ask, he can respond in ten different ways and can make interpretations in interpretations, responses in responses. Rūmī said that he no longer enjoyed reading books after he met Shams, since there is no book that contains the beauty of his words. As stated by Shams: "I need material knowledge and fast comprehension so that with the help of these I can say 'It is a pity if I tell my knowhow to them [...] No matter how hard a question they ask me, they get the answer in advance. There have been commentaries upon commentaries written for these questions that seem difficult. There are ten different answers to these questions in my words and none of these are written in the books." This is why Mawlānā said: "Books became unappealing to me after I met you." 58 Furthermore, he believes in the positive influence of nice words when he narrates how a certain

⁵⁵ He probably means *kashf/*divine revelation here.

⁵⁶ Shams, Maqālāt, 54a/(Turkish translation), 158/ Me and Rumi, 270.

⁵⁷ Shams, Maqālāt, 132a/(Turkish translation), 326.

⁵⁸ Shams, Maqālāt, 144b-145a/(Turkish translation), 354/Maqālāt -i Shams-i Tabrīzī, I, 186/Me and Rumi, 193.

man became a close friend to a king: The king loved his words dearly, since he used to tell very flattering words. The king's work eased and fell in order because of these nice words.⁵⁹ If so, then, why doesn't he speak? Let us analyse this misunderstood case before we indulge in its impact upon Rūmī. We have enough evidence from Shams' testimony in his Magālāt concerning his poor speaking abilities.

a) The first point that appears to us is that he became afflicted with losing his speaking ability during a mystical experience when he was still a child. He says: "Something happened to me while I was a child. Even my father could not solve the problem. He asked me what is wrong with me, and said "I know you are not mad." He also says that he was always a sad child.⁶¹ Furthermore, in his Maqālāt, we understand that the case of his losing speaking ability happened during a very powerful mystic experience: "I was a child. He asked me⁶² and I responded 'yes' by (shaking) my head. He also shook His head. I could not tell anything, I was stunned. After this event, I could not open my mouth again, although my inner-world was full of words, idioms and meanings. This was rare case to happen to a child."63 He was a strange child, experiencing extraordinary spiritual events from his childhood. al-Aflākī also states that he used to see God and angels, and considered this an ordinary event, since he thought that everybody could see them. According to his father, he was of the ones "having visions when still a child".64 He says that even his father could nor understand him at all and asked: "What is wrong with you (Are you crazy)? You were not dîwāna/crazy previously! You did not get the training nor the mystical austerity into this way." Shams responded his father by saying that "We are cut from different cloth, and I feel myself like a goose egg under a hen."65

Shams, Maqālāt, 2a/(Turkish translation), 36.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 3b/(Turkish translation), 42 / Me and Rumi, 4.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 161b/(Turkish translation), 394-5/ Me and Rumi, 5. For Shams the child, see Maqālāt -i Shams-i Tabrīzī, I, 77; II, 79, 162.
 It is not uttered what He/God asked. This is likely because he did not want to utter

everything as Hallāj did. He said once: "He announced it. I saw Him, I saw Him all the time. No, not all the time. I should say things in a roundabout way, and not be like Hallāj, "See Shams, *Maqālāt*, 127a/(Turkish translation), 314.

63 Shams, *Maqālāt*, 111b-112a/(Turkish translation), 277.

64 al-Aflākī, *Manāqib*, II, 680.

⁶⁵ Shams, Maqālāt, 3b-4a/(Turkish translation), 42.

- b) His being skeptical about some religious matters: There are many passages in Shams' Maqālāt that imply that he cannot or does not want to talk to people since he is a little skeptical of the principles of Islam. He says, for instance: "People like Rūmī even though he does not speak eloquently. But after a while, he began to speak in an admirable way and people, old and young, loved him. As for me, there is something wrong with me. I have lots of things that I did not tell yet. I have some doubts. Therefore, I tell things concisely. I even implore my words by saying "Please don't leave me in doubt!"66 He is of the idea that Rūmī speaks to people explicitly in the matter of al-Hagg/the Real. But still, it is Shams who made Rūmī speak this way: "Since I talked to him profoundly, and told everything plainly. When he tells something, people accept it and accept his words."67 Note that he thinks of being skeptic as being at the beginning of the way (mubtadi'),68 and he also depicts Hallāj as a skeptic.69
- c) He believes that although his words were good enough when compared with the words of people who did not possess Gnosis, they are not good enough when compared with the things he would like to say.⁷⁰ He was full of meanings but felt that his words were beyond the shores. He does not feel himself willing or strong enough to talk. He prefers being in seclusion to speaking to people. He says: "Why should I (sit together with people and) have to talk anyway?! I would like to be alone."⁷¹ He claims that he has no energy to talk, and even feels sorry that he could not listen. If one wants to talk, he has to listen.⁷² He does not like to deliver sermons either, finding it a difficult task to fulfill. He claims that people don't understand his words: "They grasp neither the outward meaning of the words, nor the point. If they don't understand the words, how they are going to put them into practice? Works without knowledge are misguidance".⁷³

```
    Shams, Maqālāt, 50a-b/(Turkish translation), 148.
    Shams, Maqālāt, 54b/(Turkish translation), 159.
```

⁶⁸ Shams, *Maqālāt*, 83b/(Turkish translation), 225. 69 Shams, *Maqālāt*, 4a, 69b, 83b/(Turkish translation), 42, 193, 224.

⁷⁰ Shams, Maqalat, 145a/(Turkish translation), 355.

⁷¹ Shams, *Maqālāt*, 73b/(Turkish translation), 202.

Shams, Maqālāi, 160b/(Turkish translation), 392.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 108b/(Turkish translation), 269/ Me and Rumi, 245

Also, because one needs to purify himself before performing it. 74 He thinks the prufication is bron of all the wisdom, as it was said in a hadīth.75 He delivered sermons only because he had to, "otherwise people begin complaining," he says. Otherwise, everything is said before; there is no need to repeat them.⁷⁶

In fact, he does not want to talk because he is looking for specific people to admonish. He does not perceive everybody in need of listening to his words: "To whom can words be said? To blind people. Even half-blind people do not need to be admonished."⁷⁷ He also says: "I will speak if he is worth of that." 78 However, he prefers to talk to Rūmī: "I don't want to talk to anybody. I would like to talk only to Rūmī,"⁷⁹ "It is nice to be here with you. If I go to Tabrīz, people would give me property, money and high stations. But if I cannot find anyone who listens to me, what would I do there?"80 "There are many words hidden in people of words. Nevertheless what would be the benefit of it if there is none to listen to him?"81 We know that even before they met, Shams asked God if He has very special people who can endure to his friendship, and God said to him: "Go to the land of Rūm/Anatolia (there you will find certain person). He headed to Anatolia and met Rūmī.82

d) He thinks that sometimes signs or actions can be a substitute for words when he says: "Someone listened to the summary of my words, and said: 'I cannot say something about me.' So, if I pluck out his beard and mustache one-by-one by the root, would that be enough of a statement about my views, would that be enough words?"83 To him, sometimes, the whole body can become a tongue.84

```
<sup>74</sup> Shams, Maqālāt, 164a(Turkish translation), 401.
```

Shams, Maqālāt, 145a/(Turkish translation), 355.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 108b/(Turkish translation), 269/ Me and Rumi, 245.

Shams, Maqālāt, 150a/(Turkish translation), 367.
 Shams, Me and Rumi, 239.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 67a/(Turkish translation), 188.

Shams, Maqālāt, 78a/(Turkish translation), 212/ Me and Rumi, 209.
Shams, Maqālāt, 110a/(Turkish translation), 273.

⁸² See Sipahsālār, Risāla, 125-6. See also Shams, Magālāt -i Shams-i Tabrīzī, II, 162/Me and Rumi, 179.

⁸³ Shams, Maqālāt, 74a/(Turkish translation), 203.

⁸⁴ Shams, Maqālāt, 112b/(Turkish translation), 280.

- e) He does not want to talk since he is being a *Malāmī* like his master, Abū Bakr Sallabāf. We can take his attitude of wearing or investing a khirqa/dervish's cloak as a sign of his being Malāmī, since we know that *Malāmī*s do not wear *khirqa*/Sufi vest and do not invest khirga. Shams says: "Abū Bakr Sallabāf has no tradition of investing a khirga. In fact, I saw neither him, nor his sheikh to find out if he had this tradition or not. I went out of Tabrīz with this specific desire but I could not find anyone. Maybe there is such a sheik. I did not see my sheik, but I heard that he becomes offended to anyone that narrates his words."85 Sallabaf did not like his words to be quoted. This is Malāmat, and like him, Shams does not want to be known by people. Therefore, he travels a lot, but does not speak much. Here it should be noted that paradoxically, Shams, being a Malāmī-way of Sufi, was uninterested in bestowing ceremonial cloaks or mantels upon disciples as other Sufis do. Instead, Shams pointed to his words and their effects upon disciples as his mantel of investure.86 This is why his collected sermons were called Khirqā-yi Shams.
- f) He regards "kalām/words, speaking" as belonging to God: Kalām/Speaking is God's attribute. He is the Outward with his attribute of talking, and the Inward with his Essence/Dhāt.⁸⁷ But no, God does not talk, He makes everything talk by His might. Lifeless beings are not an exception.⁸⁸
- g) In fact, he thinks that all the words carrying wisdom have already been uttered earlier by earlier Sufis, and Sufis of later times are simply repeating or imitating them in other ways. There cannot be any original words said by the people of the time. All the rhetoric, symbols and metaphors spoken by people today are no more than imitations of the former people's words with wisdom. Shams does not like repeating words and enjoins people not to do it either. He also says that many people tell many things but they are only words of other people, hadīths, stories, poems, etc. There is no single word of them-

⁸⁵ Shams, Maqālāt, 80b/(Turkish translation), 218/Me and Rumi, 302-303.

⁸⁶ See Shams, Maqālāt -i Shams-i Tabrīzī, I, 224.

⁸⁷ Shams, Maqālāt, 73b/(Turkish translation), 202.

⁸⁸ Shams, Maqālāt, 163b/(Turkish translation), 399.

⁸⁹ Shams, Maqālāt, 68b/(Turkish translation), 191-2.

selves that can be deemed as their own.90 For this reason he does not want to talk; there is nothing left to say. 91 He says that although people crtitisize him for repeating the same words all the time, and claiming that it originates from his being intellectually poor. He defends himself saying: My words are quite well, but you do not understand them. It is difficult to make you understand them. Had I tell them a hundred times, they are full of a different meaning each times.92

Rūmī had to be a Tongue for Shams

Rūmī was definitely of the group of "people with words". He is said to have inherited this ability/quality from his sheikh, Burhān al-Dīn Muhaqqiq Tirmidhī, as the latter says: "There are two qualities invested in me: eloquency in speaking and having mystical states. I bestowed my eloquency to the service of Rūmī and my mystical states to the service of Salāh al-Dīn."93

On the contrary, Shams was of the group of "khāmushān/people of silence." His couplet "I would talk to you without a tongue" is directed to Shams.⁹⁴ In his *Dīwān*, one of the *makhlases*/nicknames Rūmī used for Shams is Khāmush/one who keeps silence, and recommended people to be like him.95 However, at the same time, Shams spoke many words to Rūmī. This is why Rūmī lamented when he disappeared, saying: "What happened to those words with wisdom? What happened to that intellect that was acquainted with the divine secrets?"96

Shams himself thought that he was born to inspire Rūmī. First of all, he considered Rūmī a vulnerable person: "You are a gentle man.

Shams, Maqālāt, 70a/(Turkish translation), 195.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 108b/(Turkish translation), 269.
 Shams, Maqālāt, 32a/(Turkish translation), 107.

⁹³ See al-Aflākī, *Manāqib*, II, 705.

Mawlānā Djalāl al-Dīn Rūmī, Dīwān-i kabīr (Facsimile of an early MS at the Mawlānā Museum in Konya), Ankara: General Directory of the PTT, 2012, II, 12b.
 See Tahsin Yazıcı, "Dîvân-1 Kebîr," DİA, IX (İstanbul 1994), 432. Here, it is also claimed

that Khāmūsh may have been Rūmī's nickname before he met Shams of Tabrīz.

Spēlk Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr. Seçmeler, İstanbul: Ötüken, 2000, III:1305 (Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-i Shams yā Diwān-i kabīr (hereafter Kulliyyāt-i Shams), ed. B. Furūzanfar, 7 vols, Tahrān: Dāniṣgāh-1 Tahrān 1336-1345 H.Sh /1957-1966, VI: 2648. (Numbers after the volumes, refers to the odes, not to the pages, in both Can and Furūzānfar).

You cannot take my words. You are weak. I am so strong that I can take being hurt a thousand times. I can go everywhere: I can go to the market, as well as to hell. I can go anywhere!"97

Secondly, he is the one who makes Rūmī speak in beneficial way. He says that Rūmī speaks what his al-ilm al-ladun (Divine knowledge) drives in him. He speaks them without being concerned with whether or not anyone will benefit. But Shams himself speaks in state of ilhām/divine revelation. His words are gifts from God (and beneficial for people). He says: "If I train someone by words, he bewares himself of his 'lower self'. God's people are either 'people of work' or 'people of words'. I will create from among you 'people of words'. In fact, if someone from among 'people of work' can manage to be 'a man of words', it/his words would have more effect on people."98 But still, Rūmī should go to people, because they don't understand Shams's words: "You talk to them. God hasn't told me to speak in these lowly smiles."99

He said that there is a fiery temperament within him that none can resist. His words became a remedy for the listener. 100 He has such a staunch impact on people that he who listens to him became mad. He says: "It is well known that none, not even a lifeless being, can take me for more than seven months. Who listens to me in the Madrasa turns into a madman. But why should I turn people with intellect into mad people?"101 And Rūmī completely agrees with him on this point when he says: "If a conscious man wants to join us, the lovers of God, we would not allow him to do this. We allow only lovers of God to join us."102

We can claim that all of Rūmī's books are composed out of the love and inspiration he took from Shams. al-Aflākī says that Rūmī became irresolute/undetermined and inconstant for many days and nights after he was separated from Shams, and kept saying many divinely se-

⁹⁷ Shams, Maqālāt, 132a/(Turkish translation), 326.
⁹⁸ Shams, Maqālāt, 87a/Me and Rumi, 192.
⁹⁹ Shams, Maqālāt -i Shams-i Tabrīzī, II, 134/Me and Rumi, 192.
¹⁰⁰ Shams, Maqālāt, 66a/(Turkish translation), 232.
¹⁰¹ Shams, Maqālāt, 66a/(Turkish translation), 185.

¹⁰²See Can (ed.) Dîvân-i Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:884 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-i Shams, IV:1955).

crets and wisdoms. ¹⁰³ This is especially the case with his $D\bar{\imath}w\bar{a}n$, which is also named Dīwān-ı kabīr or Dīwān-ı Shams, a voluminous poetry book dedicated to Shams. It consists of some 40,000 verses about his love, longing and loneliness in this strange world. It is full of couplets reiterating his love to Shams and his unity with him as representative of divine love in which he appended Shams' name as the author, since he deemed himself as speaking on behalf of him. Rumi often used the traditional form of love lyric, the Ghazāl, which generally consists of five to 12 lines and employs a single rhyme throughout the poem. Rūmī created his poems in a state of ecstasy, born of Shams, the *Insān-i* Ma'shūq/beloved man. After Shams' final disappearance in 645/1247, Rūmī started in his grief to circle a pole in his garden and to speak poetry, which was written down by scribes. 104 Sultān Walad describes the passionate and uncontrollable emotion that overwhelmed his father after Shams disappeared, saying that he turned into a majnūn due to his separation. He never rested, day or night. He was a Muftī (legal counsel of Islamic law) but then became a poet. He had been an ascetic, but turned into a man intoxicated; not intoxicated by wine, but by the wine of light, since he belonged to the Light. 105

The above words of Sultān Walad, as translated by Reynold A. Nicholson, are not quite the same as those that occur in Sultān Walad's *Ibtidānāma*, which says: "Here Sultān Walad alludes to the *Dīwān-i Shams-i Tabrīz* (*Lyrics of Shams of Tabrīz*), an immense collection of mystical odes composed by Jalālu'l-Dīn in the name of Shamsu'l-Dīn, and dedicated to his *alter ego.*" Rūmī declared that he and Shams-i Tabrīz were "two bodies in one soul". In this union of loving souls, nothing remains but the essential Unity of Love, in which the "lover"

103 al-Aflākī, Manāqib, II, 630.

105 Sultān Walad, *Ibtidānāma*, 52-3.

Talari, Manaqio, II, 630.
 They are published as: Kulliyyāt-i Shams yā Dīwān-ı kabīr, ed. B. Furūzānfar, 7 vols, Tahrān 1336-1345h.H.Sh/1957-1966. Its translation into English is by Nevit Oğuz Ergin, (12 vols, California/USA: 1995-2000) and 7 vols, Konya: 2007. An earlier translation includes only some poems from the Dīwān: R. A. Nicholson, Selected Poems from the Diwani Shamsi Tabriz, Great Britain: Cambridge University, 1898.

¹⁰⁶See R. A. Nicholson, Rūmī. Poet and Mystic (1207-1273), London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd, 1973, 20.

and "beloved" have merged their separate identities. This is an allusion to Hallāj's renowned couplet of

I am who desires, and who desired is I,

We are two souls united into one body. 107

In calling his lyrics the Dīwān (poems) of Shams of Tabrīz, Rumī uses the name of Shams as though they had become one and the same person. Though to us, Shams' figure may appear substantial, we need not accept the view put forward by some modern scholars that he is merely a personification of Rūmī's poetic and mystical genius an Eastern equivalent of the "Muse". 108 To me, it is not Rūmī who is speaking there, but rather Shams. Rūmī is only Shams' tongue speaking on behalf of his beloved Shams. This case acquaints us with a striking literary phenomen: Note that the case of Socrates and Plato is similar in kind but not in degree. Sokrates had no work, but Plato made him speak his works on his behalf. In the case of Rūmī and Shams, there is no question of forgery or composition holding up the imagined author. The *Dīwān* is never attributed to Shams of Tabrīz, who died before it was complete. Note that there is no question of Takhallus (pen-name) but, in a mystical sense, it might be since Rūmī asserts the identity of subject and object. To him, Shams represents the divine beloved, the only being in whom all individual names are manifested and ultimately merged. 109 In it, he used mostly the makhlas of Shams/ Shams of Tabrīz or Shams al-Haqq wa-l-Dīn or *Khāmush*/one who keeps silence. His other renowned companions' names, i.e., Salāh al-Dīn Zarkūb and Chalabi Husām al-Dīn, occur approximately many times in the Dīwān. He sometimes used to call Husām al-Dīn as "my religion, o my faith, a my life, o my real sultan."110 Obviously, he was the tongue for his beloved. In the Dīwān, Rūmī resembles himself to the Prophet Solomon in love. He was a friend of birds, and the one who understood their language. "In me, there is the love of a fairy; I can call it whenever I want. I can catch the fairy of those who possess

¹⁰⁷See Ibn al-'Arabī, *Kitāb al-Bā*', MS, Yusufağa (Konya), no. 4868/2, 4b.

¹⁰⁸ See Nicholson, Rūmī. Poet and Mystic (1207-1273), 21.

¹⁰⁹ See Nicholson, "Introduction," in: R.A. Nicholson, Selected Poems From the Divani Shamsi Tabriz, GreatBritain: Cambridge University, 1898, xv.

¹¹⁰Al-Aflākī, Manāqib, I, 100.

it and put it in the bottle. I read an enchantment, make it sacred. I am horrifed of this case. I tell sayings and I am silent and a script board for those who speak with no mouth or tongue."111 He also says:

You say to me: "How are you?" How whould I know that how I am! You ask: "Where do you come from, of which family are you?" How would I know where I come from, and of which family I am!

You ask me: "Why are you so intoxicated, why are you in ecstasy? Of which wine glass did you drink to fall into this state?" How would I know that!

You say: "What is it with that lip that you have such pleasant way of speaking?" How would I know that!

You ask me: "Is there anything much better than living in health and being young?" How would I know that!

I saw a fire as bright as the water of life [āb-1 hayāt] in both his cheeks. However, how was it, how would I know that!

If I am you, who are you then? You are this or that? How would I know!

Who am I that to think such things? Are you a soul filled with mercy and love? How would I know that!112

He says: "I am the bow of a wool fluffer (Hallāj) for Shams. As Shams' fire has fallen into this shop, Hallaj tasted that love and became hanged at the gallow."113 "It is you who brought me to lament like Nay. Do accord me like a harp, make me sound!"114 He says that Shams bestowed upon him a crow, a throne and sovereignity and I became the commander of his army."115 Since, according to him, it is love that makes man a man: "Someone whose pulse is not pulsating with love, is like a donkey, even if he claims to be Platon."116

Note that all these statements are only metaphors indicating his love of and unity with God: "There is no Shams but al-Haqq, the genuine beloved."117 Only his love has a meaning. All other loves are

¹¹¹Can (ed.), Dîvân-ı Kebîr (Seçmeler), II: 689 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-i Shams, III: 1466).

¹¹¹ Can (ed.), Divân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II: 689 (Rumi, Kulliyyat-1 Shams, III: 1466).
112 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:771 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-1 Shams, III: 1544).
113 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:629 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-1 Shams, III: 1306).
114 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:880 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-1 Shams, IV:1914).
115 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:552 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-1 Shams, III: 1590).
116 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:552 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-1 Shams, III: 1161).
117 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), "Önsöz, "I, 9.

meaningless. Therefore, he asks: "Why do you love His creature instead of Him?"118 He says:

I saw love as a fortress protecting man from all the calamities. Therefore, I take refuge in love, I take it as my shelter.

I have abandoned all prosperity and all joys of the world for you. And I have chosen your love only.

You are the soul of the world. All the beings in nature, all the property are your creatures. I saw them in their multiplicity. Now I realize that they all are the one thing, they are your work. 119

In his Dīwān, Rūmī emphasized that his innermost soul was Shams of Tabrīz, as well as his prayer and Qibla/prayer direction. 120 Rūmī fostered an endless love towards him, and out of this love, he said ghazals full of Shams's name. 121 It is true that Shams had a spiritual impact on Rūmī. He also had great intellectual influence on Rūmī. Rūmī said that he no longer enjoyed books after he met Shams.

There are certain stories in the *Mathnawī* lent from his *Maqālāt*. The tale of three sons of the king (incomplete story in the *Mathnawi*), and the story of king and his beloved slave Ayāz¹²² are only some of the instances. The man in the women's bathhouse, 123 and the camel seeing far away¹²⁴ are the other stories we see in both. In some stories, Shams' reference is Rūmī. For instance, he says: "Mawlāna said it before than me,"125 or: "I keep something in my memory for sixteen years ever since I heard it from Rūmī."126 Rūmī says that after he met Shams, the fire of love began to shine in his heart, and he/Shams enjoined him to leave his father's, Sultan al-'ulama', book Ma'arıf and al-Mutanabbī's (d. 303/915)¹²⁷ Dīwān aside. We "can even say that he

```
    118 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:481 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-i Shams, II: 1036).
    119 Can (ed.), Dîvân-1 Kebîr (Seçmeler), II:772 (Rūmī, Kulliyyāt-i Shams, III: 1548).
```

¹²⁰ Mevlâna, Divân-ı Kebîr, Ì, 355.

¹²¹ al-Aflākī, Manāqib, II, 646.

¹²² Shams, *Maqālāt*, 6b-7b/(Turkish translation), 49-51. ¹²³ Shams, *Maqālāt*, 46b/(Turkish translation), 140.

¹²⁴ Shams, *Maqālāt*, 14a/(Turkish translation), 67. 125 Shams, *Maqālāt*, 11b/(Turkish translation), 61.

¹²⁶Shams, Maqālāt, 42a/(Turkish translation), 128-9.

¹²⁷A renowned Arab poet who, according to some researchers, claimed to be a prophet in some of his couplets. For his bigraphy, see İsmail Durmuş, "Mütenebbî, " DİA, XXXII (İstanbul 2006), 195-9.

strictly forbade him to read/study these books. Rūmī used to read especially $M\bar{a}$ arif by standing all night long, from the early evening until the dawn (fajr). 129

After the second disappearance of Shams in 645/1247, Rūmī chose an illiterate goldsmith, Salāh al-dīn Zarkūb as company, and accompanied him for some ten years, which caused whisperings like the ones in his relationship with Shams. When Salāh al-dīn Zarkūb died in 656/1258, Chalabi Husām al-Dīn, one of Rūmī's students, took his place as a new mirror of Love in the world, the mirror of God. "The wine is one; only the vessel is changed," as Rūmī said in a poem. In his Dīwān, Rūmī sometimes used mahklases of Salāh al-Dīn Zarqūb and Chalabi Husām al-Dīn, as noted above. 130 During his company with Chalabi Husām al-Dīn, he composed nearly 26,000 couplets of the Mathnawi-yi Ma'nawi in six volumes, which he wrote for Husām al-Dīn. This is why Husāmnāma was another name given to the Mathnawī. In it, Husām al-Dīn was praised with words like Shāh/ Great and exalted person, ustād/master, the light of God, etc. However, according to him, it would be wrong to praise him to the prisoners (of sensuality), i.e., people who can understand only the outward meaning of words, and it would be wrong to speak of him to wordly people. He should speak (Husām al-Dīn's praise) in the assembly of the spiritual, and should keep it hidden like the secret of the love. He further added: "The praise consists of describing (excellent qualities) and in rendering the veil of ignorance: the Sun is independent of exposition and description."131 Here, we observe that he was compared to the Sun, that is, "Shams" in Arabic. Obviously, Husām al-Dīn was his new Sun/Shams. Mathnawī was written definitely for Husām al-Dīn. ¹³² Not only the *Mathnawī* was written for him, but also his copy was deemed as the most reliable copy; since he read it to Rūmī (in a sense, collated it with the original source) seven times letter by letter.¹³³

¹²⁸ See al-Aflākī, Manāqib, II, 622-4, 651-2.

¹²⁹See idem, I, 92-3.

¹³⁰See B. Fürûzanfer, Mevlana Celaleddîn, transl. F.N. Uzluk, Konya: İl Kültür ve Turizm Müdürlüğü, 2005, 179-80.

¹³¹See, for instance, Rūmī, Mathnawī-yi ma'nawī, V: 1-30.

¹³²Sipahsālār, *Risāla*, 142-3.

¹³³See al-Aflākī, I, 496-7.

As is already known, Sanā'ī's (d. 526/1131) *Ilāhīnāma* (or *Hadīqa*)¹³⁴ and 'Attār's *Mantiq al-Tayr* and his *Musībatnāma* were the most read works by Rūmī's disciples, in so far that Husām al-Dīn offered Rūmī to write a *Mathnawī* in the same rhyme as these works.¹³⁵ He emphasized that the *Mathnawī* was spoken on the request of Chalabi Husām al-Dīn.¹³⁶ We can even claim that it was written on his level of understanding, as it is stated in the following verse:

Let us speak Persian! Come, abandon Arabic! Be the Hindu (slave) of that Turcoman (the divine Beloved), O (man of) water and clay!¹³⁷

Avni Konuk says that, here, Husām al-Dīn is meant; ¹³⁸ however, we can also see in it some references to Shams. In it, Rūmī referred the simple *nay*/reed flute as the metaphor for himself: "Listen to the reed, how it tells a tale, complaining of separation." His separation from Shams was only an allusion to his separation from God. And the *sama*, the mystical dance, was a metaphor for the manifestation of the secret power of God, more than a technique for meditation: "The sun/Shams dances in the sky, God is the axis, and the entire universe is dancing and whirling around Him as his subservient creatures waiting for his orders."

Rūmī used his poems as a tool to reach the people around him and attract their attantion to the divine secrets. The outcome was *popülist Sufism*. It might be useful to remind the readers that in Sufism there are three main streams in the conveying of Sufi teachings: The austere style of narration, the populist-icstatic style of narration, and, last but not least, the elitist-philosophical style of narration. Qushayrī (d. 465/1072) is an example for the first style, Rūmī is an example for the second, and İbn al-'Arabī (d. 638/1240) is an example for the third. As is the case in many Sufi orders, Rūmī's face is not turned to the elite, but to all and sundry. Some people around him even used to ask why he was accepting all those *mean people* as novices, and he used to say: "If they were excellent people, I would

```
<sup>134</sup>Gölpınarlı, Mevlânâ Celâleddîn, 118.
<sup>135</sup>Konuk, Mesnevî-i Şerîf Şerhi, I, 89.
<sup>136</sup>Rūmī, Mathnawī-yi ma'nawī, V: 1.
<sup>137</sup>Ibid., III: 2839.
<sup>138</sup>A. A. Konuk, Mesnevî-i Şerîf Şerhi, VI, eds. S. Eraydın et al., İstanbul: Kitabevi, 2006,
```

¹³⁹ al-Aflakī, Manāqib, I, 207-208.

be their disciple."140 On the contrary, according to him, a dervish that did not reach perfection yet is like a bird. Even if he cannot reach the sky, he can escape earthly traps.141 Therefore, it is necessary that a guide be engaged in their teachings. Thus, Rūmī's face was turned towards all and sundry, while their faces were turned towards Venus: Rūmī aimed to express his ecstacy in the form of mystical poems and samā', which was only a tool serving to attract the Anatolian people's attention to divine secrets. The Anatolian people of his time were described as "people of entertainment and people with a brow like Venus."142 Venus was regarded as the star of entertainment and joy. It is a contradiction that in some miniatures dating from the Seljuqs, Rūmī himself was depicted as someone under the influence of this star, although it may not reflect the full truth, 143 since it was actually the people around him who were under the influence of Venus. He was a man of mission, a mission of calling people to God with his ebullient and exuberant ritual of the samā' and Mathnawī, together with Shams of Tabrīz as his source of inspiration. Rūmī was performing the samā' even before he met Shams; Sultān Walad says that his father, Rūmī, was encouraged to perform it by Kirā-yi Buzurg, Sultān Walad's grandmother. He/Rūmī used to release his hands during the samā', and Shams taught him to whirl.144

We see some passages in Rūmī's work entitled Fīhī mā fīh and in the Risāla-i Sipahsālār abstracted from this work emphasizing that Rūmī was using poems to meet people's requests of saying poems from him. His words are sufficient to prove the argument that he was not busy with poems because he loved them, but because people around him love them.

"It is a custom of mine that I want no heart to become distressed through me. During our meetings, sometimes a great multitude

 $^{^{140}\}mbox{For general features of $R\bar{u}m\bar{\iota}$'s disciples, see idem I, 151, 416, etc.}$

¹⁴¹See idem, I, 312.

¹⁴²See idem, I, 207-208.

¹⁴³ For details, see Recep Uslu, Selçuklu Topraklarında Müzik, Konya: İl Kültür Müdürlüğü, 2011 36, 320

¹⁴⁴ al-Aflakī, Manāqib, II, 680-1.

thrust themselves upon me and some of my friends try to fend them off. That disturbs me. I have said a hundred times, "Say nothing on my account. I am content with that." I care to such a degree that when such friends come to me, I dread the thought of boring them, so I speak poetry for their enjoyment. Otherwise, what do I care about poetry? By God, I care nothing for poetry. There is nothing worse in my eyes. To me, it is like the cook who plunges his hand into tripe, cleaning it out for the sake of a guest's appetite.

"A merchant searches to see what products are needed in their city, and what the people want to buy. Then they buy and sell those goods and services, even if they are the lowest of things in their eyes. I have studied many sciences and taken pains to offer fine, rare and precious things to the scholars and researchers, the clever ones and the deep thinkers who come to me. God has willed this. He gathered to me all those sciences, and assembled here all those pains, so I would become occupied with this work. What can I do? In my own country, and amongst my own people, there is nothing more shameful than poetry. If I had remained there, I would have lived in harmony with their temperament. I would have practiced what they love, such as giving lectures, composing books and preaching." 145

Rūmī even complains that people hear his *outer words* but his *in-ner cries* reach no-one. This way, he implies that he has some spritual stories and problems that he cannot share with anyone in the world. Whatever the case, he does not see writing peoms as useless. He says: I say and leave words for the people who will come to the world after us. Since our lives will not go on after us/it will cease. Thus, writing things is a way of talking to people after one's death and it is therefore important.

He tells stories concerning various things/*Kathra*, not only the oneness of God, since he wants to attract people's attention to his *Mathnawī*. Nevertheless, his main aim is the Oneness of God, and

¹⁴⁵See M.J. Rūmī, Fīhi Mā Fih, ed. B. Furūzanfar, Tahrān: Amīr Kabīr, 1342 sh. and 1358 sh./1979, 74; Discourses of Rumi, 132-3; Sipahsālār, Risāla, 68-9.

¹⁴⁶ See Sipahsālār, Risāla, 5.

¹⁴⁷See idem, 7.

his *Mathnawī* is a shop/place of *faqr*/feeling needy of God and His Oneness. He says: "Our *Mathnawī* becomes sheikh/guides people after us."

It is said that one of his famous companions, Hajī Bektash Walī (d.669/1270–1[?]), to whom the Bektāshi order is attributed, was very jealous of his fame, which was an outcome of his poems and samā'. So he wished that Rūmī stop performing samā'. So he sent one of his disciples to Rūmī forwarding his negative criticisms with the words: "Ask him why he is crying out so much? Tell him: 'If you found God, that's fine. If not, then why are you crying out and not searching for him?" When the disciple entered Rūmī's home, even before delivering his words, Rūmī said: "(Tell him:) If you did not yet find the Friend, why do you not search for him? If you found him, then why do you not show your happiness (by crying out)?" In this way, Rūmī was implying that he cries out with samā' since he found God in his inner life.

Note that Rūmī was effective not only in teaching the Muslim population of Anatolia, but also people from other religions. Therefore he likens himself to a pair of compasses with one leg in Islam and the other travelling around the world. He says:

We are like a pair of compasses: One foot on the Religion of Islam, The other is wandering around the seventy-two nations. ¹⁵¹

However, his tolerance to other religions did not diminish his allegience to Islam. Quite the contrary, he probably used his tolerance of other religions as a tool for serving his missionary purposes in a very polite way. Stories ending with the conversion of the addressee to Islam may be evidence proving the authencity of this idea. Even the renowned poem of

¹⁴⁸See Rūmī, Mathnawī, 287 b/ Mathnawī-yi ma'nawī, VI:1528.

¹⁴⁹al-Aflākī, *Manāqib*, I, 409.

¹⁵⁰Idem, I, 381-2.

¹⁵¹ See F.N. Uzluk, "Çevrenin Önsözü," in: B. Fürûzanfer, Mevlana Celaleddin, transl. F.N. Uzluk, Konya: İl Kültür ve Turizm Müdürlüğü, 2005, 13-23: 14.

¹⁵²For details on this subject, see Hülya Küçük, "Batı'da Gözardı Edilmek İstenen 'Müslüman' Mevlânâ, " International Symposium on the Traces of Mawlânâ Jalâl al-Dîn Rûmî in the World / Dünyada Mevlânâ İzleri Uluslararası Sempozyumu, 13-15 December/ Aralık 2007, Proceedings/Bildiriler, Konya 2010, 122-136.

"Come! Come again! Whoever, whatever you may be, come!
Heathen, idolatrous or fire worshipper, come!
Even if you deny your oaths a hundred times, come!
Our door is the door of hope, come! Come as you are!"
is not attributed to him; it is proven now that it is a poem of Abū
Saī'd Abū –l- Khayr.(d. 440/1049).¹⁵³

Conclusion

To sum up, we can say that the relationship between Shams and Rūmī was an extraordinary divine phenomenon. Both of them believed that Rûmī was created for Shams: They were sometimes two different poles completing each other when they came together. For instance one could not speak eloquently, while the other could speak and write poems that come down to us through the centuries. Shams says: "I am not given a portion in speaking well and eloquently. May God endow Rūmī with blessed rewards," meaning that Rûmī was invested to talk on behalf of him. This is especially the case in Rūmī's Dīwān. Rūmī declared that he and Shams-i Tabrīz were "two bodies in one soul". In this union of loving souls, the only existing thing is the essential Unity of Love, in which the "lover" and "beloved," that is, Rūmī and Shams, have merged their separate identities. In my thinking, it is not Rūmī who is speaking there, rather, it is Shams. Rūmī is Shams' tongue only speaking on behalf of his beloved, that is, Shams. The Dīwān is never attributed to Shams of Tabrīz, who died before it was complete, as some claim. Neither is there a question of Takhallus (pen-name). That said, we may consider such a thing in a mystical sense since Rūmī claims as being identical to Shams, who represents the Divine Beloved. In addition, in the *Dīwān*, Rūmī used mostly the *makhlas* of Shams/Shams of Tabrīz or Shams al-Haqq wa-l-Dīn or *Khāmush*/one who keeps silence.

¹⁵³ For details see Yakup Şafak, "Mevlana'ya atfedilen "Yine Gel" Rubâisine Dâir" Tasavvuf, 24 (2009), 75–80.

Bibliography

- al-Ajlūnī, I.M., Kashf al-khafā'. 2 vols, Beirut: Dār al-Nafā'is, 1351/1932.
- al-Aflākī, Shams al-Dīn Ahmad al-Ārifī, *Manāqib al-Ārifīn*, ed. Tahsin Yazıcı. 2 vols, Ankara: TTK, 1976 (v.1),1980 (v.2).
- _____, (Şemseddin Ahmed el-Ârifî el-Eflâkî), *Ariflerin Menkıbeleri*, transl. Tahsin Yazıcı, 2 vols, Expanded new ed., İstanbul: Remzi, 1986 (v.1), 1987(v.2).
- Bilgin, Orhan, "Fahreddin Irâkî", DİA, XII (Ankara 1995),84-86.
- Can, Şefik (ed.). *Dîvân-ı Kebîr.Seçmeler*. İstanbul: Ötüken, 2000.
- Ceyhan, Semih, "The Sun of Muhammedan Light", Şems. Güneşle Aydınlanan-lar/Enlightened by the Sun (Istanbul: Nefes, 2010), 25-48.
- Durmuş,İsmail, "Mütenebbî," DİA, XXXII (İstanbul 2006), 195-9.
- Fürûzanfer, Bediuzzaman, *Mevlânâ Celâleddin*, transl. F.N. Uzluk. Konya: İl Kültür Müdürlüğü, 2005.
- Gökbulut, Süleyman, Necmeddîn-i Kübra. Hayatı. Eserleri, Görüşleri, İstanbul: İnsan, 2010.
- ____, Harezmî'nin Mesnevî Şerhi, İzmir: Tibyan, 2013.
- Gölpınarlı, Abdulbâki, Mevlânâ'dan sonra Mevlevîlik, Istanbul: İnkılap ve Aka, 1953.
- _____, Mevlânâ Müzesi Yazmalar Kataloğu, 4 vols, Ankara: TTK/Türk Tarih Kurumu, 1971.
- ____, Mevlânâ Celâleddîn, İstanbul: İnkilap ve Aka, 1999.
- Ibn al-'Arabī, Kitāb al-Bā', MS, Yusufağa (Konya), no. 4868/2.
- Jāmī, 'Abd al-Rahmān, *Nāfahāt al-uns min hadarāt al-quds,* transl. and commentary: Lāmiī Chalabī. Istanbul: Ma'rifat nd.
- Konuk, A. Avni, *Mesnevî-i Şerif Şerhi*, vol. 1, eds. S. Eraydın M. Tahralı. İstanbul: Gelenek, 2004.
- _____, *Mesnevî-i Şerif Şerhi* , vol. 6, eds. S. Eraydın *et all*. İstanbul: Kitabevi, 2006.
- Küçük, Hülya "Batı'da Gözardı Edilmek İstenen 'Müslüman' Mevlânâ, " International Symposium on the Traces of Mawlânâ Jalâl al-Dîn Rûmî in the World / Dünyada Mevlânâ İzleri Uluslararası Sempozyumu, 13-15 December/Aralık 2007, Proceedings/Bildiriler, Konya 2010, 122-136.
- Nafīsī, Sa'īd, "Muqaddima," in: *Kulliyyāt-i Irāqī*, ed. S. Nafīsī, Tahran 1335 HSh/ 1956.
- Nicholson, R.A., *Rūmī. Poet and Mystic (1207-1273)*, London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd, 1973.

_, "Introduction" in: Selected Poems From the Divani Shams-i Tabrîz. Great Britain: Routledge. Taylor Francis Group 1999. Önder, Mehmet *et al., Mevlâna Bibliyografyası*, 2 vols. Ankara: Türkiye İş Ban-Rūmī, Jalāl al-Dīn. Mawlānā Djalāl al-Dīn Rūmī, Dīwān-i kabīr (Facsimile of an early MS at the Mawlana Museum in Konya), Ankara: General Directory of the PTT, 2012. ____, Fīhi Mā Fīh, ed. B. Furūzanfar, Tahrān: Amīr Kabīr, 1358 sh./1979. ____, Mathnawī-yi ma'nawī, ed. R.A. Nicholson, London & Leiden: Luzac, 1933. ____, Kulliyyāt-i Shams yā Dīwān-i Kabīr, 7 vols., ed. B. Furūzanfar. Tahran: Dānişgāh-1 Tahrān 1336-1345 H.Sh/1957-1966. ____, The Mathnawī, transl. R.A. Nicholson. Konya: Metropolitan Municipality, 2008. Shams-i Tabrizī, *Maqālāt*, nd. np. Mawlānā Nuseum Archive. no.2145. ___, (Şems-i Tebrîzî), *Makâlât*, transl. M.N. Gencosmanoğlu, İstanbul: Ataç, 2006. __, *Maqālāt-i Shams-i Tabrīzī*, ed. A.M. Mowahhid, I-II, Tahrān: Khārizmi, 1990 _, Me and Rumi, The Autobiography of Shams-i Tabrizi, transl. William W. Chittick, Louisville: Fons Vitae, 2004. Sultān Walad, Mathnawī-i Waladī ba bahr-i khafıf ma'rūf be Waladnāma, ed. Jalāl Humāī, Tahran: Iqbāl, 1355 H.Sh/1936. __, (Sultan Veled), *İbtidâ-nâme*, transl. A. Gölpınarlı. Konya: Konya ve Mülhakatı Eski Eserleri Sevenler Derneği, 2001. __, *Intihānāme*. ed. Muhammad 'A. Khizānadarlū. Tahran: Laylā, 1376 H.Sh /1997. ____, Intihānāme. transl. Hakkı Eroğlu. Ms (in Ottoman Script). SÜSAM. no. 103 (Now in the collections of the main Library of Selçuk Üniversity). Şafak, Yakup , "Mevlana'ya atfedilen "Yine Gel" Rubâisine Dâir" Tasavvuf, 24 (2009), 75-80.

Uslu, Recep, Selçuklu Topraklarında Müzik, Konya: İl Kültür Müdürlüğü,

De Weese, Devin. "Baba Kamal Jandi and the Kubravī Tradition among the

Turks of Central Asia." Der Islam, vol. 71, no. 1 (1994). 58-94.

Yazıcı, Tahsin , "Dîvân-ı Kebîr", DİA , IX (İstanbul 1994).

2011.