PAPER DETAILS

TITLE: Determining Bioclimatic Comfort Areas in Summer with The Heat Index Method

AUTHORS: Fatih ADIGÜZEL, Mesut DOGAN

PAGES: 54-64

ORIGINAL PDF URL: https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1756583



Kastamonu University

Journal of Engineering and Sciences

e-ISSN 2667-8209

http://dergipark.gov.tr/kastamonujes



Determining Bioclimatic Comfort Areas in Summer with The Heat Index Method

Fatih Adiguzel^{*, a}, Mesut Dogan^b

^a Department of Geography, Faculty of Science and Letters, Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University, Nevsehir, Turkey, e-mail: fadiguzel@nevsehir.edu.tr ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5978-2495

^b Department of Geography, Faculty Literature, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey e-mail: esutan@istanbul.edu.tr ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4926-5769

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT		
RESEARCH ARTICLE Received: May: 07. 2021 Reviewed: May: 24. 2021 Accepted: May: 28. 2021	From past to present, human beings are striving to live following climatic conditions. With the increase in urbanization, these conditions have become more difficult, and especially in the summer months, some settlements have become unbearable. One of these settlements is the Adapa Cukurova district. This study aims to determine the temperature areas fall by the		
Keywords: Bioclimatic Comfort, GIS, Heat Index, Cukurova, Summer Season.	Heat Index method in the Adana-Çukurova district and its surroundings. Bioclimatic comfort zones of the summer months were determined with the climate data obtained from the General Directorate of Meteorology, and these comfort zones and thermal stress levels were classified according to PET.		
<i>Corresponding Author:</i> <i>*E-mail: fadiguzel@nevsehir.edu.tr</i>			
	ÖZ		
Anahtar Kelimeler: Biyoklimatik Konfor, CBS, Heat Index, Çukurova, Yaz Mevsimi.	Geçmişten günümüze kadar insanoğlu iklim şartlarına uygun yaşama çabasındadır. Şehirleşmenin artmasıyla birlikte bu şartlar daha zorlaşmış ve özellikle yaz aylarında bazı yerleşmelerde çekilmez duruma gelmiştir. Bu yerleşmelerden biri de Adana-Çukurova ilçesidir. Bu çalışmanın amacı da Adana-Çukurova ilçesi ve çevresinde Heat Indexsi metoduyla hissedilen sıcaklık alanlarını belirlemektir. Meteorloji Genel Müdürlüğünden alınan iklim verileri ile yaz aylarının biyoklimatik konfor alanları belirlenmiştir ve bu konfor alanları ve termal stres seviyeleri PET'e göre sınıflandırılmıştır.		

1. Introduction

Human beings have made many scientific developments to improve their living conditions in the historical process. In this context, especially individuals want to have a comfortable life in every sense. Comfort is a dynamic cultural and social structure that has different meanings related to many different areas (work, entertainment, home, school, etc.) in daily life [1-10]. Geographical conditions and especially climate are known to have a multidimensional effect on people's comfort standards. However, when we evaluate it in terms of climate, it has psychological and physiological effects on the individual, as well as economic and social effects. It is a fact that climate is among the main factors controlling the daily life of the individual, clothing and food styles, behaviors and lifestyles, and even health conditions [8-23]. Another situation is that the factors affecting or controlling the climate should not be forgotten in this process. When the climate is considered as a whole, there is the control of mechanisms of various scales and dimensions on similar climatic elements such as pressure, wind, precipitation, humidity, or temperature [11-29]. Today, most people live in cities. In this process,

Adiguzel and Dogan 7(1):54-64, 2021

urbanization has increased more rapidly, especially with the progress of the industrial field in the world. However, with this rapid urbanization, various problems have arisen, especially in terms of planning, cities have developed negatively [23-29]. In the world, more people live in urban areas than rural areas, 55% of the world's population live in urban areas in 2018, while this situation was 30% in 1950, but it is estimated that 68% will live in urban areas in 2050 depending United Nations (UN, 2019) [30]. Since there are very deep and complex relationships in unplanned developing cities, it has left many negative effects on human comfort. As cities change the environmental structure and topography of nature in their location, they have effects on many other natural parameters that have an effect in that area with the increasing population [1-10,23-29, 31-35]. For this reason, it has a great effect on the climate as well as on many natural elements in cities. Thus, the comfort of people living in cities is directly affected. When looking at many studies on human comfort, the climate affects a large part of the life of the individual, and thus, humidity, wind, and temperature must be at certain intervals for the individual to be comfortable in his / her environment [31-41]. In other words, people's stress levels increase considerably when they are above or below these desired values. In this context, in cases where stress increases, firstly its effect is observed on psychology, while it affects daily life negatively. However, it is possible to see these problems on the physical glaze, and this includes a process that goes up to the death of a person. For many such reasons, academic studies in this field have increased gradually. It is increasing with studies on various subjects, especially global warming, urban heat island, and bioclimatic comfort areas.

This study aims to determine and map the bioclimatic comfort areas of Çukurova and its surrounding region. Also to evaluate the human settlement activities in terms of bioclimatic comfort and to determine the stress conditions of the individuals living in the region depending on the temperature. The study took place at various stages in line with such purposes. In this context, climate data such as temperature and relative humidity from 18 meteorology stations covering the study area were obtained by request from the General Directorate of Meteorology. With these data taken in 12 months, it was prepared as general average and monthly average. With these data obtained from a total of 18 meteorology stations, the relative humidity and temperature were transferred to the Geographical Information Systems environment, and the model was created with the co-kriking method, and pixel-based humidity and temperature maps were created. The scale was produced by using the temperature values felt with these produced maps over the "Heat Index" standard. Thus, the temperature values felt on the map were classified.

2. Material and Method

The study area covers the province of Adana, Çukurova district, and its wider environment. It is located between the districts of Pozanti and Çamlıyayla in the northwest and Mersin province in the west and İmamoğlu in the northeast (Figure 1). The altitude of the study area starts from 4 meters and goes up to 2848 meters.

Graphs were prepared for various purposes with the help of climate data obtained from the MGM excel program. Subsequently, with the ArcGIS 10.8.1 program, humidity and temperature maps of the study area and its surroundings were created with the co-kriging method included in the interpolation methods. "Heat index" calculation has been made with the help of the ArcGIS Pro program.

 $\label{eq:HI} HI = -42.379 + 2.04901523*T + 10.14333127*RH - .22475541*T*RH - .00683783*T*T - .05481717*RH*RH + .00122874*T*T*RH + .00085282*T*RH*RH - .00000199*T*T*RH*RH [42]$

Classification of thermal sensation and stress levels of the PET index was used to show the bioclimatic comfort conditions of the study area and its environment (PET Table). However, the heat index map of the district created as a result of mobile measurements and modeling was not subjected to PET index classification, and the felt temperature values were shown (Table 1).

Adiguzel and Dogan 7(1):54-64, 2021



Figure 1. Study Area Location Map

Table 1. The values of	of PET	[43]
------------------------	--------	------

PET (°C)	The feeling of warmth	Thermal stress level
< 4	Very cold	Extreme cold stress
4,1 - 8,0	Cold	Strong cold stress
8,1 - 13,0	Cool	Moderate cold stress
13,1 – 18,0	Light cool	Mild cold stress
18,1 – 23,0	Comfortable	No thermal stress
23,1 - 29,0	Slightly warm	Mild heat stress
29,1 - 35,0	Marrow	Medium temperature stress
35,1 - 41,0	Hot	Strong heat stress
> 41,0	Very hot	Extreme temperature stress

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Spatial Distribution of Temperature and Bioclimatic Comfort Conditions

Temperature (average, maximum and minimum) and bioclimatic comfort conditions of the working area and its environment; The monthly average temperature, the monthly average of the daily maximum temperatures, and the monthly average of the daily minimum temperatures were obtained, and the distribution of temperature and bioclimatic comfort values were made monthly.

In this study, Heat Index was used in index calculations, but PET values were used in thermal detection classes.

3.1.1.June

When the average temperature properties of the study area and its surroundings are examined, it is seen that the lowest temperature is $19 \degree \text{C}$ and the highest temperature is $26.83 \degree \text{C}$. The places where the lowest temperatures ($19 \degree \text{C}-22 \degree \text{C}$) are observed are in the north and northwest of the area. The highest temperatures ($26 \degree \text{C}-26.83 \degree \text{C}$) are found in the south of the study area. When looking at the work area, the average temperature is between $24.5 \degree \text{C}-25 \degree \text{C}$ (Figure 2).

For many years, according to the daily maximum temperature monthly averages, the temperatures in the study area and its surroundings hover between 25.88 ° C -33.08 °C. The areas where the temperatures are the lowest are the Pozanti district and its surroundings located in the northeast of the region. When the study area is examined, it is seen that the maximum temperatures are between 30 ° C-31 ° C, while the values located further south are 33 ° C.

For many years, the daily minimum temperature monthly averages hover between 13.83 $^{\circ}$ C-16 $^{\circ}$ C in Pozantı, Çamlıyayla, and its vicinity, while the temperature in the study area is around 18 $^{\circ}$ C, and in the southern areas, it is 21 $^{\circ}$ C and above.

When examining the spatial distributions of bioclimatic comfort conditions according to the June Heat Index values, the average, maximum and minimum temperatures of the work area and its surroundings indicate that "Cool", "Slightly Cool", "Comfortable", "Slightly Cool", "Warm", "Warm" and "Very Hot "thermal detections are seen.

Average temperature values are between 19°C and 26.83°C. According to the heat index, these values are between 18.5 ° C-29.45 ° C and indicate the perceived temperature values. The working area is around 20 ° C and in the "Comfortable" range. The north and west of the study area are between 24.8 ° C-25.2 ° C, "Slightly Warm", the south is 29 ° C and above and is in the "Slightly Warm" range.

Maximum temperature values are between 25.88 ° C and 33.08 ° C. According to the heat index, these values are between 26.03 °-42.58 ° and are in the range of "Comfortable", "Slightly Warm", "Warm", "Warm" and "Very Hot". is located. The working area and its surroundings are around 36 ° C and in the "Hot" range. The south of the study area is between 38 ° C-42.58 ° C and is in the "Very Hot" range. The temperature values of Pozanti and Çamlıyayla districts are between 25.88 ° C-30 ° C and are between "Slightly Warm" and "Warm".

Minimum temperature values are between 13.83 ° C and 21.18 ° C. According to the heat index, these values are between 12.81 ° C-21.47 ° C and are in the range of "Cool", "Slightly Cool" and "Comfortable". The area around the work area is "Slightly Cool" and "Comfortable", the north and northwest are generally "Slightly Cool", but a narrow area with high altitude is in the "Cool" range.

The participants' profile has been determined according to gender, age, education, employment and their origin (from Perşembe or come from another city). According to survey data the gender of respondents is % 35,90 female and %64,10 male. The ages of the respondents are % 4,3 (0-18 age), % 25,3 (19-30 age), % 30,3 (31-40), % 36,5 (41-65) and % 3,6 (>65).

Adiguzel and Dogan 7(1):54-64, 2021



Figure 2: Bioclimatic Comfort Maps of June

3.1.2.July

When the average temperature characteristics of the study area and its surroundings are examined, it is seen that the lowest temperature is $24.79 \degree C$ and the highest temperature is $28.82 \degree C$. The places where the lowest temperatures ($24.79 \degree C$ - $26.5 \degree C$) are observed are located in the north and northwest of the area. The highest temperatures ($28 \degree C$ - $28.82 \degree C$) are found in the south of the study area. In terms of the working area, the average temperature is around $27 \degree C$ (Figure 3)

For many years, according to the daily maximum temperature monthly averages, the temperatures in the working area and its surroundings range between 30.35 ° C -34.95 ° C. The areas where the temperatures are the lowest are the Pozanti

district and its surroundings located in the northeast of the region. When the study area is examined, it is seen that the maximum temperatures are around 33 $^{\circ}$ C, while the values in the further south are 34 $^{\circ}$ C and above.

For many years, the daily minimum temperature monthly averages are between 17.28 $^{\circ}$ C-19.4 $^{\circ}$ C in Pozanti, Çamlıyayla, and its vicinity, while the temperature in the study area is between 21.5 $^{\circ}$ C-22.5 $^{\circ}$ C, and in the southern areas it is 24 $^{\circ}$ C and above.



Figure 3: Bioclimatic Comfort Maps of July

When examining the spatial distributions of bioclimatic comfort conditions according to the average, maximum and minimum temperatures of the workplace and its environment, according to the July Heat Index values, "Slightly Cool", "Comfortable", "Slightly Warm", "Warm", "Hot" and "Very Hot" thermal perceptions is seen.

Average temperature values are between $24.79 \degree C$ and $28.82 \degree C$. According to the heat index, these values are between $24.6 \degree C$ - $34.72 \degree C$ and indicate the perceived temperature values. The working area is around $31 \degree C$ and in the "Warm" range. The north and west of the study area are between $24.6 \degree C$ - $26.5 \degree C$, "Slightly Warm", the south is $33 \degree C$ and above, and is in the "Slightly Warm" range.

Maximum temperature values are between $30.35 \circ C$ and $34.95 \circ C$. According to the heat index, these values are between $333.04 \circ C$ - $48.66 \circ C$ and are in the range of "Warm", "Hot" and "Very Hot". The working area and its surroundings are around 43 and in the "Very Hot" range. The south of the study area is between $40 \circ C$ - $48.66 \circ C$ and is in the "Very Hot" range. The temperature values of Pozanti and Çamlıyayla districts are between $31.46 \circ C$ - $36 \circ C$ and are between "Warm" and "Hot".

Minimum temperature values are between 17.28 $^{\circ}$ C and 24.95 $^{\circ}$ C. According to the heat index, these values are between 16.15 $^{\circ}$ C-25.64 $^{\circ}$ C and are in the range of "Slightly Cool", "Comfortable" and "Light Warm". The study area and the west and north of the "Comfortable" study area, in general, are "Slightly Warm" but a narrow area with high elevation is in the "Slightly Cool" range.

3.1.3.August

When the average temperature characteristics of the study area and its surroundings are examined, it is seen that the lowest temperature is $23.92 \degree C$ and the highest temperature is $29.59 \degree C$. The places where the lowest temperatures ($23.92 \degree C$ - $26 \degree C$) are observed are located in the north and northwest of the area. The highest temperatures ($28.5 \degree C$ - $29.59 \degree C$) are found in the south of the study area. When looking at the work area, the average temperature is between $27.5 \degree C$ - $28 \degree C$ (Figure 4).

For many years, according to the daily maximum temperature monthly averages, the temperatures in the working area and its surroundings range between $30.65 \circ C$ - $35.92 \circ C$. The areas where the temperatures are the lowest are the Pozanti district and its surroundings located in the northeast of the region. When the study area is examined, it is seen that the maximum temperatures are around $34 \circ C$, while the values in the further south are $35 \circ C$ and above.

For many years, the daily minimum temperature monthly averages hover between 17.36 $^{\circ}$ C-19.5 $^{\circ}$ C in Pozanti, Çamlıyayla, and its surroundings, while the temperature is around 22.5 $^{\circ}$ C in the study area, and 25 $^{\circ}$ C and above in the southern areas.

When examining the spatial distributions of bioclimatic comfort conditions according to the average, maximum and minimum temperatures of the work area and its environment according to the August Heat Index values, "Slightly Cool", "Comfortable", "Slightly Warm", "Warm", "Hot" and "Very Hot" thermal perceptions is seen.

Average temperature values are between 23.92 ° C and 29.59 ° C. According to the heat index, these values are between 23.63 ° -36.98 ° C and indicate the perceived temperature values. The working area is between 30 ° C-31.5 ° C and in the "Warm" range. The north and west of the study area are between 23.63 ° C-26 ° C, "Slightly Warm", the south is 35 ° C and above, and is in the "Hot" range.

Maximum temperature values are between 30.65 °C and 35.92 °C. According to the heat index, these values are between 31.74 °C-52.12 °C and are in the range of "Warm", "Hot" and "Very Hot". The working area and its surroundings are between 45 °C-48 °C and in the "Very Hot" range. The south of the study area rises above 50 °C and is in the "Very Hot" range. The temperature values of Pozanti and Çamlıyayla districts are between 31.74 °C-37 °C and are between "Warm" and "Hot". Minimum temperature values are between 17.34 °C and 25.49 °C. According to the heat index, these values are between 16.41 °C-26.23 °C and are in the range of "Slightly Cool", "Comfortable" and "Light Warm". The study area and the west and north of the "Comfortable" study area, in general, are "Slightly Warm" but a narrow area with high elevation is in the "Slightly Cool" range.



Figure 4: Bioclimatic Comfort Maps of August

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

In this study, the bioclimatic comfort areas of the Çukurova district and its surroundings calculated according to the heat index in the summer months were examined.

Temperature and relative humidity maps were created by interpolating the climate data obtained from MGM and heat index values of these data were revealed through ArcGIS Pro software.

It is observed that the temperatures felt in Çukurova district during the summer months are extremely high according to the maximum heat index and according to the thermal stress level, it is in the hot and very hot class in June and in the very hot class in July and August. This makes the city uninhabitable and causes people to use air conditioners excessively.

According to the minimum heat index calculation, Çukurova district is generally classified as comfortable in the summer months. However, it is classified as warm according to the average heat index.

Since the study area is a hot and humid place, and the urbanization is intense, the urban heat island effect is more common. For this reason, it is necessary to increase the green areas and to carry out activities that will increase the effectiveness of natural ventilation.

Competing Interest / Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they no conflict of interest. None of the authors have any competing interest in the manuscript

Funding

There is no financial support and commercial support.

Acknowledgments

We declare that all Authors equally contribute. *This article is derived from the Ph.D. thesis titled "Investigation of the Effect of Green Areas on Urban Life Quality with GIS: The Case of Çukurova" conducted under the supervision of Fatih ADIGÜZEL's Mesut DOĞAN.

Thank you for supporting my Ph.D. Thesis of Advisory Prof Dr Mesut DOĞAN and Istanbul University, Institute of Social Science.

4. References

- Bozdogan Sert, E. (2020) An Evaluation in Terms of Native Woody Taxa with Threatened Status and Conservation Strategies in the Flora of Hatay Province. Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 6(2): 51-61.
- [2] Doğan, M., Vural, E., & Avci T(2020). Determination of Comfort Areas According to the Wind Chill Index of the Central Anatolia Region. Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 6(2): 84-94.
- [3] Adigüzel, F., & Doğan, M.(2020) Analysis of Sufficiency and Accessibility of Active Green Areas in Cukurova. Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 6(2): 95-106.
- [4] Guzel, Z. T., & Bozdogan Sert, E (2020) Analysing the Land Use Alteration's Impact in the District of Belen (Hatay) on the Natural and Built Environment by using Corine Data. Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 6(2): 73-83.
- [5] Sandal, E. K., Adigüzel, F., & Karademir, N. (2020). Changes In Land Use Between The Years Of 1990-2018 In Mersin Province Based On CORINE (Coordination Of Information On The Environment) System. Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 6(1): 8-18.
- [6] Deniz, A., & Güngör, Ş. (2020). Mapping with unmanned aerial vehicles systems: A Case Study of Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli University Campus. Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 6(1): 27-32.
- [7] Vural, E. (2020). Investigation of Experienced Air Pollution on Selected Pollutants Scale in Kırıkkale City (2018-2019). Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 6(1): 41-50.
- [8] Zeren Cetin I, Ozel HB, Varol T (2020) Integrating of settlement area in urban and forest area of Bartin with climatic conditions decision for managements. Air Qual Atmos Health 13: 1013-1022. DOI: 10.1007/s11869-020-00871-1, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-020-00871-1
- [9] Zeren Cetin I, Sevik H (2020) Investigation of the Relationship between Bioclimatic Comfort and Land Use by Using GIS and RS Techniques in Trabzon. Environ Monit Assess 192: 71 (2020). DOI: 10.1007/s10661-019-8029-4, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-8029-4
- [10] Chappells, H. (2010). Comfort, well-being and the socio-technical dynamics of everyday life. Intelligent Buildings International, 2(4), 286-298.

- [11] Kaya E, Agca M, Adiguzel F, Cetin M (2019) Spatial data analysis with R programming for environment. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal 25 (6): 1521-1530. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10807039.2018.1470896
- [12] Adiguzel F, Cetin M, Kaya E, Simsek M, Gungor S, Bozdogan Sert E (2020) Defining suitable areas for bioclimatic comfort for landscape planning and landscape management in Hatay, Turkey. Theor Appl Climatol 139(3): 1493-1503 doi:10.1007/s00704-019-03065-7, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00704-019-03065-7
- [13] Adıgüzel A, Toroğlu E, Kaya Ö (2015) Urban Development and Transportation Relations: Adana Case. Turkish Studies - International Periodical for the Languages, Literature and History of Turkish or Turkic Volume 10/6 Spring 2015, p. 27-46, ISSN: 1308-2140, www.turkishstudies.net, DOI Number: http://dx.doi.org/10.7827/TurkishStudies.8109, Ankara-Turkey
- [14] Alkan A, Adıgüzel F, Kaya E (2017) The Importance of Green Areas in Reducing Urban Warming in Batman City. Geography Magazine, 34(34), 62–76
- [15] Bozdogan Sert E, Turkmen M, Cetin M (2019) Heavy metal accumulation in rosemary leaves and stems exposed to traffic-related pollution near Adana-İskenderun Highway (Hatay, Turkey), Environ Monit Assess 191:553,https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7714-7, https://rd.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10661-019-7714-7
- [16] Cetin M (2019) The effect of urban planning on urban formations determining bioclimatic comfort area's effect using satellitia imagines on air quality: a case study of Bursa city. Air Qual Atmos Health 12(10):1237-1249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-019-00742-4; https://rd.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11869-019-00742-4
- [17] Cetin M (2020) Climate comfort depending on different altitudes and land use in the urban areas in Kahramanmaras city. Air Qual Atmos Health 13: 991-999. DOI: 10.1007/s11869-020-00858-y, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-020-00858-y
- [18] Cetin M, Adiguzel F, Gungor S, Kaya E, Sancar MC (2019) Evaluation of thermal climatic region areas in terms of building density in urban management and planning for Burdur, Turkey. Air Qual Atmos Health 12 (9): 1103-1112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-019-00727-3; https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs11869-019-00727-3.pdf
- [19] Cetin M, Adiguzel F, Kaya O, Sahap A (2018) Mapping of bioclimatic comfort for potential planning using GIS in Aydin. Environ Dev Sustain 20(1): 361-375, DOI: 10.1007/s10668-016-9885-5, http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-016-9885-5
- [20] Zeren Cetin, I. (2019). The evaluation in terms of bioclimatic comfort of the province of Trabzon, Master Thesis. Kastamonu University, Institute of Science, Kastamonu , 55pp, Turkey.
- [21] Cetin M, Zeren I, Sevik H, Cakir C, Akpinar H (2018)
 A study on the determination of the natural park's sustainable tourism potential. Environ Monit Assess 190(3): 167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-6534-5
- [22] Cetin M, Sevik H, Canturk U, Cakir C (2018) Evaluation of the recreational potential of Kutahya Urban Forest. Fresen Environ Bul 27(5):2629-2634
- [23] Türkeş, M. (2017). Genel Klimatoloji: Atmosfer, Hava ve İklim Temelleri. İstanbul: Kriter Yayınevi.
- [24] Cetin M (2017) Change in Amount of Chlorophyll in Some Interior Ornamental Plants. Kastamonu University Journal of Engineering and Sciences 3(1):11-19, 2017. http://dergipark.gov.tr/download/issue-file/5600
- [25] Cetin M (2015) Determining the bioclimatic comfort in Kastamonu City. Environ Monit Assess 187(10): 640, http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10661-015-4861-3
- [26] Cetin M (2015) Evaluation of the sustainable tourism potential of a protected area for landscape planning: a case study of the ancient city of Pompeipolis in Kastamonu. Int J Sustain Dev World Ecol 22(6): 490-

495, http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13504509.2015.1081651?src=recsys&journalCode=tsdw20 [27] Çalışkan, O. (2012). Türkiye'nin Biyoklimatik Koşullarının Analizi ve Şehirleşmenin Biyoklimatik Koşullara

- Etkisinin Ankara Ölçeğinde İncelenmesi. Doktora Tezi, Ankara Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Ankara. [28] Cetin M (2016) Sustainability of Urban Coastal Area Management: A Case Study on Cide. J Sustain For 2016, 35 (7): 527–541, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10549811.2016.1228072
- [29] Cetin M (2016) Determination of bioclimatic comfort areas in landscape planning: A case study of Cide Coastline, Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology 4(9): 800-804,

2016, http://www.agrifoodscience.com/index.php/TURJAF/article/view/872/374

[30] UN, United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision (ST/ESA/SER.A/420). New York: United Nations.

- [31] Cetin M (2016) Sustainability of urban coastal area management: a case study on Cide, Journal of Sustainable Forestry, 2016, 35 (7), 527–541, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10549811.2016.1228072
- [32] Cetin M, Zeren I (2016) Evaluation of the value of biocomfort for Kastamonu-Inebolu". International Conference GREDIT'2016 – Green Development Infrastructure Technology, Poster section 4: Management of Urban and Industrial Waste, Climate Change – Biodiversity – Efficiency, ISBN 978-608-4624-21-9, 31.03 and 01.04 2016, p4–35, page: 310, Skopje, Macedonia
- [33] Ay, E. C. (2020). Kent Isı Adası Etkisinin Belirlenmesi ve Değerlendirilmesi: Van Kenti Örneği (Yüksek Lisans Tezi) tez.yok.gov.tr veri tabanından erişildi (Tez no: 640775).
- [34] Cetin M (2015) Determining the bioclimatic comfort in Kastamonu City. Environ Monit Assess 187(10). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4861-3
- [35] Cetin M, Adiguzel F, Gungor S, Kaya E, Sancar M C (2019) Evaluation of thermal climatic region areas in terms of building density in urban management and planning for Burdur, Turkey. Air Qual Atmos Health 12(9): 1103– 1112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-019-00727-3
- [36] Çetin M, Topay M, Kaya LG, Yilmaz B (2010) Efficiency of bioclimatic comfort in landscape planning process: case of Kutahya Turkish Journal of Forestry 1(1): 83-95–95. https://doi.org/10.18182/tjf.29063
- [37] Bozdogan Sert, E., Kaya, E., Adiguzel, F., Cetin, M., Gungor, S., Zeren Cetin, I. & Dinc, Y. (2021). Effect of the surface temperature of surface materials on thermal comfort: a case study of Iskenderun (Hatay, Turkey). *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 144(1), 103-113. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00704-021-03524-0
- [38] Gungor, S., Cetin, M., & Adiguzel, F. (2021). Calculation of comfortable thermal conditions for Mersin urban city planning in Turkey. *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health*, 14: 515-522. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11869-020-00955-y
- [39] Cetin, M. (2020). The Changing of Important Factors in The Landscape Planning Occur Due to Global Climate Change in Temperature, Rain and Climate Types: A Case Study of Mersin City. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, 8(12), 2695-2701. http://agrifoodscience.org/index.php/TURJAF/article/view/3891
- [40] Cetin M (2016) A Change in the Amount of CO2 at the Center of the Examination Halls: Case Study of Turkey. Studies on Ethno-Medicine, 10(2), 146-155, http://krepublishers.com/02-Journals/S-EM/EM-10-0-000-16-Web/S-EM-10-2-16-Abst-PDF/S-EM-10-2-146-16-444-Cetin-M/S-EM-10-2-146-16-444-Cetin-M-Tx[7].pdf
- [41] Boz, A. Ö. (2017). Tekirdağ Kent Merkezinin Biyoklimatik Konfor Değerleri Bakımından İncelenmesi (Yüksek Lisans Tezi) tez.yok.gov.tr veri tabanından erişildi (Tez no:476626).
- [42] Vural, E. (2021). Güneydoğu Anadolu Bölgesi İllerinin CBS Kullanarak Hava Kalitesinin Mekansal Değişiminin İncelenmesi (2007-2019). Doğal Afetler ve Çevre Dergisi, 7, 124-135.
- [43] Rothfusz LP. 1990. The Heat Index "Equation" (or, More Than You Ever Wanted to Know About Heat Index). SR 90–23. Fort Worth, TX:National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Weather Service, Office of Meteorology.
- [44] Matzarakis A., Mayer H., Iziomon M. G., 1999. Applications of a universal thermalindex: physiological equivalent temperature Int J Biometeorol 43:76–84.